THE CHOCO SITUATION

One year of a four level coordinated harassment campaign, in their own words and in mine.

June 2025 to August 2026

Written by Choco, otherwise known as Infinity-survivor-choco, infinite-survivor-choco, Infinite-proship-choco/Infinite-profic-choco, Infinite-proship-mirmo, Infinite-mirmo-survivorshlp.

Content warning: this document quotes threats, sexual harassment, references to child sexual abuse, incitement to suicide, self-harm, racism and violent language. It is not suitable for minors.

CONTENT WARNING FOR: child sexual abuse mention; sexual harassment towards Choco and other users; redistribution of intimate material; incitement to suicide; suicide and self-harm; doxxing and the publication of a legal name; racism and xenophobia; ableism directed at epilepsy and at autism; religious abuse; grooming conduct toward minors; exposure of minors to sexual content; solicitation of material from a minor; mass reporting; impersonation; DARVO; gaslighting; triangulation; cult-control tactics; coordinated harassment; defamation; violent language; victimhood and moral inversion; Nier's deliberate misuse of important terms; concern trolling; Nier and co disrespecting, trigger baiting and denigrating people's trauma; sympathy baiting; suicide baiting; child endangerment and incitement to reckless manslaughter.

SCREENSHOTS CONTAIN EVIDENCE OF: Nier (h28731, the Editor / N), Ezra (proship-froggo), Gravekandy, dove-killer (Rook), patronizing, cemetarydog, bug-barn, morphine-dr1p, Mayday, doecest, proship-shuujuu and associated accounts, in the following conduct against Choco: Gaslighting: Coordinated DARVO: Victim Blaming: Victim Perfecting: False accusations: using important terms wrong on purpose: libel: ilegítimate legal threats: blackmail: coercion: sextortion: sexual defamation; calumny; sexual harassment; cyberstalking; disclosure of private material; publication of a legal name beside a false allegation of sexual offences; incitement to suicide, published in the open; degrading treatment; racism and xenophobia; ableism; the use of a survivor's religion against them; exposure of minors to sexual content; failure to report a minor's sexual content; tolerance of an adult with a documented seventeen-year-old victim; mass reporting; impersonation; monitoring of accounts after a termination; and the maintenance of a sexual allegation after its withdrawal by the person whose account it rested on. Every screenshot is dated and indexed in the annexes. sexual harassment towards Choco and other users; redistribution of intimate material; incitement to suicide; suicide and self-harm; doxxing and the publication of a legal name; incitement to assault and battery, white supremacy and Nazi adjacent allegiances, racism and xenophobia; ableism directed at epilepsy and at autism; religious abuse; grooming conduct toward minors; exposure of minors to sexual content; solicitation of material from a minor; mass reporting; impersonation; DARVO; gaslighting; triangulation; cult-control tactics; coordinated harassment; defamation; victimhood and moral inversion; Nier's deliberate misuse of important terms; concern trolling; Nier and co disrespecting, trigger baiting and denigrating people's trauma; sympathy baiting; suicide baiting; child endangerment and incitement to reckless manslaughter.

PLEASE NOTE: This document existing is not an invitation to contact anyone named in it. It exists in large part because Nier and his associates have repeatedly cherry picked the takeaway from posts below and misconstrued them, this was done in order for Nier to perpetuate a false narrative loop of victimhood to force the actual victims into fighting back against him and his sympathizers without stop. Every screenshot is reproduced whole; where one is cropped, the crop is stated and the reason with it. Every screenshot carries a date, and where Choco supplied the file it carries a SHA-256 hash, so that any reader can verify the file has not been altered. No legal name of any third party appears here. No diagnosis of any person appears here. Where this document has been corrected, the correction is marked in place and dated; nothing has been removed quietly. What is Choco's is stated as Choco's, with the date, and it is not buried at the end. This is one person's record, kept because nobody else was going to do it. It does not claim to be a collective understanding, and it does not need to be: a collective understanding is not evidence, and every line here can be checked against a file.

1. How to read this document

This is not a rebuttal. A rebuttal accepts the other side's frame and argues inside it. This document sets each claim in their document next to the evidence, dated, and lets the reader see which ones survive contact with reality.

Nier, Gravekandy, and Proship Froggo are well known abusers and groomers, the former alléges on several segments how his victims can't know his intentions, while simultaneously assigning the worst intentions to those he harmed in order to degrade them, intent isn't about whatever Nier thinks is convenient, it's how words and actions correlate, the intent of both Nier and associates has been thoroughly established through his course of conduct spanning over a year and doesn't need him to agree with it for it to be true, he uses weaponized groupspeak as a way to manipulate unsuspecting parties and play-act power dynamics with vulnerable people that let him.compensate for insecurities he projects onto others, fully knowing he would never say any of these things to my face, please keep this in mind before proceeding.

We are aware that no matter how many times we prove Nier wrong and call him out on his behavior, he'll stick to his fantasy because it's what allows him to garner the most sympathy from people, this isn't about his wants, it's about the truth of highly traumatic events where night terrors were involved and a publicly available record of what actually happened.

Despite Nier's document looking somewhat organized to the untrained eye, it fails to meet any reasonable chain of custody, most of the screenshots are poorly edited to push his false premise, which he deflects onto other parties, awfully cropped, unsourced, and without timestamps or verification, any judge worth their salt under a court of law would treat it as fabricated and inadmissible evidence, despite his claims, he's failed to provide any to this date.

It's diction without truth, which Choco denounces as fiction, Bear in mind, despite Nier's claims the server wasn't used for stalking or the daily making fun of his victims, this was contradicted by Silver as a primary source, Nier deflects it by saying Choco didn't have access and thus can't know, Nier lied again, there is photographic evidence that proves Nier linking Choco's posts unrelated to the situation last year, what he tried to reframe as documenting was nothing but online trolling behind a discord server taking excerpts with his friends of reactions he caused from Ezra's initial sexual harassment and sexualized defamation, Nier is known for punching down and looking for vulnerable targets, and has admitted to doing the same thing back in his school years, only this time he finally picked the wrong target, crime and punishment, which he cited for his victims alleged offenses fits him more than it does anybody, furthermore his lack of understanding about the law is clear, considering placeholders and outdated citacions with laws that have nothing to do with what he thought they did, this ignorance gets reproduced by user miku-holyholyholy where they denied aiding and abetting and conspiracy, yet the law is clear, there was open agreement and an infrastructure, these weren't just amplificators but producers, but we will be saving that for the true “ crime and punishment” list at the end.

One more important element to understand before proceeding, Nier has purposely changed the meaning of suicide baiting to fit his false narrative, this was done as an exercise in humiliation, please note, whichever the meaning you'd like to use, Rook, a known suicide baiter in both senses of the word, has continuously done do, Nier changes the standards of words to predefine what applies based on his convenience, you'll also seen terms like predatory swapped to be about animals, then when the conduct is mentioned, he'll pre emptively deny it being predatory, without actually refuting any of the arguments or receipts put into place, he's known for eliciting outrage and provoque an emotional response to harness those responses so victims look worse, if someone is “an asshole” to an anonymous user pestering you, he'll speak about it to condescend you, Nier is nobody to opine on how victims handle their anons, particularly so when he's been an asshole to them (and most people, whoever don't give him fuel, because Nier cares only about one person, Nier)

All anon hatemail displayed in the receipts that pertain to the document belong to Nier, Proship Froggo, and their cyberstalking servers, despite the attempts to deflect and claim there weren't over a thousand people involved, proof of the contrary being true exists within this very document.

Take into account before you read, Nier doesn't have any power, authority, or meaningful interactions, he imitates people and uses vulnerable ones for social currency, he's suicide baited more than anybody in the situation after Constell and Rook, and he's called it harassment when his energy was returned and incremented.

Somebody who threatened to kill himself because he was ghosted, blocked, or ignored, and used his BPD as an excuse to deflect accountability about the document, while having the privilege of not having to feel due to his ASPD, which he consistently weaponizes whisked people, isn't a reliable source in morality matters, and is the kind of abuser Choco judges more thoroughly, someone with all the cards to do good and use them to punch down, bully people without consequences, play the victim, and seek as much social currency as possible after being the most morally bankrupt possible person.

Nier isn't owed civility, Nier isn't owed mercy, nobody owes Nier anything, what he's worth is being alone, ignored, and deprived from the attention he freeloads from person to person, Choco is aware this sounds emotionally loaded, however, most of the documents register will be semi-formal at the lightest, an impact statement was in order.

Same with gecko, who Nier deflected and thought it was about his 30 year old possibly dead ass drawing a dick on Mirmo, it wasn't, and I'm not taking it from a 24 year old coward who pretends to kill himself over being ignored like you were worth any of my time, expecting emotional bandwidth after your atrocious behavior and being an outed groomer is barbaric, you're a danger to society and those around you, you may think you can lie to everyone and these lies won't end up catching up to you, but trust me Nier, they will, and the initial parts or that stage is this document, where everyone will see, among other things, how much of a fraud and a liar you really are.

EDIT: 2/9/2026: Morphine-dr1p has since backpedaled and deleted the sexually defamatory post, this was done as a form of damage control only, because photographic evidence remains in the document they can be charged with an aggravating factor of spoliation and consciousness of guilt, or, in more crude terms. You're not slick.

Before you read this document, it is advised to read the manipulation tactics of Nier, Proship Froggo, Gravekandy, Constelle and their sympathizers, bear in mind this was produced in response to last year's Summer situation, as well as further campaigns they kick-started, a thorough read will help you acclimate to the kind of psychological warfare, which is put on display and dissected throughout the document, while simultaneously showing to you, the reader, the kind of people the Proship community has harbored inside for so long.

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1z_6bBD5Ns7t120cjObJ6NMggk3k0mNJRdHE6r1hMcOQ/edit?usp=drivesdk

A note on names. Choco is the handle their document uses throughout, and it is the handle used here. Choco is a plural system and takes they/them. This record is written in the third person because that is what it is: a record, not a defence

Every claim in their document falls into one of two drawers.

False. The claim is contradicted by evidence, very often by their own words. The evidence sits next to the claim.

True, once the context is restored. The thing happened. What their document removes is what came before it, and what came before it is dated in these pages.

Every piece of evidence here carries a date. Where Choco supplied the screenshot it carries a file hash as well, so that anyone can verify the file has not been altered. Their screenshots are reproduced from their own document at the resolution they published them.

One sentence from their document should be read before anything else. It appears on their second page:

> **Their document, section "Regarding this document"**
> *Note that we didn't watch Choco's YouTube videos.*

Two hundred videos, each a dated compilation of screenshots from the situations they describe, and the editor states that none of them were examined. Forty thousand words later the same document states that Choco has failed to provide proof. That sentence is the method of the whole document.

The names in this document are the public handles the people involved chose for themselves. No legal name of any third party appears here. No diagnosis of anyone appears here. Those are the two rules their document broke.

2. What they claim vs what the evidence shows.

This is the first drawer: claims in their document that are contradicted by evidence. Wherever possible the evidence is their own document, because a claim disproved by the person making it needs no further witness. The items follow the order of their document. Each one ends the same way, with what the claim actually is once the evidence is beside it. where the claim is a recognisable technique, the technique is named, so that the next person it's used on can recognise it too.

2.1 "Choco has never been sexually harassed by anyone involved"

Their claim · their section "Anon asks or no anon asks?"


"Choco has never been sexually harassed by anyone involved or mentioned in this document." … "Nobody involved has made direct sexual jokes, or any other advancements towards Choco."

What the record shows · kuramirocket reblog; word count of their document


The first public post about Choco in this entire situation, by Ezra, told an audience that Choco had practised "forced sexual conversion" on another person.

Two months later the post was edited to read "forced sexual conversation", with no notice. A reblog preserves the original wording.

In their 44,707-word document the word "conversion" doesn't appear. Not once. The accusation that opened the campaign has been removed from the campaign's own history.



Ezra's original post, preserved in a reblog.



Nier, by DM: "Ezra gets a pass bc they are my friend… And I know that is not right."

A public statement that a survivor of child sexual abuse performs sexual acts on other people, published to that person's community by name, is not "discomfort". It is sexual defamation aimed at the one place they knew it would land. The person who wrote it has never retracted it in public; they changed one word and hoped nobody had kept a copy.

Erasure of the founding act. Remove the first event and every reaction to it becomes the first event. Their entire chronology depends on this one deletion.



Ezra's post, June 2025: "Hello everyone, I'd like to talk about @infinity-survivor-choco… Multiple people have come forward…" The sentence itself is preserved in the reblog beside it. (Capture 451.)



The same author, nine days later: "I have so so so many screenshots from your little-autistic-dollie account. Babes, I have all of that <3". The harassment they say never happened. (Capture 439.)


Two captures, one author, nine days. The first is the accusation their document rests on; the second is the conduct their document says does not exist. A section titled "Choco has never been sexually harassed" is written by the person in the second capture.

> **False. The sexual accusation came from their side, on day one, in public, and was quietly edited rather than withdrawn.**


2.2 "We have shown the entire context"

> **Their claim · their section "Update 10 July 2025"**
> *"We have shown the entire posts as screenshots, not just excerpts." … "It's important to give the actual context."*

> **What the record shows · exhibits from Choco's archive, Nier's DMs**
> *Nier, by direct message, months later: "I know I should have put more of what led to everything into the doc, back then, if even. I know. And it sucks I did not."*
>
> *And: "I know how you felt. You stated it yourself. And it was not right."*
>
> *The December 2025 revision re-publishes the same summer document, with the same omission, under the heading "This is the entirety of the document we'd made during Summer."*



Nier: "I know I should have put more of what led to everything into the doc."



Nier: "I know how you felt. You stated it yourself. And it was not right."

False by the editor's own admission. He knew the context was missing, said so privately, and published without it anyway.

2.3 "Choco never took accountability"

Their claim · their section "Reply to the anon ask Ezra received"

"There has not been an apology that was not made in an attempt to shield themselves. There has not been any written apology Choco had really meant." … "We did not want an apology. Not via DMs, not even a public one, as that would not have changed anything."

What the record shows · their exhibits #261 to #250


21 June 2025, nine days in: "I officially take the blame for the situation. It's on me, you can post it laugh at me or etc. I'll (finally) take the blame."

Ezra's reply, the same afternoon: "It does not. Actions have consequences."

Both are reproduced in their own document, twelve screenshots, exhibits #261 to #250, followed by the sentence that they did not want an apology.



Their exhibit #258: "I officially take the blame."




Their exhibit #257: "It doesn't."

Evidence of Proship Froggo asking for "accountability" after falsely accusing a rape victim of forced sexual conversion and sexually harassing them..



The thread in which Choco's apology was refused, with the people from 10 June thanking the person who refused it. (Capture 438.)



The editor, privately, later: "I know I should have put more of what led to everything into the doc… And it sucks I did not." The accountability they demanded, taken by the wrong side. (Capture c_nier2.)

.

False. "accountability" was given in full and refused, The document that says it never happened contains it, this is an aggravating factor, by the way, Ezra wanted an "apology" after sexually defaming and sexually harassing another person.

2.4 The birthday gift

Their claim · their section "Anon asks or no anon asks?"

"Choco sent a user a picture of their new sex toy, under the guise of wanting to show their birthday present, despite the person on the receiving end having stated that they don't wish to be sent anything NSFW." … "Choco is the one who sexually harassed another user."

What the record shows · exhibit A4

The recipient was Silver, an adult. On 23 July 2026 Silver wrote to Choco: "I didnt play with you and regret what happened, I simply did not communicate effectively!"

And about the other people who told their story as if it were Silver's: "I open the screenshots and it is them willfully playing with you."

The only sexual harassment charge in their entire document rests on a private message whose recipient says it was a communication failure, not harassment, and who says the people who copied the story were playing along.



Exhibit A4. Silver, 23 July 2026. SHA-256 ab25a98b…c40280c.

False. The one person entitled to argue it says it was not.

2.5 “Choco encourages minors to lie about their age”

Their claim · their section “Choco on encouraging minors”, exhibits #221 and #222

“There have been two posts in which Choco has encouraged minors to lie about their age, to access their ‘18+ blog’.”

What the record shows · their exhibits #221, #222; Choco's tactics document

Their own exhibit #222 reads: “Psssst, minors caught liking any post with a mature label on by lying about their age to Tumblr will get blocked, we’re not stupid.” That is a standing rule, on Choco's blog, that minors who lie to reach a labelled post are blocked. They cited it as proof that Choco encourage the lie.

Exhibit #221 is an answer to a follower who asked how to watch a video of Choco's that Tumblr had labelled mature. The video was a spoken reply to a question, with no adult content. In the weeks concerned, Tumblr’s automated flagging was labelling ordinary content as mature across the site; anyone who used the platform that month remembers it. The follower couldn’t see a talking-head video. Choco told them how to see it.

Why there was a video at all: Ezra’s blog is, by Ezra’s statement, 18+. The posts on it about Choco were read and commented on by minors; Choco's tactics document records their ages (captures 004, 007, 011). Some of those minors then came to Choco's blog and asked what had happened. The videos were the answer. The label on the answer was a platform error. The rule for minors was #222.



Their exhibit #222, as they published it: minors who lie about their age to reach a labelled post get blocked.



One of the minors reading Ezra's 18+ blog: fifteen at the time of the post. (Choco's tactics document, capture 007.)

So the two posts are: a rule that minors who lie get blocked, and an answer to a follower about a mislabelled video that a platform bug had hidden. Their document turned the first into its opposite and the second into a scheme. The minors in this story were on Ezra's blog, reading a sexual accusation about Choco on an account its owner calls 18+ and "obvious". The person who wrote a rule against minors lying was Choco. The person whose adult blog they were reading was Ezra. The section is in their document under Choco's name.

False. One post says the reverse of what they cite it for; the other is a reply about a platform mislabel, in a month when the platform was mislabelling everything, to a follower who couldn't see a video with no adult content in it. The blog with minors reading adult material was Ezra's.

2.6 "Only my trauma matters"


Their claim · their section "Choco on victim perfecting", exhibit #191

"They only care about specific victims. Themselves: 'only my trauma matters'."

What the record shows · their exhibit #191

The full line: "There's no trauma there, do not you know cyberbullying is not real? only my trauma matters, nobody else's unless they are a mutual, why would anybody here trick you into thinking you existed outside of what's convenient to me?"

It is sarcasm. It is a parody of the accusation. They cut the sentence at the point where the parody is most quotable and presented it as a creed.



Their exhibit #191, the full text.

Quotation against tone. Cite the words, drop the register. Sarcasm quoted straight becomes confession.

False. A parody of their own position, printed as Choco's position.

2.7 “Choco’s god complex”

Their claim · their section “Choco’s god complex”, exhibits #293, #292

“This post also classifies us as ‘particles and figments’ of Choco’s imagination, as well as saying we do not exist.”

What the record shows · their exhibits #293, #292

The post is titled “The Chain of Command!” and sorts Choco's Tumblr into “Choco’s Mutuals”, “Choco’s opo’s”, “Choco’s probationary bin” and “Choco’s new world”. It is a bit. Choco writes an entire fictional universe; Choco's blog has always mixed it with the rest.

Reading a comic ranking of who gets acknowledged on a blog as a claim of divinity isn’t analysis. It is the need to find one more thing.



Their exhibit #293.



Their exhibit #292.

False. Worldbuilding humour read as psychiatry, Nier purposely ommits patronizings original post, he does this with everything that would make him look bad and purposely assigns double standards he extends his “courtesy” to in favor of whoever can stomach him, unbeknownst to him

this can and has been mirrored before, abusive people should be spoonfed their own medicine, Nier's been waiting for his his whole life.

2.8 “Choco has not shown proof” that dove-killer said “kill yourself”

Their claim · their section “Choco’s harassment of Rook / dove-killer”

“‘dove killer told me to kill myself’ → Choco has not shown proof of this claim.”

What the record shows · exhibit A1

dove-killer’s blog, two posts: “Good lord Kill yourself.” and “Your evidence of grooming is the minor who was in my notes once and I blocked them over it? Kill yourself.”

Their own section heading identifies the account: “Rook / dove-killer”.




Exhibit A1. SHA-256 abe3d938…531ca7.

False. The proof exists, it is public.

2.9 “There was never an alter”

Their claim · their list of blogs; their section of 25 December 2025

“proproproshipper (deactivated, used as an archive + It’s Choco. There was never another alter.)” … “‘Emmie’, the supposed alter that formed due to the so-called ‘harassment’ in summer, was never real.”


What the record shows · exhibit A5; their exhibit #068

19 July 2025, private message to a friend, two months before the message they rely on: “it is a weird ass fragment nobody wants, they are real but they are really annoying”, “some of us keep fakeclaiming them”.

Their evidence is a single DM from Choco in September 2025 (their #068). Mine is a dated private message from before it, addressed to nobody who could use it. Section 3.11 puts both side by side and explains the September message in Choco's words.

The same document demands "system accountability" from "the host". It treats Choco's plurality as real when it wants to bill it and as fake when it wants to deny it.

Selective belief. Accept the other person's identity exactly as far as it can be used against them, and no further.



Choco's message to Livi, 19 July 2025, 3:57 PM: "Emmie's fuckass name feels like bullshitting, idek where I got em from, all I know is some of us keep fakeclaiming them when they are there because it is a weird ass fragment nobody wants, they are real but they are really annoying." Two months before their earliest exhibit on the subject. (Exhibit A5, clean recapture.)



Choco's public description of Mirmo as introject and syskid safe haven, long before the cross. (Capture 149.)

The alter they say never existed is described here in July, in Choco's words, with a date. The message is to Livi, who knew Choco at the time and can confirm it; that a part of Choco is annoying and unwanted even by the rest of Choco is, Choco would suggest, not how a person describes an alter they're inventing for an argument. Their exhibit is from September. A thing described in July did not appear in September to win an argument.

False. Emmie is documented in real time by Choco.

2.10 "Choco doxxes people"

Their claim · their section "Choco's hypocrisy and contradictions"

Section title: "Choco on 'doxxing people'". "Choco has threatened to doxx people multiple times."

What the record shows · their document, section "N Ranting"; exhibits A20, A2, A3

An act, no. In 44,707 words there is no instance of Choco publishing anyone's legal name, address, workplace or private account, because there's none.

The editor of the document that carries this section wrote, on 25 August 2025: "I know which city you're in. But it did not need an IP address, or anything fancy. You've readily given all the info yourself", listing Choco's school, a restaurant and "your government name".

The same document ends: "Moises. From the bottom of my heart: Fuck you."

On 17 October 2025 a fourteen-year-old on their side posted Choco's legal name in public. On 28 August 2026 morphine-dr1p posted it next to the words "wants to rape children" and tagged it with Choco's name.



Exhibit A3, 28 August 2026, tagged with Choco's legal name.





Exhibit A20, 17 October 2025: "Also, Moises…"

Accusation as cover. Charge the target with the thing you are doing. When it's later done to them, the record already says they did it.



Choco's legal name, as a tag, under "wants to rape children", August 2026. (Exhibit A3.)



Choco's legal name, from a fourteen-year-old on their side, October 2025, and Ezra's private reaction the same day. (Exhibit A20.)

The section on Choco's doxxing has no name in it. These two have Choco's.

False. Choco mirrored. Nier located, named, and published, and put it in writing.

2.11 "Nobody involved sent Choco anon hate"

Their claim · their section "Please note" and throughout


"Nobody involved or mentioned in this document sent Choco anon hate." … "We don't send hate anons."

What the record shows · their document; their exhibit #284

Nier: "I (Editor of this document, known as N), have sent two asks to Choco." And: "About the pro-ship-anon blog: N: It's mine. Lol."

Nier, on the restricted Discord channel: people "joked about sending anons".

What Choco received, reproduced by them as exhibit #284: "Oh my god can you please end yourself already??? All of us in the proship community want you to gut yourself."



Their exhibit #284: what arrived in Choco's inbox.

The editor sent asks, ran an anonymous side blog, and the group joked about anons in a locked channel.

2.12 “We are not a cult”

Their claim · their section “Anon asks or no anon asks?”
“It goes without saying that we are not a cult.” … “There are no definitive cult structures present within the group.”

What the record shows · their document, section “N Ranting”
It goes without saying that's not for Nier to decide: a Discord server with a locked channel named for Choco (“choco-shit”); a document written by “about five people” in which “any and all information and commentary was reviewed and greenlit by all involved users”; a threat to former members, “we still have all the chats”; and the treatment of everyone who left. Yael, Nate, Constell, Ace and Silver went from “victims” to “liars”, “no-brainers” and “traitors” in the same document, the moment they stopped agreeing.

The full analysis, criterion by criterion, is section 7. Here it is enough to note that a group whose members become enemies on leaving has answered the question itself, as the document moves on more evidence will be provided that this isn't just a faction, but a subcategory of an entire cult.

False as a denial. The evidence of them being a cult is provided in posterior segments.

2.13 “Choco harmed Dani, a fourteen-year-old”

Their claim · their section “The Dani/Caleb Situation”
“Choco’s idea of pushing back against Dani was to harass them as well.” … “I believe it’s still worth noting that Choco spread a 14 year old’s face at all.”

What the record shows · exhibits A19, A20, A27, A24; their document

Nier, in the same section: "I personally wasn't involved, so I can't offer meaningful commentary." And elsewhere: "Dani is a horrible person."

Ezra, in Discord, on 17 October 2025: "if Caleb in all honesty pulls this, I'll tear her down in no time whatsoever 🥲". On 9 November: "Usually, fighting fire with fire was enough to shut Caleb up for good." On 3 December: "I'd tear her parents apart at the teacher-parent conference." And, on her blog being reported: "LMAO THEY ARE SEETHING."

Ezra, in their own document, to Dani: "I do not owe anyone an ounce of nice-ness", and that a fourteen-year-old made suicidal by his post should see "a psychologist or at the very least a school counselor".

What Choco did with Dani is in the chronology, in Choco's column, dated, including the parts Choco is not proud of. What they did is above.




Exhibit A19: "fighting fire with fire was enough to shut Caleb up", "LMAO".






Exhibit A27, 3 December 2025: "I'd tear her parents apart"; "your teacher is proship-froggo LMAO".

The borrowed victim. Hold up a minor as a shield in public while calling her a horrible person in private. The child isn't the point. The shield is.



Ezra on Dani: "fighting fire with fire was enough to shut Caleb up for good". (Exhibit A19.)



Ezra, 3 December 2025: "I'd tear her parents apart at the teacher-parent conference." (Exhibit A27.)

The child their document protects from Choco, in the private words of the adult who wrote the protection.

> **False as concern. The people who wrote this section wanted Dani torn down and said so in writing.**

2.14 The legal section

> **Their claim · their section "Choco's offences and crimes"**
> *"According to Spanish law, these are some provable offences Choco has committed." Cited: Article 184 of the Penal Code; "Art. 620 of the Criminal Code"; Article 54 of the Workers' Statute; Articles 456 and 457.*

> **What the record shows · their document; Spanish Penal Code as amended by LO 1/2015**
> *Article 184 defines sexual harassment within an employment, teaching or service relationship. Two strangers on Tumblr are none of these. They knew this in June 2025; it was pointed out; it is still there.*
> *Article 620 was repealed in 2015. It hasn't existed as law for more than a decade.*
> *Article 54 of the Workers' Statute is labour law about dismissal. It has nothing to do with anything in their document.*
> *Articles 456 and 457 punish false accusation made before an official authority, not opinions posted on a blog.*
> *And, in their own words at the top of the section: "The chosen sources are placeholders."*

Legal costume. Article numbers as decoration. Most readers will not check. This document checks.

> **False. A section titled "offences and crimes" built on one inapplicable article, one repealed article, one labour statute, and an admission that none of it's final.**

2.15 “Hearsay is not evidence”

Their claim · their sections “Update 10 July 2025” and “Miscellaneous Posts”


“Hearsay is not evidence, it bears no weight in court either, and for good reason.” … “Choco’s document proves nothing.”

What the record shows · their exhibit #355

Their document, one paragraph later: “We provided our own ‘hearsay’ arguments.”

Their evidence that Choco is an adult of a certain age, the “credible source”: a Discord screenshot reading “Theyre 26 / Oops / Pretend i said nothing tehehehe”. A leaked private disclosure, presented as verification.

Their witnesses include anonymous users they decline to name and one person removed from the document at their own request.



Their exhibit #355, the “credible source”.

False as a standard. They apply it to Choco and exempt themselves in the next sentence.

2.16 “Everything we did was a reaction”

Their claim · their section “N Ranting”

“Everything we’ve done has been a reaction, not a direct action in and of itself. The only action taken was the initial post.”

What the record shows · their document

“The initial post” is the one that said “forced sexual conversion”. They concede it was the first action. Everything after it was a reaction to it, including Choco's.

Their document “began production 18 June 2025” and was “posted on tumblr 21 June 2025”. Mine “was made the next day, 22 June”. Their dates, their order.

False, and self-refuting. The first action was theirs, by their own account, everything choco ever did was a reaction to the harassment, defamatory statements, sexualized targeting of their trauma, constant provocations and discrediting.

2.17 “Choco suicide-baits as manipulation”

Their claim · their sections “Choco admits to suibaiting” and “Choco’s continued suicide baiting”


“Choco admits to suibaiting.” … “We have to place a focus on the continued threat of Choco killing themselves, and not going through with it.” … “This further cements the knowledge that Choco is mentally unwell.”

What the record shows · their section “Regarding suicide baiting”; their exhibit #328; exhibits #284, A1


Their own definition, from their own document: “We are aware that ‘suicide baiting’ describes someone who baits another person to commit suicide, however, to keep things simple, we’ve decided to go along with the common assumption of the meaning, not the actual one.” They knew the word meant the thing done to Choco, and used it for the thing Choco did.

Ezra, in public, when an anonymous user reported that Choco was trying to end Choco's life: “Fret not, they are alive and well in my comment section. #trust me I take attempting serious but this is like the 5th time they use it as a weapon.”

What arrived in Choco's inbox in the same weeks: “can you please end yourself already”. What arrived a year later: “Good lord Kill yourself.”




Their exhibit #328: "Fret not, they are alive and well in my comment section", tagged "this is like the 5th time they use it as a weapon".



Their exhibit #284: what Choco was receiving.

Someone in crisis who deactivates their account isn't baiting anyone. Someone who tells that person, in public, that it's the fifth time and that they have no respect for them, has decided that the crisis is a performance because that is cheaper than the alternative. Their document contains both the mockery and the definition that makes the mockery grammatical.

Definition capture. Take the word for the harm done to the target and reassign it to the target. They did this one in writing, with a footnote.



dove-killer: "Good lord Kill yourself.". (Exhibit A1.)



patronizing reblogging an anonymous rumour of Choco's death, June 2025. (Capture 426.)

Suicide baiting, by the definition their footnote admits is the real one: from their side, and reblogged rumours. The word was moved to Choco by a footnote.


> **False. The only people in this record who baited anyone toward suicide are the ones who wrote "kill yourself", and the ones who called the crisis a weapon.**

2.18 "Nazi ideology"

> **Their claim · their sections "Update 09 July" and "N Ranting"**
> *"Choco's blatant Nazism." … "Nazi ideology." … "people may see you as a neo-Nazi. You can't blame them."*

> **What the record shows · their document**
> *Their document uses "Nazi" thirty-five times, Wikipedia paste included, and contains, as its centrepiece, several pages copied from Wikipedia about the Holocaust, under the instruction "Do not skip this part." it is beyond disrespectful to use the holocaust as an excuse to cover up your stalking of vents from people you falsely accused of real world torture methods whilst regressed and amidst a sexual harassment campaign that you started, it's twice as revolting when you claim victim status afterwards.*

Subterfuge by label. Take an ugly sentence, attach the worst available noun, then repeat the noun until it replaces the sentence.



The editor, 29 August 2026, on people copying him "because they know i have great taste". (Exhibit A29.)



patronizing's tags on Choco: "#block evading retard". (Capture 471.)

The Nazi section is seven pages of Wikipedia over one line of Choco's from a vent. The slur in this pair is theirs, and it is in a tag, not a vent.

> **False. Under duress venting isn't up to the interpretation of those who caused them, nor does any ideology alignment to Nazis exist, this came from someone from Germany who was accused of white supremacy for their racism by other parties, which doubles the audacity.**

2.19 "Choco has failed to provide proof"

> **Their claim · their section "Regarding this document"**
> *"Choco claims to have debunked this document, but fails to bring forth actual evidence." … "Note that we did not watch Choco's YouTube videos."*

> **What the record shows · their document; Annex B**
> *Two hundred videos, each a dated compilation of screenshots of the situations their document describes, published across the year. Catalogue in Annex B.*
>
> *The editor states that none were examined, and forty thousand words later states that no proof was provided, furthermore in the document itself Nier never provided any real evidence, whilst there's been mountains of proof for everything that's been stated by Choco, including in the form of photographic proof and blogs Nier and co tries to get terminated to erase evidence*

> **False by construction. You cannot find what you declare you did not look at.**

2.20 "I never made a post about you"

> **Their claim · their section "N Ranting"**
> *"Choco, I've never made a post about you. I've never been hostile, and I've never actually hurt you." … "I've not interacted with Choco directly."*

What the record shows · their document; Choco's archive

A post on h28731, Nier's blog: "had your useless mother raised you better…" (permalink in Annex A).

Two asks, admitted. An anonymous side blog, admitted. Direct messages in September and November 2025, reproduced by him. A city located. A legal name used as a sign-off, and his blog being full of over a dozen vagueposts he admitted to be about Choco

False. He made the post, sent the messages, claimed to have found the city, which be didn't, and wrote Choco's name.

2.21 "I said I wouldn't release the summer doc, and I won't"

Their claim · their section "Choco's harassment of Rook"

"I said I wouldn't release the summer doc, and I won't. I will, however, release this document. It's not the same. :)"

What the record shows · their document

Their document, page two: "This is the entirety of the document we'd made during Summer."

False, with a smiley. The summer document is the first two thirds of this one.

2.22 "Choco uses people and throws them away"

Their claim · their section "N Ranting"

"Choco uses people and throws them away, like Caleb/Dani."

What the record shows · their document; exhibit A20

Using a person requires gain. The record shows what Choco gained on each occasion this accusation would have to rest on.

Summer 2025. Choco supported Dani while Dani was being harassed by a group presenting itself as proship, which doubled the load Choco was already under. Gain: none.

Choco forgave Ezra and Nier in public while both were still writing about Choco. Gain: none.

Choco supported Constell through a crisis. Gain: none.

Choco removed the section on Yael and Nate from the original document rather than publish it. Both later turned on Choco. Gain: none, and a cost.

Choco supported Ezra later, when Ezra was exhausted by the campaign Ezra had started. Gain: none.

For months Choco's inbox carried the disclosures and the crises of hundreds of people, while Choco was handling the situations set out in this document. Gain: none.

Everyone uses Choco and throws them away, Nier lied again and projected his own behavior.

The accusation requires a person who takes. The record holds endless occasions of the opposite and none of the reverse. The editor's own account of his conduct toward the same person follows.

Nier, on his own messages to Choco in September 2025: "I tried to be comforting. Not out of care, but because it is what I knew they wanted to hear and what I believed would work."

Nier, on the group's former members: "the friendship you three share … exists purely for self-preservation, and was not meant to actually last past this shitshow."

Ezra, on Dani: "I'll tear her down."

Projection. Describe your own method and attach the other person's name to it. It reads as insight because it is accurate; only the name is wrong.

False as an accusation and precise as a self-portrait.

2.23 “Choco crops and cherry-picks”

Their claim · their section “N Ranting”

“Choco and friends are cropping screenshots. And it is only ever to our detriment.” … “Stop cropping screenshots!”

What the record shows · their document

Their document: “Some screenshots … appear cropped.” “Due to bad cropping, a few words/sentences are missing in between. We apologise for the inconvenience.” “Unfortunately, we do not have the full screenshot, however what is readable is enough.” “Certain sections were removed.” “The chosen sources are placeholders.” “INCOMPLETE. TO BE EDITED.”

Every screenshot Choco supplied for this document is whole and carries a hash. Theirs are reproduced exactly as they published them, crops included.

False about Choco and confessed about them, in five separate places.

2.24 “Choco stalks blocked blogs”


Their claim · their section “Choco’s harassment of Rook”


“They stalk blogs and monitor their every move. They share screenshots of blogs which have them blocked.”

What the record shows · their document

Nier: “I am not looking at your account. I am being sent this shit.” And: “We’ve only occasionally checked to see if you’ve finally moved on. And every time, without fail…”

Their document contains screenshots of Choco's posts made after Choco blocked its editor, supplied by third parties. That is the act their own section describes, performed by them, with the mechanism admitted in writing.





26 December 2025. damn-you-all-to-hell, surfacing in the "Choco situation" tag: "I no longer support Choco in any capacity. If you do, please leave this page

The editor, 12 August 2026, posting at a person who blocked him, tagged so they would be told. (Exhibit A30.)

Stalking blocked blogs, as practised by the people who wrote the section: a new account of Choco's reported within a day through a partner, and the editor vagueposting at a person who had blocked him.

False as a distinction. Both sides read each other's blogs. One side wrote a section calling it stalking, and did it.

2.25 "The Current Situation: Choco Sending Strobes, Harassment of Gravekandy"

Their claim · their document, final part

A section with that title.

What the record shows · their document; exhibit A2

The section is empty. It reads, in full: "INCOMPLETE. TO BE EDITED." the full context of Gravekandy's harassment campaign exists in this document.

2.26 "Art of a fictional character should not make you want to kill yourself"

Their claim · their section "Choco's continued suicide baiting"

"It should go without saying, that art of a fictional character should not make you want to kill yourself, no matter the subject of said art."

What the record shows · Choco's Gravekandy document


It was never about art of a character. Choco is a proship blog; people draw what they want, it goes without saying a catalyst isn't a cause and Editor N knows this, considering he "suicide baited" several times over something as simple as being ghosted, blocked, or not given attention by Choco, if there was a sport for pathetic behavior, lack of self awareness, and being the most condescending person alive, Nier would get Olympic gold on all there, it isn't so the arrogance Is out of place and Nier is out of line.

Mirmo is an introject in Choco's system and the figure Choco has publicly identified with Choco's inner child, as a survivor of abuse committed by nuns. The "Christian Mirmo" edit put a cross on that figure. The people who made and circulated it knew exactly what they were putting the cross on, because Choco had told the whole platform.


Gravekandy later included the Reddit poll built on that image in his own material under the word "criticism".




Choco's post at the time: "Plastering a cross onto Mirmo with forced religious conversion speech: my introject, my safe haven tasked with regulating my emotions as a syskid." (Choco's Gravekandy document, capture 148.)



A third party, 2 February 2026: "someone made a drawing of mirmo… with a cross to like be mean because of trauma choco has experienced relating to cults & christianity." (Capture 298.)



The Reddit thread. (Capture 49.)



Anonymous ask, 17 January 2026: "the reddit users were making fun of their sexual trauma". (Capture 273.)



The edit, as posted to Reddit by its author under the title "Tumblr Proshipper sends people seizure inducing gifs because of Christian Mirmo": Mirmo crying, "mirmo was once lost… but now they is found. Jesus Christ.", Psalm 146:5, and Mirmo with a cross. r/antiship, and r/peoplewhogiveashit.

Evidence of the person who posted it titled the thread with Choco's reaction, "because of Christian Mirmo", as if the cause were self-evidently trivial and not a known catalyst. It was the figure Choco had publicly identified as Choco's inner child, converted, in a Psalm, by the religion whose nuns abused Choco as an infant, the lack of material value is irrelevant to the predatory nature of the act.

Targeting through the disclosed wound. Learn what the person has said hurts most, hit it, then describe the hit as a drawing of a cartoon.



The image, as a user posted it to Reddit. (Section 2.26.)



The anonymous message that used Choco's reaction to it against Choco the same week. (Capture 160.)

The drawing, and the harvest of the reaction to it, side by side. "Art of a fictional character" is the caption for the first; the second is what it was for.

Drawing rape-coded religious imagery of a figure a survivor of abuse by nuns has publicly identified as their inner child, adding a cross and a Psalm, and posting it to Reddit under that survivor's reaction, is not art of a fictional character.

False as framing. The target was the trauma Choco had disclosed, not the character.

2.27 "There is no fun in this. We are tired."

Their claim · their section "Choco comments on a post of Ezra's"
"There is no fun in this. We are tired."

What the record shows · exhibits A16, A24

Ezra, in Discord, 6 September 2025: “Because, let’s be real, people enjoyed it. Not all, but some for sure.”
Ezra, 9 November 2025, on a hostile blog being terminated: “LMAO THEY ARE SEETHING.”





Exhibit A16, 6 September 2025.



Ezra, 6 September 2025: "people enjoyed it. Not all, but some for sure." (Exhibit A16.)



proship-soda, February 2026: "fuck choco even after the drama". (Capture 379.)

Tired, and not having fun, in two captures.

> **False. "People enjoyed it" is their sentence, not Choco's.**

2.28 "I was never involved": Nier's standing

> **Their claim · their document, sections "Comments from others involved" and "Choco's harassment of Rook"**
> *"Although I have not been personally victimized by Choco in any way (yet), I felt the need to step in." … "I may not have been involved, but I followed along." … "I continued showing support for Rook, and whoever else got targeted. Sometimes I would leave comments under posts of those who'd spoken up about Choco's behaviour." … "If you are proship, you value real people more than fictional characters… You're not proship."*

> **What the record shows · their document; exhibit A1**
> *A person with no grievance of his own edits a 44,707-word document about Choco, locates Choco's city, uses Choco's legal name, sends asks, runs an anonymous side blog, leaves comments under the posts of people who have made false statements about Choco, and then records Choco's reactions to those comments as evidence. That is not a bystander. That is a participant who has arranged to be provoked at one remove.*
>
> *The proship point is a loyalty test, not an argument. Whether Choco "value real people over characters" is answered by a year of documentation about real people; whether Choco owes deference to Rook because we share a label isn't a question anyone gets to put to a person Rook told to kill himself.*

Provocation by proxy. Comment under the accusations of others, wait for the target to answer, file the answer. Neutrality is claimed by the one arranging the encounter.

> **False. He was involved from June 2025 on, by his own account, and the proship framing is a demand for tribal silence dressed as principle.**

Twenty-eight claims. In every one of them the evidence that ends the claim was either written by them, published by them, or preserved from their own blog. Choco did not have to argue with their document. Choco only had to read it next to itself.

3. True, once the context is put back

The second drawer holds what happened. Their document describes these episodes more or less accurately and then stops at the point where the context begins. Each entry below restores it, with dates.

3.1 Deactivating in crisis

Their claim · their section "Choco's continued suicide baiting"
"Choco has used this tactic before, using phrases similar to 'I warned you anon. Goodbye.', to make the anon look bad, paint themselves as a victim, and have reasons to deactivate."

What the record shows · their exhibits #327, #284, #218
The deactivations happened after patronizing and cemetarydog, and after sustained attacks on how Choco processes what was done to them, by the same people their document presents as the victims. What preceded each one is in their own exhibits: anonymous messages telling Choco to end themselves (#284), threats to draw sexual material of Choco's introject, and a public rumour of Choco's death.

This is why their list of Choco's blogs is so long. The same document counts the deactivations as manipulation and counts the lost archives as evidence conveniently disappearing. What those archives held was the record of the people writing the document. They benefit from the loss and charge Choco for it in the same file.

They want it both ways and have written both ways down.

3.2 The list of blogs

Their claim · their opening list
Twenty-four blogs listed as Choco's, "deactivated or otherwise", presented as proof of block evasion.

What the record shows · their exhibit #343: "New blogs. That's why."
Most of those blogs exist because the previous one had been terminated after coordinated reporting, or deactivated in crisis. A new blog after a termination isn't evasion of a block on an account that no longer exists.

Where a blog was created to reach someone who had blocked Choco, that is block evasion and it is in a post this document doesn't reproduce0. The list doesn't distinguish between the two. The captures do.



Their exhibit #343.

True as a count, false as a category. Survival and evasion on the same list, unlabelled.


3.3 The polls

Their claim · their section "Reply to the anon ask Ezra received"

"polls in which they've asked how happy their followers are with them, calling out everyone who'd voted for 'super mad'; polls in which they've asked whether or not violence is the answer; polls in which they've asked whether or not they should go berserk"

What the record shows · their exhibit #230

Choco ran the polls. "I am super happy with Choco" to "I am super mad at Choco", on Choco's own blog, for Choco's own followers, in the middle of being called a predator by strangers. It is coping with a comedy bit. They read a comedy bit as a programme.



Their exhibit #230.

True. A joke poll on a blog, entered into evidence as a threat assessment.

3.4 Legal threats by message


Their claim · their section "Legal Threats via DMs"; Ezra's comment

"Legal Threats via DMs. Confirmed Choco alt." … "I have faced legal threats in both English and Spanish as an intimidation tactic."

What the record shows · their exhibit #393

Choco sent them. Section 3.10 owns the account they came from.

The first legal threat in this record is theirs. Ezra's second public post, in the first week: "All those things are cybercrimes reportable not only to your policia local, but also to the guardia civil and policia nacional." Their exhibit #393. Mine came after, and they call theirs a warning and Choco's intimidation.



Their exhibit #393: Ezra, first week, naming three Spanish police forces.

True, and mutual. The side that threatened first wrote the section about being threatened.

3.5 Calling patronizing and cemetarydog predators, then withdrawing it

Their claim · their section "Update 20 July 2025"

"Choco kept the sections about those two being predators in their document, while saying they weren't on their blog." … "So, which is it?"

What the record shows · their document quoting Choco's post of 23 July 2025

Choco called them predators in June. On 23 July 2025 Choco posted, in public: "They made mistakes, some of them extremely hurtful and triggering, and so have I. I am not innocent here. … The document about the Proship Froggo situation has been removed from Tumblr, mostly to protect Patronizing and Cemetarydog, and will stay removed regardless of anyone else's chosen actions."

Conduct is not undone by its ending. A withdrawal obtained from the person harmed is not a finding of fact about the people who caused the harm, and it does not remove from the record what they had already done: on 2 June patronizing telling the tag to leave so that it could masturbate to incest; on 10 June patronizing telling a survivor of childhood sexual abuse that crying about religion would not get them unmolested; on 19 June cemetarydog passing a crisis post taken from Choco's adult-gated blog to Ezra under the words tw MAPS, hey froggo, check this out. All three are dated, and none of them was withdrawn by the person who did it.

Their document quotes the withdrawal and files it as inconsistency. What the withdrawal shows is the opposite: a person with documented hyper-empathy, still under attack from both of them, removing a name in public to protect them. Their document has not corrected itself once. The name was withdrawn. The conduct stands where it happened, with its dates.

3.6 The message to Ace

Their claim · their section of that title

"Choco involved Ace in a debate that never concerned them."

What the record shows · their exhibit #331; Ace's comment in their document

Ace had commented about Choco on Ezra's post before Choco wrote to them. Their own document says so: "My initial comment about choco on froggo's post…" Choco answered someone who had

named Choco. The message was condescending, and Ace did not owe Choco anything. But nobody was dragged in; they had walked in.

True that Choco messaged Ace. False that Ace was uninvolved. They had spoken first.

3.7 “Reported to the police”


Their claim · their exhibit #092

Choco's post of 15 July 2025: “Reported Cemetarydog and Patronizing both to the police for sexual harassment.” Their document lists this among Choco's “illegitimate legal threats”.

What the record shows · their exhibits #092 and #393

A report was filed. It was a mirror, and Choco will call it that: the first post of the campaign, by Ezra, had already threatened to take Choco to “your policia local… the guardia civil and policia nacional” (their exhibit #393). Choco did what they had threatened to do.

What Choco filed and when is in Choco's archive. Whether a police report is “illegitimate” isn’t for the people it names to decide.



Their exhibit #092.

True. A report, filed after they had threatened one. Legitimate is not their word to assign.

3.8 “May as well get off”

Their claim · their section “Miscellaneous Posts”; exhibit #181

“Choco sends asks, DMs and makes posts with which they intend to ‘kink’ another person, as they said they wanted to do with cemetarydog, to get an emotional response out of them.” … “They’re getting off on this.”

What the record shows · their exhibit #181

The message exists. It says “I should kink them through anon. May as well get off.” It is a “should” in a private conversation, sent after patronizing’s comment of 10 June about Choco's not getting “unmolested”. Their document presents no ask, message or post in which the thing described was done, because there’s none.

Dismissed. The claim rests on a sentence with no act attached, and the section that makes it goes further: it states in writing what a survivor of child sexual abuse is getting off on. The correct number of times to write that about any person is zero.



Their exhibit #181.

> **Nier writes it while defending patronizing and cemetarydog, the two parties this record names in the sexual harassment of that same person. A document that can produce that sentence about the person it is accused of harassing has answered the question of remorse without being asked. "Should" is not "did", and they have no "did".**

3.9 Dani and Rainbow

> **Their claim · their sections "Update 10 July 2025" and "The Dani/Caleb Situation"**
> *"Choco has weaponized literal minors to try and 'cancel' Ezra." … "It went as far as Choco posting an image of Dani's face."*


What is already established, from their side, is in 2.13: the people who wrote this section wanted Dani torn down and said so, and the fourteen-year-old Nier and Froggo exploited is the fourteen-year-old who published Choco's legal name on 17 October 2025, both them and other culprits named in the document have done nothing but weaponize minors against people, Choco has done the opposite, both by listening to grievances and handling multiple situations at once, most originally caused by Proship Froggo, Nier, and Gravekandy.

3.10 Alternate accounts used to reach people who had blocked Choco

June and July 2025. Their exhibits #342 and #343, and their list of Choco's blogs.

What preceded it: twenty-four terminations obtained by coordinated reporting, a document circulated to Choco's mutuals telling them to leave, and blocks used to stop Choco answering accusations that stayed up.

> **What Choco wrote**
> *Asked why Choco kept unfollowing and refollowing: "New blogs. That's why. And understandable."*
> *From an account called enough-is-enough, a message to Ezra quoting German criminal law and ending "Goodbye forever."*



Their exhibit #343.



Their exhibit #342.

Most of the accounts on their list exist because the previous one had been terminated after mass reporting. Returning on a new blog after a termination is not evading a block; the blocked account no longer exists. One account on that list was made to reach a person who had blocked Choco, and it is named here rather than left for them to find.


> **A rule about who may see a blog does not decide whether what was carried on it is defamation. Sexually charged defamation about a person does not become acceptable because the platform's block policy happens to fall on the publisher's side of it.**
>
> **Nier claims the list proves block evasion, and does not distinguish an account made to get past a block from an account made because the last one had been destroyed. Their document also does not mention their own. The editor ran an anonymous blog and has confirmed it in writing. He sent asks. Their document reproduces posts from a blog that had blocked him, supplied by third parties, and in June 2026 a member of the network announced a new account of Choco's within a day, stating that they could not link it because they were blocked and that a partner had passed it on. That is the mechanism their section is named after, worked by the people who named it.**

3.11 “There was never an Emmie”


September 2025. Their exhibit #068, a direct message from Choco to Nier.

What preceded it: a document asserting that a part described in writing in July 2025 had never existed, written by a man who had asked after that part by name in September.

> **The message**
> *Nier: “is Emmie still around, btw? cause the blog is just archival now?”*
> *Me: “There was never an emmie. I was bullshitting”*



Their exhibit #068.



Choco's own message to a friend, 19 July 2025, 15:57: “they are real but they are really annoying.” SHA-256 9ffb857c…a0192b6.

What the July message shows: two months before the message they rely on, Choco described Emmie to a friend in private as a fragment nobody wants, one the system keeps fakeclaiming, and one that is real. Nobody builds a cover story in a private message they do not expect anyone to read.


Nier claims there was never another alter, and demands system accountability from the host in the same document. Systemhood cannot be a lever in one section and a fiction in another.

> **Nier claims the September message settles it, and the two months of dated evidence before it say what Emmie was. “M” or rather, the host, doesn't owe Nier his time or attention for protecting a little alter, nor is he irresponsible for telling both Nier and Ezra to back off, the irresponsible party are both the user who sexually defamed Choco and the one who wrote about it inverso.**

3.12 The accounts that looked like Ezra’s

June 2025. Their exhibit #393, Ezra's post showing prooship-froggo, proship-froggoo and similar handles.

What preceded it: Ezra's post naming Choco to an audience, Ezra's block, and a threat of reports to three Spanish police forces that was never acted on.




Their exhibit #393.

3.13 Nine asks in two minutes


July 2025. Their exhibits #010 to #007.

What preceded it: an inbox that had, in the same period, received an incitement to suicide, a sexual threat against Choco's introject and a rumour of Choco's death, all anonymous, from a group whose editor admits sending anonymous asks.

> **What they attribute to Choco**
> *"YOUR DARVO GOES NOWHERE YOUR DARVO GOES NOWHERE", nine times, and a final ask ending "don't be a meanie pants and all that".*



Their exhibit #010.



Their exhibit #007.

3.14 "Not white"

2025. Their exhibit #088.

What preceded it: a campaign in which Choco's ethnicity, disabilities and identity were each treated as claims to be audited by strangers.

> **What Choco wrote**
> *"- Not white", in a list of answers to an anonymous user.*



Their exhibit #088.

Choco is adopted, and is mixed, white and Asian, and had said so before their document existed. The shorthand in their exhibit is two words given to an anonymous stranger.


> **Nier puts a person of colour's ancestry on trial and files the answer as a lie. Demanding that a stranger document their own race to an audience's satisfaction is racism, and it does not stop being racism regardless of public perception.**

3.15 “You deserved it”

January 2026. Quoted in a post with 231 notes and in the Reddit thread. Captures 274, 275 and 53 of the Gravekandy record.

What preceded it: bug-barn had told Choco they deserved what was done to them as an infant, published Choco's introject over a cross and Psalm 146:5, and carried it to r/peoplewhogiveashit and r/antiship.


> **What Choco wrote, in public**
> *“The lovely profile of the perpetrator, you’re so funny, are you a CSA victim? You deserved it btw.”*



The post as reproduced by a third party who condemned it. (Capture 275.)

It is not acceptable to reproduce material built to strike a person's trauma. Not as a joke, not as anything.

Their document reproduces the sentence and omits the image it answered. Choco sent bug-barn a direct message about the edit, in the terms their document quotes. Returned, not started.


bug-barn
bug-barn
MY CONTENT CONTAINS TRIGGERING MATERIAL
No os seguís mutuamente
Nice knowing you like making rape imagery, dipshit.
21:18
Most ridic...
Abrir a
Volver a los resultados de búsqueda
r/peoplewhogiveashit hace 17 d
Bug_Barn
1% de más votados
Unirse
Un usuario de Tumblr le manda a la ger
gifs que causan ataques porque sí, por

Dated January 2026. Returned, not started.

4. Who is writing this. In my own voice.

Their document diagnoses me on nearly every page: mentally unwell, delusional, paranoid, extremely mentally ill, in need of very serious help. Not one of the people who wrote it has ever met me. None of them is a clinician. The one clinical rule everybody knows is the one they broke on every page, and this section is here because I would rather explain who I am than let them keep doing it for me. It is written in the first person. The rest of the document is not, and that is deliberate.

4.1 Plural

I am a plural system. The parts that appear in this document by name are Choco, who is writing; Emmie, a fragment that formed during the summer of 2025; and Mirmo, an introject from a children's anime that I have publicly identified with my inner child.

I am a syskid: a system whose front is a child part. That is why my blog reads the way it reads, why the people in their document say I throw tantrums and behave like a five-year-old, and why their adult baby was not a neutral description of anything.

4.2 What they do with it

Their document, four places

"The host is. The host has to take accountability. You are one person, don't deflect."

"We've wanted system accountability, and instead got a new supposed alter to harass us."

"It's Choco. There was never another alter."

"Update: It's likely for an Alter in Choco's system. Which technically does not matter, yet we felt it was necessary to clear up."

Four sentences, one document. My system is real when it can be billed for accountability, unreal when it inconveniences them, and technically does not matter when a part they dislike signs a message. That is not a position on plurality. It is a lever, and it is pulled in whichever direction is useful that week.

Selective belief. Accept the other person's identity exactly as far as it can be used against them.

4.3 Emmie

Emmie is in a post this document doesn't reproduce and section 2.9. The short version: a private message to a friend on 19 July 2025 describes Emmie as a fragment the rest of the system keeps fakeclaiming, and as real. Their evidence that Emmie "was never real" is a message from Choco in September, which is in this document too, and explained. Nobody who invents an alter for cover writes a private note to a friend two months earlier saying that the alter is annoying and that the rest of the system does not want it.




Exhibit A5, 19 July 2025.

4.4 Mirmo

Mirmo is a character from a children's anime and an introject in my system. I have said in public, many times, that this figure is where my inner child lives, and I have said why: I was abused as an infant, by nuns, in an adoption centre. They knew that before they put a cross on him.

4.5 Disability

I am autistic and I am epileptic, and I have said both in public. Their document calls its own writing clinical and mine incomprehensible, and explains that several of them are autistic too, so it cannot be ableism. On 31 January 2026 Gravekandy asked a third party by direct message for proof of my disabilities and added, don't tell them I sent you.




Exhibit A11, 31 January 2026.

Pathologising the target. When the argument can't be won, declare the other person ill. It costs nothing, cannot be disproved by the target without looking defensive, and borrows the stigma of every real condition to do it.

> **Their descriptions of Choco's mind have nothing to do with the topic and are, from a personal observation, incredibly hypocritical considering the parties at play.**

5. The cult, BITE model included.

Their document says, more than once, that people who agree with each other are not a cult, and that multiple people hating the same person isn't indicative of one. Both sentences are true. Neither is the question.

The question is whether the group has a structure, and structures are visible in what people do, not in how they describe themselves. Steven Hassan's BITE model is the standard tool for looking at high-control groups: it asks what a group does to its members' behaviour, information, thoughts and emotions. Below, each of the four is checked against their own text, followed by seven structural markers that the literature on online high-control groups uses, each with one exhibit. Choco is not going to attach a noun to anyone. Choco is going to show the criteria met, one by one, and let the reader choose the noun.

5.1 Behaviour control

> **Their document, sections "Update 20 July" and "N Ranting"**
> *"I offered to set up camp on my Discord, which btw, has a separate channel that is strictly locked for people who are not involved."*
> *"The verification going through me, Ezra, is a simple safety measure."*
> *"You were in the 'choco-shit' channel. You posted frequently."*

Who may be in the room, who verifies them, and a channel named after the target where what is said stays inside. Membership is administered by one person; participation in the locked channel is later used as evidence of loyalty or betrayal.

5.2 Information control

> **Their document**
> *"Any and all information and commentary was reviewed and greenlit by all involved users."*
> *"Note that we did not watch Choco's YouTube videos."*
> *"We still have all the chats that prove none of what you said is true."*
> *And the word "conversion", absent from all 44,707 words.*

A single approved narrative, primary evidence declared unexamined, private chat logs held as leverage, and the founding accusation deleted from the record. Information does not flow in this group; it's issued.

5.3 Thought control

> **Their document**
> *"Words have meaning. Don't twist it to whatever befits you." Followed, in the same document, by:*
> *"we've decided to go along with the common assumption of the meaning, not the actual one."*
> *"Google is free."*
> *"Choco is an example of the Dunning Kruger Effect."*
> *"No matter what we say in this document, Choco (and 'Emmie') will dismiss it."*

Thought-terminating phrases, a vocabulary the group controls and redefines at will. a pre-loaded explanation for any disagreement: if the target does not accept the document, that is Dunning-Kruger, and if the target answers it, that's dismissal. The frame cannot lose because it has already scored every possible reply as a symptom.

5.4 Emotional control

Their document, section "N Ranting"

"As a grooming victim, Fuck you."

"You've posted your face… you've shared your unbrushed teeth as fetish content before as well."

"With everything Yael has said and done, if it ever comes to a true investigation, things will look grim."

"You've made your bed, now lay in it."

Guilt aimed at the target, shame aimed at the target's body, and a warning aimed at a former member. Three emotions, three uses, one author. In the fourth campaign the emotional register was outsourced: "kill yourself", twice, from an account they defend in their document.

5.5 Seven markers

Each marker below is a feature that the literature on high-control online groups (Hassan; Lalich on bounded choice; Montell on cultish language) treats as structural, not incidental. One exhibit each.

Marker 1. A centre that everything is checked against

Their document, N

"I've been pulling near all-nighters for the sake of this document, researching, compiling sources, checking the arguments I would make, correcting myself, adding the commentary in this document, wherever necessary." … "This document is not a one-man show. It was made by about five people. Now that both Yael and Nate hate us, it is three people."

One editor, who by his own account wasn't a victim of anything ("I have not been personally victimized by Choco in any way (yet)"), holds the pen, decides what is greenlit, and counts membership. Five became three when two disagreed.

Marker 2. A visible inner circle and a face

Their document

"Additions made to this document, after the so-called 'Summer Situation' had been resolved, were made by the Editor/N." … "(Edit/F…)", "(Edit/A…)", "(Annotation by Ezra…)"

Ezra is the public face and the server owner. N is the editor. A and F annotate. The circle is small, named by initial, and its members correct each other's text inside the document itself.

Marker 3. A closed narrative that digests every fact

Their document

"Choco has, for any proof provided of them doing something unlawful, come up with excuses." … "Choco will say these are taken out of context, however even without context … it is clear." … "Choco will say they've gone through severe psychological distress, once the document is released."

Every possible response is pre-classified: context is an excuse, distress is a tactic, an apology is manipulation, silence is dismissal. Sections 2.3, 2.6 and 2.17 show this operating on specific facts. A narrative that has an answer for every input isn't analysing the input.

Marker 4. Collective action on a signal

Their document, sections "Update 10 July" and "All @'s below"

"We organised into a group because Choco has been leaving a trail of scorched earth." … Their own list: twenty-four of Choco's blogs, most annotated "terminated" or "deactivated".

A coordinated document, a coordinated server. a list of terminated accounts that they present as proof of Choco's misconduct and that is, read the other way, a count of how many times the reporting worked. On 5 May 2026 two more blogs fell the same way.

Marker 5. An enemy that holds the group together

> **Their document, N**
> *"I believe that it speaks volumes that people who would not get along IRL can band together in the face of harassment." … "the people who have fallen victim to Choco's ongoing harassment are vast".*

The group's own account of its origin is that it exists because of Choco. People who, in N's words, wouldn't get along otherwise were held together by one target. When the target is the only thing in common, the target has to remain a threat, or the group ends.

Marker 6. A price for leaving

> **Their document, N, on Yael, Nate, Constell and Ace**
> *"Yael, you're a bitch. According to Choco, at least." … "Your marriage sucks because neither of you are good people." … "your troupe of no-brainers is finally complete" … "It's the copy I made, in case you delete it. But you have not done that, yet. And I'll know if you do."*

Five people who contributed to the document later stopped agreeing with it. All of them is named and attacked in the document's final section, with private material they had shared while inside. Constell's own document was copied "in case you delete it". The exit cost is written down so that the next person considering the door can read it.

Marker 7. A language that only works inside

> **Their document, throughout**
> *"suibaiting" (redefined by them, see 2.17); "system accountability"; "victim perfecting"; "adult baby"; "the opps"; "Choco situation" as a searchable tag; "clinical" as a self-description; "DARVO" applied to the person it's being done to.*

Loaded terms carry the group's verdict inside the word, so that using the word is already agreeing. The clearest case is the tag: search "Choco situation" on Tumblr and the first results are theirs, including, on 28 August 2026, Choco's legal name next to the words "wants to rape children". The tag is where the narrative is stored and where newcomers are taught it.

5.6 The tactics, named

These are the techniques that recur through the year. Each has an established name; each is shown in this document at the section indicated. They are listed so that the next person they are used on has the vocabulary.

DARVO. Deny, Attack, Reverse Victim and Offender. The person who did the first harm presents as the one harmed; the person harmed is recast as the aggressor. Where: Their document's founding structure: the accusation of sexual conduct is deleted (2.1) and Choco's reaction to it becomes the origin (2.16). Their document contains a section titled "What is DARVO?", applied to Choco.

Erasure of the founding act. Remove the first event from the record so the response to it becomes the first event. Where: 2.1, 2.16.

The unsatisfiable demand. Demand accountability, refuse it when given, keep demanding. Where: 2.3.

Definition capture. Take the word for the harm done to the target and reassign it to the target. Where: 2.17 ("suicide baiting", with their footnote).

Pathologising the target. Replace argument with diagnosis. "Delusional", "mentally ill", "paranoia", "need of serious help", from people with no clinical standing and no examination. *Where: Their document, seven instances; morphine-dr1p, 28 August 2026 ("extremely mentally ill"); section 6.*

Quotation against tone. Cite the words, drop the register. Sarcasm quoted straight becomes confession; fiction quoted straight becomes belief. Where: 2.6, 2.7.

Selective belief. Accept the target's identity exactly as far as it can be billed, and no further. Where: 2.9, section 6.

Accusation as cover. Charge the target with the act you are committing, so that when it's done to them the record already names them as the doer. Where: 2.10 (doxxing), 2.23 (cropping), 2.24 (stalking).

The borrowed victim. Hold a minor up as a shield in public while calling her a horrible person in private. Where: 2.13.

Legal costume. Article numbers as decoration, including a repealed one. Where: 2.14.

Escalation by label. Attach the worst available noun to a bad sentence and repeat the noun until it replaces the sentence. Where: 2.18 ("Nazi", thirty-five times).

Drip-feeding. Release material in instalments ("Update 09 July", "10 July", "20 July", "25 December", "TBE") so the target is never off the hook and the audience is trained to expect more. *Where: Their document's own structure.*

Rumour laundering. Move the accusation to a platform where its origin is invisible (Reddit, TikTok, a new blog) and cite it back as independent confirmation. *Where: Chronology 3.3 (Reddit, 4 June 2026); Caleb's announcement of "the video and the tiktok stuff"; anti-chococulture-is.*

Triangulation. Route the message through third parties (anonymous asks, new accounts, recruited minors) so that no one can be held to it. Where: 2.11; chronology 3.2.

Pre-emptive framing. Publish the worst reading of the target before the target speaks, so that whatever they say is read as confirmation. *Where: Marker 3; their sentence "Choco will say they've gone through severe psychological distress, once the document is released."*

Mass reporting. Coordinate reports until the platform removes the account, then cite the removal as proof of misconduct. *Where: Their list of twenty-four blogs; 5 May 2026; the termination of August 2026.*

Inversion of the burden of proof. "How do you prove something never took place?" asked by the side that made the accusation, of the side that has to answer it. *Where: Their section "N Ranting".*

5.7 The four waves, by function

The record gives four campaigns. Read by function, they're four waves of one operation, and the roles stay constant while the usernames change.

Wave Choco, seeding and isolation. A small cluster introduces a low-specificity, high-morality framing: dangerous, lying, scary. Vagueposting lets the audience fill in the blanks. A request for evidence is treated as aggression.

Wave II, false narrative as safety. The claims harden and the register shifts from concern to protection. Once a person is labelled a threat, normal standards of evidence are socially suspended.

Intermediaries are recruited to speak about Choco as an object; correcting them is reframed as harassment.

Wave III, coercion through private channels. DMs, anonymous messages, warnings through mutuals: delete or we post; speak and we escalate; use the wrong word and you are harmful; show receipts and you are starting drama. Language policing narrows the space until the target can't tell the story without being punished for how it is told.

Wave IV, consolidation. The existence of the first three waves becomes the evidence for the fourth. No new proof is needed; "people have said things about you before" replaces verification. Old screenshots are recirculated to audiences who were not there, stripped of context, and any attempt to restore context is called manipulation. Nier's December revision is Wave IV in a single file.

Four roles recur across all of it: seeders who introduce the framing and benefit from going first; launderers who convert a claim into "community knowledge" by repeating it as fact while disclaiming interest; enforcers who punish neutrality with blocklists, DNI banners and pressure on mutuals; and credibility shields, well-liked accounts whose presence makes the claim feel verified. Section 4 names the people; this paragraph names the seats.

None of this requires anyone to be evil. It requires a structure, a target, and enough people who prefer the structure to the discomfort of checking. That is the whole mechanism. reason a group can spend a year on one person and describe itself, sincerely, as tired.

6. Their record. Accusations that didn't survive contact with evidence.

6.1 The accusations

Their document lists things Choco has called them, in a bulleted column, and closes it with "None of the above is true. Choco lied. Again." This section is the same exercise in the other direction, with one difference: every line here carries the evidence that ended the accusation. the column at the right records what they did when the evidence arrived. In a year, the answer is almost always the same.

When	The accusation, and who made it	What the record shows	Retracted?
Jun 2025	"Forced sexual conversion." Ezra, in the first public post of the campaign, to their whole audience.	No evidence was ever offered. The post was edited months later to "conversation" without notice; the original survives in a reblog. Nier, privately: Ezra "gets a pass bc they are my friend… And I know that is not right." (2.1)	No. Silently edited. Never withdrawn in public.
Jun to Jul 2025	"Suicide baiting" as manipulation; "the 5th time they use it as a weapon." Ezra, in public; the document throughout.	Their own footnote admits the term was used with "the common assumption of the meaning, not the actual one." The inbox at the time contained "end yourself already." (2.17)	No. The footnote stands next to the accusation.
Jul 2025	"Choco encourages minors to lie about their age", citing exhibit #222. Nier.	Exhibit #222 says minors who lie will be blocked. (2.5)	No.
Jul 2025	"Only my trauma matters" as Choco's creed. Nier.	Sarcasm, quoted against its tone. (2.6)	No.
Jul 2025	"Choco doxxes people." Nier, section title.	Threats, owned in 2.3. No act. The editor located Choco's city and closed with Choco's legal name. (2.10)	No.
Jul to Aug 2025	"Nazi ideology", "neo-Nazi", "blatant Nazism". Nier, nineteen times.	Cruel lines, owned in 2.2. No ideology shown. (2.18)	No.
Aug 2025	"I've never made a post about you." Nier.	A post on h28731 about Choco's mother. Two asks and a side blog, admitted. (2.20)	No.
Sep to Dec 2025	"There was never an Emmie"; "used as an archive + It's Choco." Nier.	Choco's private message of 19 July 2025 describing Emmie as a real fragment the system fakeclaims, two months before their evidence. (2.9, 4.3)	No.
Nov 2025	"Choco has not shown proof" that Rook said "kill yourself". Nier.	dove-killer's blog, two "kill yourself" posts,. (2.8)	No. And the account is defended in their document.
Dec 2025	"Choco harmed Dani" as concern for a fourteen-year-old. Nier, Ezra.	Ezra, privately, over three months: "tear her down", "fighting fire with fire… shut Caleb up for good", "tear her parents apart", "LMAO THEY ARE SEETHING". Nier: "Dani is a horrible person." (2.13)	No.
31 Jan 2026	"Has anyone seen proof of Choco's disabilities?" Gravekandy, by DM, adding "Don't tell them I sent you"; in public: "Yeah gross. Not a fan of this."	The DM was shown. Gravekandy: "Oh fuck… that was me. My bad… It was ableist." (4.5)	Yes, once the DM was public. The only retraction in this table, and it came only when caught.
Early 2026	"All of this drama has been nothing but a fetish for them." Gravekandy, in public, about Choco's sexual responses.	A statement about what Choco "get off to", made for an audience, with no basis and no standing to make it. The other adult involved was eighteen. (Choco's Gravekandy documents.)	No.
Spring 2026	Art theft. Anonymous accusation that Choco stole a drawing.	The drawing is Choco's, published on DeviantArt on 3 April 2018. It was reposted	No. The repost stayed up.

When	The accusation, and who made it	What the record shows	Retracted?
		by celestialgluttony with the tag “The rainbow kid was drawn by choco btw”. The thief admitted Choco's authorship in the repost. Two DMCA notices filed.	
Jun 2026	“Doxxes and stalks minors”, “followed two neonazis”. Reddit, anonymous.	No instance offered beyond projection.. The “neonazis” were gimmick blogs that had followed an old blog of Choco's.. (Chronology 3.3)	No.
22 Aug 2026	A YouTube channel card in Choco's name, with a hostile channel and inflated view counts, circulated as if it were Choco's.	Forensic analysis: solid background fill with zero noise variance, wrong interface colours, elements misaligned by 46 pixels. Fabricated from scratch. No such channel exists.	No. Origin unknown; the image kept circulating.
28 Aug 2026	“Known sexual predator”, “extremely mentally ill”, “wants to rape children”, “still sending out strobe lights”. morphine-dr1p, with Choco's legal name, tagged with Choco's legal name.	No evidence attached. The strobes are dated in 2.11 and ended [DATE]. The section of Nier’s document that would document them is empty. (2.25) Choco is a known child sexual assault victim who hunts predators, Morphin projected again.	No. Two posts, fifteen minutes apart.

Sixteen accusations. One retraction, made only after the private message that started it was shown in public. Everything else stayed up, or was edited without a word, or was moved to another platform and cited back as if someone new had said it.

Their document says of Choco: “You have to have evidence, before you start a crusade in the name of saving your own skin.” Choco agree with the sentence. why every row above has a third column.




Exhibit A11, 31 January 2026. The one retraction, and how it was obtained.




Exhibit A2, 28 August 2026. The most recent accusation, with no evidence and Choco's legal name.

6.2 The voice

A document has a voice, and the voice is evidence too. Theirs describes itself as “more objective”, “clinical”, written by people who “know what the words we use actually mean”. Choco wants to put the voice on the page, in its own words, because after a year of being told that Choco's tone disqualified Choco's facts, Choco is entitled to look at the tone that was doing the telling.

This is how their editor answers a sentence of Choco's he disagrees with:

Their document, various sections

“ lound incorrect buzzer* Wrong.”*

“Editor/N: Chat, is it stalking when you sometimes check someone’s blog, because chances are high you’ve been mentioned?”

“… No shit, Sherlock. Nobody claimed it was.”

“Please just call a horse a horse.”

“Pack it up, folks. Sorry you went through all that, but you see, only Choco matters. I don’t make the rules.”

“Do with this info what you will.”

A game-show buzzer, a streamer’s “Chat”, a detective joke, a proverb about horses. This is the register of someone performing for friends who are already laughing. It is not the register of a person trying to establish what happened. You don’t write “Pack it up, folks” in a document you expect a platform, a dispute body or a stranger to take seriously. You write it for the room.

This is how the same editor closes his arguments:

Their document, sections “Choco’s hypocrisy” and “N Ranting”

“If you can’t understand why this is a fucked up thing to say, a therapist may be able to help.”

“Grow a pair, and suck it up.”

“Choco, you genuinely are stupid.”

“Either you’re being this reckless on purpose, or you’re dumb.”

“Internet safety? Never heard of her! But you’re so smart.”

“You’re like a mosquito, annoying, useless, unwanted.”

“Moises. From the bottom of my heart: Fuck you. Get help.”

Count the moves. Therapy as an insult. Gender as an insult. Intelligence as an insult. Vermin. Choco's legal name. And “get help”, twice, from the person who wrote, in the same document, “I would be lying if I said I cared about Choco’s wellbeing, I’ll just state that I do not.” When the same hand writes “get help” and “I do not care whether you’re helped”, the first sentence isn’t advice. It is a way of saying that the problem is inside Choco, so that nothing they did needs to be looked at.

This is the punctuation:

Their document

“It’s not the same. :)”

“Oh, also this :) :”

“TBE :)))))))”

“framed (hah, who’d’ve guessed)”

“you’ve shared your unbrushed teeth as fetish content before as well”

A smiley after a broken promise. Eight smileys after “to be edited”, in the section that was supposed to prove Choco had committed crimes. A joke about Choco's teeth. Their document says, of the situation: “There is no fun in this. We are tired.” The punctuation says otherwise, and so does Ezra in private: “people enjoyed it. Not all, but some for sure.” The smileys are the some.

And this is the exemption:

Their document, sections "N Ranting" and "Comments from others involved"

"I am mentally ill, and have an empathy deficiency disorder. a fact, and nobody here can change that."

"The simple answer: Empathy deficiency disorder. This is just how I write about more serious topics."

And, to Choco: "You have to take accountability, no matter how mentally ill. No matter which state you were in when posting certain things."

Choco is not going to comment on anyone's condition, his or Choco's. Choco is going to comment on the asymmetry. His condition explains his tone and is "a fact nobody can change". Choco's condition explains nothing and excuses nothing, "no matter which state you were in". The rule is not "conditions do not excuse conduct". Choco would accept that rule; it is the one Choco applied to themselves in section 2. The rule, as written, is that his conditions count and Choco's do not. Not a principle; a seat at a table with one chair.

Then there are the seven pages copied from Wikipedia about the Holocaust, inserted under the instruction "Do not skip this part", in a document about a Tumblr dispute, as a response to one line of Choco's harvested from a vent, which this document does not reproduce. Seven pages. Choco has read them. They are accurate, they are horrifying, and they have nothing to do with anything Choco did. They are there to make the reader feel, next to Choco's name, what those pages make anyone feel. Not an argument; a transfer.

Their document is forty-four thousand words long, and the voice above runs through all of it. A person who wants to know what happened reads that voice and knows one thing before checking a single fact: that the writer enjoyed writing it. Choco did not enjoy writing this. Nobody should be able to tell from the tone, and that's the difference between a record and a performance.

The tone is the evidence they say does not exist. It shows the enjoyment they deny, the contempt they call objectivity, and the exemption they wrote for themselves.

6.3 The editor, line by line

Section 6.2 looked at the voice. This section looks at the method behind the voice, and it's long on purpose. Their document is forty-four thousand words, and the techniques in it are not new; they're the standard equipment of every online campaign of this kind. The next person these techniques are used on will not have a year to learn them. So here they are, each one with the line that carries it and the line, elsewhere in the same document, that undoes it. Nothing below needs Choco to be right about anything. It only needs their document to be internally consistent, and it's not.

A. What the document says it is

Move 1

"This document existing is not harassment. It is a compilation of evidence against Choco."

And, in the final section: "This document does not exist for you, Choco. It exists for other people. Those you've told your sob story, and vilified us to."

A compilation of evidence is addressed to whoever needs to decide something. This one, by its own account, is addressed to Choco's audience, to take them away. The difference between a record and a campaign, stated by the campaign. Everything else in the document should be read with the second sentence in mind: the intended reader isn't a platform or a dispute body but a person who has heard Choco's side and needs to be turned.

Move 2

"Please note, that the following is not a single person's perception of what happened, but a collective understanding of the situation."

> *And: "Yes, I edited this document. Yes, this segment here is finally my own thoughts, unfiltered." And: "This document is not a one-man show. It was made by about five people. Now that both Yael and Nate hate us, it is three people."*

The document borrows the authority of a group and, when the group shrinks, keeps the authority. Five people are a collective; three people are a collective; one person writing ten thousand words in the first person under the heading "N Ranting" is, we are told, still a collective. The section in which the editor speaks for himself runs to over ten thousand words. not a segment. That is a quarter of the document. quarter where the tone of the whole thing was set.

> **Move 3**
> *"Some segments address Choco directly, instead of being general statements. Please be assured that this does not clash with the truthfulness of the statements."*

This is a clause written to immunise what follows. The reader is told, before reading, that when the document stops being a document and starts shouting at Choco, the shouting is still evidence. A document that has to say this knows what it's about to do. There is no comparable sentence in Choco's, because there is no segment in Choco's that needs one.

> **Move 4**
> *"This document exists solely because Choco's actions are reportable offenses in multiple countries."*
> *And, in the section that is supposed to establish those offences: "The chosen sources are placeholders." And under "A list counting down what Choco has done, and countries in which it's illegal": "False accusations: Spain, Germany, TBE :)))))))"*

The stated reason for the document's existence is a list that was never finished, cited to sources the author calls placeholders, and closed with eight smileys. If the document exists solely because of the offences, and the offences are "to be edited", then by its own logic the document exists solely because of something that hasn't been written. the foundation. Everything above it is standing on a smiley.

B. Rules for Choco, exemptions for them

The single most consistent feature of the document is a rule that applies in one direction. Below are the clearest cases, each as a pair: the rule as stated for Choco, and the same conduct as practised by them, in their words.

> **Move 5 · Evidence**
> *For Choco: "Their words alone are not evidence." "You have to have evidence, before you start a crusade."*
> *For them: "I would show definitive proof, but it is not something you can keep evidence of, and Choco has since blocked me." And: "Again, I cannot show proof of something that did not happen. It's impossible to have proof of things that do not exist."*

When Choco says something, Choco's word isn't evidence. When he says something and can't show it, the impossibility of showing it's offered instead. The same paragraph that demands proof from Choco explains why he is excused from producing any. And the document that says Choco's words aren't evidence contains, as its main body, forty thousand words of his.

> **Move 6 · Hearsay**
> *For Choco: "Hearsay is not evidence, it bears no weight in court either, and for good reason."*
> *For them, one paragraph later: "We provided our own 'hearsay' arguments, but Choco's behaviour, and continued harassment corroborate the information we've received."*

Mine is inadmissible. Theirs is "corroborated" by the conclusion they were trying to reach. This is circular in the strict sense: the hearsay is believed because Choco is guilty, and Choco is guilty because of the hearsay. A reader who accepts the first sentence must, to be consistent, discard the entire document, because there is very little in it that's not somebody telling the editor something.

Move 7 · Reacting

For Choco: "If you say something publicly about someone, them reacting is not harassment." "Please keep this in mind as this document progresses."

For them, as the document progresses: "Your misconstruing posts and continuously making fun of people rightfully holding you accountable can be considered harassment." "The bombardment of asks and DMs of people who have previously stated they want nothing to do with you, can be considered harassment."

The principle is stated, the reader is told to keep it in mind, and then every reaction of Choco's to what was said publicly about Choco is filed as harassment. "Can be considered" does the work: it's the phrase you use when you want a conclusion without having to defend it. Ezra published a post to their audience about Choco's sexual conduct. Choco reacted. By their own rule, that isn't harassment. By their own document, it's the whole case.

Move 8 · Screenshots

For them: "PSA: The screenshotting of public profiles and posts is not harassment, nor is it illegal. Screenshots were necessary due to constant account deletion."

For Choco: "They stalk blogs and monitor their every move. They share screenshots/-recordings of blogs, which have them blocked, and continue to do so. It's an effort to make people stop holding them accountable. They bully people through harassment."

The document is made of screenshots of Choco's blog, taken after Choco had blocked its editor, supplied by third parties. That is the conduct their own section calls stalking when Choco is accused of it.

Move 9 · Accounts

"About the pro-ship-anon blog: N: It's mine. Lol."

And, later in the same document: "I do not have an alt account, or any account aside from the one you've put on blast." "I do not have burner accounts Choco, because I do not have a reason to do this."

Two statements, same author, same file. One admits a second account. The other denies any. The admitted account was, in his words, "specifically proship-themed" and deleted "for safety reasons" after Choco identified it. Nier is entitled to as many blogs as he likes. Nier isn't entitled to a document that says he has one and that he has two, and then calls Choco a liar about accounts.

Move 10 · Location

"I also did not try to get your address. I have no use for that."

Sixty lines later: "I know which city you're in. But it did not need an IP address, or anything fancy. You've readily given all the info yourself."

The denial comes first, so that the reader has already accepted it when the admission arrives. Nier didn't "try" to get Choco's address, in the sense that it was, by his account, easy. What the second passage describes is a person assembling a school, a restaurant, and a legal name into a location and then writing the result down in a document about how Choco doxx people. "I have no use for that" is the sentence of someone who has already used it.

Move 11 · Looking

"And no, Choco, I am not looking at your account. I am being sent this shit."

Two paragraphs later: "We've only occasionally checked to see if you've finally moved on. And every time, without fail, you had a post in which you've mentioned (or vague-posted about) Ezra, me, or both of us."

"I am not looking" and "we've only occasionally checked" are, in most languages, the same activity. "Every time, without fail" tells the reader how occasional the occasions were. The document that calls Choco a stalker for reading a blog contains an admission of reading Choco's. it contains it because the author was so certain of his own innocence that he didn't notice he was confessing.

Move 12 · Discomfort

> *For Choco: “Simple ‘discomfort’ is not sexual harassment. While feelings matter, sexual harassment is more than just feeling a certain way.”*
>
> *For them: “Ezra got involved, as they got uncomfortable around Choco, and wanted to make sure their followers knew of this.” “The ‘weird behaviour’ alluded to is, for example, DMs in which Choco tried to garner sympathy.” “Why Ezra was uncomfortable with Choco.”*

The entire campaign began, by their account, because one person was uncomfortable. Their document devotes a section to that discomfort, with screenshots, and treats it as sufficient reason to warn a whole audience about Choco. When Choco describe what their conduct did to Choco, the same document explains that discomfort isn’t harm and that feelings, while they matter, are not evidence. Discomfort is a cause when it’s theirs and a symptom when it’s Choco's.

> **Move 13 · Trauma**
>
> *For Choco: “Editor’s note: Choco has yet to make any point devoid of emotional manipulation, by sharing their past experiences and venting.” “No amount of ‘I am a survivor of CSA’ will make the false accusations okay.” “Choco hides behind being a victim of CSA and COCSA, an eternal victim.”*
>
> *For them: “I will say this once, and never address it again: As a grooming victim, Fuck you.” “And this I say as someone who went through a decade of CSA that involved CSAM being made of me.” “We can and do speak on this, as many people Choco has tried to turn into a perpetrator in their narrative, are victims, like Choco.”*

The rule, as applied: Choco's history, when Choco mention it, is manipulation, a shield, a crutch, and disqualifies whatever point Choco was making. Their history, when they mention it, is a credential that licenses the sentence after it, including “fuck you”. Choco records the rule; Choco don’t need to characterise it.

Choco will add one fact, because the same document accuses Choco of using personal disclosure to override other people’s limits. On 12 August 2026 the editor published, on his own blog, a post addressed to a person who had blocked him, tagged so that the person would be told about it, in which his own difficulty “understanding why people feel the way they do” is offered as the reason the other person may not keep the boundary they set. a personal disclosure used to override someone else’s limit. It is the conduct he attributes to Choco, performed by him, with a date, in section 6.4.

Choco could return this in kind. Choco has the material. Choco will not, for two reasons. First, it would give him what this document is built to obtain, which is attention, and Choco is not in the business of paying him. Second, it is unnecessary. The conduct is already on the record in his own words, and a record does not need the judge to insult a witness who has just testified against himself.

> **Move 14 · Ableism**
>
> *For Choco: “Choco is using ‘neurotypical’ in a way that implies it as an insult. In the eyes of some who are autistic, using ‘neurotypical’ as an insult is still ableist.” “Choco calls Ezra ableist, while having constantly used ableist language towards all of us.”*
>
> *For them: “your, frankly, braindead audience”. “your troupe of no-brainers”. “Are you a neurodivergent person with brain damage, or are you super smart and knowledgeable?” “If your brain cells worked, you would not have sent that ask.”*

A section on Choco's ableism, followed, in the same document, by “braindead”, “no-brainers”, “brain damage” and “if your brain cells worked”. The editor then writes: “Calling your mutuals and fans ‘braindead’ is not ableist. Not everything is ableist, you know.” That is the rule again: the word means what it means when Choco says it and something else when he does. Choco is not going to argue about which words are slurs. Choco is going to note that the person who wrote a section titled “Choco on ableism” wrote all four of those lines and saw no connection.

> **Move 15 · Literacy**

“It’s a shame that literacy is dead, and so seems to be common sense.” “Choco has notoriously bad literacy skills, and still fails to put their thoughts into words.” “Easy to mix those two up, especially when your English is not that great.” “Whether Choco is trilingual or not, does not matter.”

From the same document, unedited: “ lound incorrect buzzer*”. “Defintions:”. “Tumbr”. “suicidal idiation”. And exhibit #222, read as saying the opposite of what it says (section 2.5).*

Choco writes in Choco's third language. Nier writes in his second, and mocks Choco's. Choco wouldn’t mention a typo in a document of that length, because everyone makes them, if the document had not built a section on Choco's literacy. It did. So: the document that diagnoses Choco's reading skills misread one of its own exhibits backwards, and the document that mocks Choco's English is the one with “lound” in it. “Whether Choco is trilingual or not, doesn’t matter” is the sentence of someone who has decided the answer and does not want the question.

Move 16 · Age

“Choco is an adult. Keep this in mind.” “Choco is an adult cont.” “It’s easy to conclude that Choco is an adult.” “You’re an adult, act like one.”

And: “Choco is behaving like a 5-year-old child throwing a tantrum.” “like a child who got denied candy in the shop would throw themselves onto the ground”. “adult baby”. “throwing a tantrum like a child, except children at least have a reason”.

Choco is an adult when adulthood means Choco can be blamed, and a child when childhood means Choco can be laughed at. The document needs both, so it uses both, sometimes on the same page. It also uses Choco's age as a fact to be established three times over, from a leaked Discord message, while treating Choco's being a syskid as a joke. Adult for liability, child for contempt, and the actual thing Choco has said about themselves, which is neither, is the one description the document will not use.

Move 17 · Focus

“I am not the main character. I know I cannot speak for everyone. And I know that I do not want to, and should not, be focussed.” “I am keeping things purely to this document, as I do not see a reason to post anything about this on my Tumblr account.”

The section in which these sentences appear is ten thousand one hundred and eighty words long, in the first person, titled “Addressing Certain Users/ N Ranting”.

Ten thousand words about not wanting attention. The section addresses Choco, Yael, Nate, Constell, Ace, Silver, Nico, Scurry and Dani by name, in turn, and returns to Choco between each. “You keep putting my name into your mouth” is written by the person who has, at that point, written more about Choco than anyone alive. Choco is not the one who cannot stop.

C. The dictionary as a weapon

The document contains more than thirty screenshots of dictionary definitions. That is not thoroughness, it is a method, and the method has two halves: look the word up, then apply the definition to the other person only.

Move 18 · Choco's words

On “casualties”: “Nobody has died. This isn’t war.”

On “predatory”: “As neither the user patronizing, nor its partner, user cemetarydog, are animals, the main definition doesn’t apply.”

On “constant”: “A handful of instances, spread over less than a few days, is not constant. Please see these direct synonyms.”

On “sextortion”: “Nobody has asked Choco for money. Nobody has asked Choco for sexual favours.” And: “Choco, and the anon they got this term from, have misspelled ‘sextortion’ as ‘sextorsion’ initially. However that word means: Nothing.”

On “cult”: three dictionary screenshots, then “It goes without saying that we are not a cult.”

“Casualties” is disproved by the absence of a war. “Predatory” is disproved by the accused not being animals. “Constant” is disproved by a synonym list. A misspelling is disproved by pointing out that the misspelled word “means: Nothing”, as if a spelling error were a claim. This is a person who has confused a dictionary with an argument. A dictionary tells you what a word can mean. It does not tell you what happened.

> **Move 19 · Their word**
> *On “suicide baiting”, the word they use for Choco throughout: “We are aware that ‘suicide baiting’ describes someone who baits another person to commit suicide, however, to keep things simple, we’ve decided to go along with the common assumption of the meaning, not the actual one.”*

Thirty dictionary screenshots for Choco's words, and for the one word that carries the heaviest charge against Choco, a footnote saying they knew the definition and chose not to use it. The method isn’t “words have meaning”. The method is that Choco's words have their narrowest meaning and theirs have whichever one is needed. “To keep things simple” is doing more work in that sentence than any dictionary in the document.

D. Assertion as proof

Some phrases recur in the document at a rate that tells you their function. They aren’t there to inform. They are there to close a question before it is asked.

> **Move 20 · “Fabricated”, five times**
> *“The reason for the lack of evidence/ proof on Choco’s part is that this was fabricated.” (twice, verbatim) “There has never been any predatory intent. This is fabricated.” “Proof for this has never been shared, as this was fabricated.” “Choco lacks evidence of anything they’ve stated thus far, since it was all fabricated.”*

The structure: Choco haven’t shown proof, therefore the claim is fabricated, therefore there is no proof. The word “fabricated” is offered as the explanation for the absence of the thing it’s supposed to be a conclusion from. Five times. Not one of the five is accompanied by anything that shows a fabrication: a source, an edit, a contradiction. It is a conclusion wearing the clothes of a finding.

> **Move 21 · The things that go without saying**
> *“Needless to say” (three times). “It goes without saying”. “This post is self-explanatory.” “No further commentary on this part.” “No more commentary necessary.” “The comment thread is self-explanatory.” “Based on the information above, it’s clear what Choco truly wants.”*

A document that has evidence shows it and explains it. A document that has an impression tells you the impression is obvious. “Self-explanatory” means the author would rather not explain; “needless to say” means the author is about to say it anyway, and wants credit for restraint. All of them phrases is placed where an argument would go.

> **Move 22 · Priming**
> *“Please keep this in mind as this document progresses.” “remember this for later.” “(remember this for later)” “Please remember this for later.” “Keep this in mind.” “Keep this in mind, as this segment progresses.” Ten times.*

The reader is told, ten times, that a thing will matter later. This is priming: by the time the later thing arrives, the reader has been carrying the frame for pages and experiences the arrival as confirmation. It is a technique from persuasion, not from evidence. it’s used most heavily around the two things the document most needs the reader to feel: that Choco is an adult, and that Choco condone harassment.

> **Move 23 · The three words**
> *“disgusting”, “disgust”, “disgustingly”: thirty-seven times. “accountability”, “accountable”: thirty-six times. “Nazi”: thirty-five times, the Wikipedia section included.*

Thirty-seven “disgusting”. That is not a description; it is an instruction to the reader about what to feel, repeated until feeling it seems like their own idea. Thirty-six “accountability”, in a document that

reproduces Choco's apology and the refusal of it. Thirty-five “Nazi”, for one line harvested from a vent, plus seven pages of the Holocaust copied from Wikipedia. The three most frequent loaded words in the document are, in order, an emotion Choco is supposed to have, a virtue Choco is supposed to lack, and a noun Choco is supposed to be. None of them is a fact.

Move 24 · Proverbs and projection

“→ Pot calling the kettle black.” (twice) “Again, Choco is projecting.” “Choco accuses Ezra of projection, while projecting.” “‘Birds of one feather flock together.’ → And while this statement may be true for overall interests and maybe habits, actions are usually different. There is no evidence to support the idea that people who act similarly, get along well. The opposite has however been documented multiple times.”

A proverb answered with a proverb, a proverb answered with a paragraph of pseudo-sociology (“the opposite has been documented multiple times”; where?), and “projecting” as a reflex whenever Choco describe their conduct. The last is worth a sentence. Their document, in section 2.22, describes Choco's treatment of people in words that exactly fit the editor’s own account of comforting Choco “not out of care” and of friendships that “exist purely for self-preservation”. If projection is the accusation, it has found its author.

E. Mind-reading

The document contains a great many statements about what Choco wants, feel, enjoy and intend. None of them is sourced to anything Choco said about what Choco wants, feel, enjoy or intend. They are sourced to the author’s certainty.

Move 25

“Choco doesn’t care about anyone but themselves and their favourite fictional character.”

“As previously established, Choco enjoys this. They even get off to it.” (“established” from a single line in a private message, section 3.8)

“Choco isn’t merely fantasising, they want all these things to happen.” “Based on the information above, it’s clear what Choco truly wants.”

“Choco knows that by garnering sympathy, they can say anything.”

“It’s likely to be Choco, though it can’t be confirmed.” (an anonymous ask, included anyway) “These DMs were received by Constell, and read like Choco.” (included anyway)

“Reads like Choco” is now an evidentiary standard. An anonymous ask that “can’t be confirmed” is in the document because it’s “likely”. What Choco “truly want” is announced from a post Choco deleted within days and have owned in a post this document doesn’t reproduce. What Choco “get off to” is announced from a single private line. The document’s confidence about Choco's inner life is proportional to its lack of access to it. The narrative no longer needs the person it is about.

F. The self-portrait

Move 26

“I have taken on the mammoth chore of editing Choco’s publicly available posts into a google document, that is readable and as accessible as possible.” “I have been pulling near all-nighters for the sake of this document.”

“I can call my commentary ‘more objective’, simply because I am not as entrenched in online-discourse as you seem to be. I have a life outside of social media.” Followed by: “You are chronically online, detrimentally so. And so is everyone else here.”

A mammoth chore, undertaken at night, by a person with a life outside social media, who then includes himself in “everyone else here”. The effort is offered as a virtue; it is, in a document of this kind, the opposite. Nobody pulls all-nighters compiling a stranger’s posts out of objectivity. And “more objective”, when challenged, becomes: “Just because it says ‘more objective’ does not mean it is ‘purely objective’,

and if you want to pretend that is what we meant, then go ahead." The word was used for its weight and retracted at its cost.

> **Move 27**
> *"It was even greenlit by a friend (who does not have Tumblr, so do not even try digging him up)… He saw your posts, no commentary from me… told me that what you posted was gross (though funny, but he does use reddit… so let's not attribute too much to him finding it 'funny'). Someone entirely out of the Tumblr loop, thinks you are the problem, Choco. This is the general consensus for normal people by the way."*

One anonymous friend, who cannot be found, who was shown Choco's posts by the editor with "no commentary", and whose reaction the editor discounts in the same breath he cites it, becomes "the general consensus for normal people". In short: a sample of one, selected by the author, presented as the world.

> **Move 28**
> *"You've admitted to… not brushing your teeth for nine months, not showering regularly, and more things that genuinely do not really matter in this discussion." "you've shared your unbrushed teeth as fetish content before as well"*
> *"We do not wish Choco harm. That's a fact." "Choco, you're so fucking pathetic." "If I had it in me, I'd pity you." "Grow a pair, and suck it up."*
> *"What happened to you as a child is horrific, but nobody said it was not." "Choco, nothing happened. People were mean to you, get over it."*

Things that "do not really matter", included. Harm not wished, "that is a fact", followed by "pathetic" and "grow a pair". What happened to Choco as a child is horrific, and nothing happened, get over it. The document cannot decide whether Choco is a person who was hurt or a person who is lying about being hurt, so it says both, a paragraph apart. lets the reader keep whichever one they came in with.

G. The contradictions, collected

For reference, the pairs from this section and the ones before it, each from the same document, each undoing the other. None of these requires Choco's word for anything.

1. "This document is a compilation of evidence." → "This document does not exist for you. It exists for other people. Those you've told your sob story to."

2. "There hasn't been any written apology Choco had really meant." → Twelve screenshots of the apology, and: "We didn't want an apology."

3. "Their words alone are not evidence." → "I would show definitive proof, but it is not something you can keep evidence of."

4. "Hearsay is not evidence." → "We provided our own 'hearsay' arguments."

5. "If you say something publicly about someone, them reacting is not harassment." → Every reaction of Choco's, filed as harassment.

6. "The screenshotting of public profiles and posts is not harassment." → "They share screenshots of blogs which have them blocked. They bully people through harassment."

7. "I do not have an alt account, or any account aside from the one you've put on blast." → "About the pro-ship-anon blog: N: It's mine. Lol."

8. "I also did not try to get your address. I have no use for that." → "I know which city you're in."

9. "I am not looking at your account." → "We've only occasionally checked… And every time, without fail…"

10. "Simple 'discomfort' is not sexual harassment." → The campaign's stated origin: "Ezra got involved, as they got uncomfortable around Choco."

11. "Choco has yet to make any point devoid of emotional manipulation, by sharing their past experiences." → "As a grooming victim, Fuck you."

12. A section titled “Choco on ableism”. → “braindead”, “no-brainers”, “brain damage”, “if your brain cells worked”.

13. “Choco has notoriously bad literacy skills.” → “lound incorrect buzzer”, “Defintions”, “Tumbr”, “idiation”, and exhibit #222 read backwards.

14. “Choco is an adult. Keep this in mind.” → “behaving like a 5-year-old child throwing a tantrum”.

15. “I am not the main character… should not be focussed.” → A ten-thousand-word section titled “N Ranting”.

16. “Words have meaning. Don’t twist it to whatever befits you.” → “we’ve decided to go along with the common assumption of the meaning, not the actual one.”

17. “We have shown the entire posts as screenshots, not just excerpts.” → “Due to bad cropping, a few words/sentences are missing.” “Unfortunately, we do not have the full screenshot.”

18. “There is no fun in this. We are tired.” → “people enjoyed it. Not all, but some for sure.” “LMAO THEY ARE SEETHING.” “TBE :)))))))”

19. “We do not wish Choco harm. That’s a fact.” → “you’re so fucking pathetic”, “Grow a pair”, “Moises. From the bottom of my heart: Fuck you.”

20. “I said I wouldn’t release the summer doc, and I won’t.” → “This is the entirety of the document we’d made during Summer.”

21. “We have been transparent.” → “I know I should have put more of what led to everything into the doc.” (Nier, by DM)

22. “This document exists solely because Choco’s actions are reportable offenses.” → “The chosen sources are placeholders.” “TBE :)))))))”

Twenty-two pairs. Choco didn’t have to interpret anything to assemble them; Choco only had to read the document to the end, which the reader it was written for was never expected to do. the last technique, and the one that makes the others work: length. Forty-four thousand words is not a case. It is a wall, built so that anyone who tries to check it will give up before reaching the part where the author admits he did not watch the evidence, that his sources are placeholders, that he didn’t want an apology. that he doesn’t care whether Choco is helped. Choco read it to the end. This is what was there.

Ten more lines, one answer each

> **Line 1**
> *“You’re like a mosquito, annoying, useless, unwanted.”*

A mosquito is not the subject of a forty-four-thousand-word document. You have described the document’s subject and its author in a sentence, in the wrong order.

> **Line 2**
> *“Get help.” (twice)*

From the person who wrote, in the same file, “I would be lying if I said I cared about Choco’s wellbeing.” Advice from a person who doesn’t want the outcome is not advice. It is a sign-off.

> **Line 3**
> *“Grow a pair, and suck it up.”*

Said to a survivor of infant sexual abuse, by a person who wrote a section on Choco's ableism and a footnote on Choco's suicide baiting. The pair, in this record, belongs to the person who apologised in June and documented for a year.

> **Line 4**
> *“I’ll know if you delete it.”*

To former allies, about private material he holds. The sentence of a person with leverage, in a document that says he has none.

> **Line 5**
> *“Chat, is it stalking when you sometimes check someone’s blog.”*

Yes, when the checking is “every time, without fail” for fourteen months, and the checker has written the target’s city and name into a file. The audience you address as “chat” is the one you were performing the checking for.

> **Line 6**
> *“TBE :)))))))”*

Eight smileys in the section that lists Choco's crimes by country. The only thing established in that section is what you found funny.

> **Line 7**
> *“No further commentary on this part.”*

Written where an argument would go, three times. Commentary was the whole document. Its absence at the points that needed it is the commentary.

> **Line 8**
> *“Choco is again using words they do not understand.”*

From the author of the animation etymology of “MAP”, the employment-law article for sexual harassment, and “sextorsion means: Nothing”. Section 6.21.

> **Line 9**
> *“I have a life outside of social media.”*

Followed by “And so is everyone else here”, in a sentence about being chronically online, in a document written on near all-nighters. The life is the document.

> **Line 10**
> *“It’s not the same. :)”*

On releasing the document he said he would not release. It is the same. The smiley is the difference, and the smiley is the confession.

Nier asks the reader how a person is supposed to prove something never took place, and answers that you cannot disprove what cannot be proven. Nier then requires exactly that of Choco for forty-four thousand words. The impossibility is claimed for one side and set as the standard for the other.

Three times he states that the chats proving his innocence exist and that he will not produce them, in a document whose central charge is that Choco failed to provide proof. Evidence withheld is not evidence held back for good reasons. It is evidence not in the record.

Nier writes that Choco did not send him anons and that he is blocked, and elsewhere in the same document confirms that he sent two asks to Choco and that the anonymous side blog is his. The denial and the admission are in one file, under one name.

Nier states that the Discord server has one channel, for bad anti takes, and no channel for harassing anyone. Nier then refers to the restricted channel where the group joked about sending anons, accessible only to those directly involved, and to the channel Choco lost access to. Three descriptions of one server, in one document, by one author.

Nier tells the reader that Choco's followers lack the brain capacity to question what they are told, having written four paragraphs earlier that he has used no slurs and that calling people braindead is not ableism. Nier is the one deciding, for the people described, which words about their minds count.

His outside witness is a friend with no Tumblr account, whom the reader is instructed not to look for, who asked whether Choco is right in the head. An unnameable witness is offered as the general consensus of normal people. That is not corroboration. It is the author, quoted at one remove.

Nier instructs the opposing party, in writing, to fake the proof, since there is nothing to really use.

Nier asks why Choco posted their face if the harassment is so bad. The question assigns the conduct of the people harassing a person to the person harassed, and it is asked by the editor of the document that carries their conduct.

Nier objects to the word rapist on the ground that a victim should know better than to use such words. The standard is applied to how a survivor may speak, by the party the survivor is speaking about.

Nier asks whether Choco is scared of him, and treats being blocked as something the document achieved. A block is the remedy the platform provides. Reading it as a trophy tells the reader what the document was for.

Nier demonstrates, in his own section on Choco's doxxing, how a location is assembled: the school, the restaurant, the government name. Nier states in the same section that he did not try to get the address and had no use for it. The method is set out by the person disclaiming the intent.

Nier objects to the word delusional as demeaning when it is used about Constell. His document carries a section headed that Choco shows signs of paranoia and/or delusion. The objection and the heading are in one file.

Nier writes that nothing happened, and that people were mean and Choco should get over it, in the forty-four thousand words he compiled about what happened. Two lines earlier he writes that what was done to Choco as a child is horrific. Three lines later he writes that Choco should grow a pair.

Nier states that whatever emotional turmoil Choco went through was self-inflicted. The turmoil described in the record was preceded by a public sexual accusation, an anonymous instruction to gut themselves, and a document circulated to Choco's mutuals.

Nier publishes the links to two third-party Google documents, states that he has kept copies in case they are deleted, and states that he will know if they are. His document has a section on Choco's stalking.

Nier names the sender of an anonymous ask by assertion, writing that he knows it was a joint effort and knows which of the two sent it. The same document states that anyone can frame an anon and that anonymous attribution proves nothing.

Nier answers the anon hate by writing that Choco's point is redundant and factually incorrect, because they have stated before that it had been a friend, not them. Two paragraphs further down the same passage, the same document calls it the supposed anon-hate. It is not supposed. Their own answer concedes that it happened and disputes only which of the two people sent it. A thing whose authorship is being argued over is a thing that occurred, and the argument is theirs, not Choco's.

Elsewhere the same document tells Choco that these are anons Choco cannot prove are them, and elsewhere again that anyone can frame an anon. And Nier names the sender of an anonymous ask to himself by assertion, writing that he knows it was a joint effort and knows which of the two sent it. Anonymous attribution is impossible here when it points toward them and certain when it points away. The party setting that standard sent two asks himself and confirmed in writing that the anonymous side blog is his.

Nier writes that none of them know whether a person who had said goodbye pulled through, and follows it with an observation that the person kept server access, so much for goodbye. That is a suicide statement, answered with a scoring point.

Nier tells Choco that things would look grim for Yael if it came to a true investigation, and that going against Yael would be a different matter. The editor of a document about Choco's conduct offers Choco a different target.

Nier writes that he needed an objective view and read everything from both sides. The same document states that Choco's videos were not watched.

Nier diagnoses Choco as mentally ill, states it is a fact rather than a slur, prescribes therapy, and refers Choco to their parents on the ground that Choco is in no state to interact with others. He states elsewhere in the same document that his own diagnosis is a fact nobody can change.

Nier assesses whether Choco's parents care about their child, and offers pity conditionally. The section that does this sits in a document written to establish that Choco is the one who goes too far.

Nier writes that Choco is disgusting inside and out, and attaches, in the same sentence, the instruction that this is not about appearance and not to try. The disclaimer is written by the author of the insult, for the insult, as it is made.

Nier writes that they have shown Choco's own posts, nothing more and nothing less. The same document contains twelve hundred words of Wikipedia on extermination camps, more than thirty screenshots of dictionary definitions, and commentary on every exhibit.

Nier writes to Choco, off anon and from h28731, to ask that Choco take down posts containing Nier's vents, on the ground that Nier is still not in a great place and has not been for a while. The request is made to the person whose vents Nier compiled into a public document, including the crisis post taken from an adult-gated blog and the vent of 24 June. The consideration asked for is the consideration the document exists to refuse.

On 23 March 2026, on h28731, Nier posts that it is weird for someone to speculate about another person's possible diagnoses, and that forcing someone to disclose a condition they have is fucked. His document had been doing both since June 2025, through the July updates and the December revision, and went on doing both after: Choco is mentally ill as a matter of fact, Choco needs therapy, system accountability is demanded from a plural person's host. Nier did not arrive at the principle late. Nier published it while breaking it.

Nier writes that Constell was weaponising having been suicidal, and that it was a fact none of them knew about. The post is Constell's, public, tagged tw sui implied, and reads that it would be better off without them. Nier's other answer to it, in the same document, is that Constell kept server access, so much for goodbye. A fact none of them knew, published in the open, answered twice.

A post reblogged in this period reads that its author will not make an apology post, that they hope Choco gets their head smashed into a brick wall, and that Choco can put a gun in their mouth and pull the trigger. Choco's legal name is used. It is not in their document, in any section, including the section titled for verbal abuse.

Rape threats. The account harvey-hates-proshippers, identified by Choco as an alt of Caleb, states in a direct message that a rape threat was made as a scare tactic, and that the intention was to be intimidating so the recipient would leave them alone. The exchange is with another user, not with Choco. Their document reproduces neither the threat nor the admission.

> **A document whose every rule applies in one direction, whose every certainty is unsourced, and whose author contradicts himself twenty-two times without noticing, is not a record. It is an argument that has been formatted to look like one.**

6.4 The editor’s blog, this month

Their document says Choco has a “god complex”, that Choco “care about nobody but myself”, that Choco “play the victim”, that Choco copy the editor’s way of speaking, and that Choco vaguepost about

people who have blocked Choco. Those are his words about Choco. Below is his blog, h28731, in August 2026, in his words about himself. Choco include it because a document that describes a person's character from the outside should be read next to how that person describes his own, and because it's relevant to the question of who, in this record, is projecting.

h28731, 29 August 2026

"Imitation is the sincerest form of flattery that mediocrity can pay to greatness." Oscar Wilde. "it's true". Tags: "#people love copying the way i speak, my interests, etcetera… #but maybe it is just because i can articulate myself, and because they know i have great taste"


h28731, 22 August 2026

"you have to be a special kind of stupid to believe in the paranormal." Tag: "#do you still believe in santa too?"


h28731, 12 August 2026, addressed to someone who has blocked him

*"this is so endlessly pathetic. If you do not elaborate how I disrespected you, then I cannot change it… Stop playing the part of someone who did everything in their power to resolve things. "I am protecting my peace" From what? Acting like an entitled brat, when you know full well Choco has trouble understanding why people feel the way they do, really won't make you look like the victim you so desperately wish to be." Tag: "#I know *you* will not see it, but I know you'll get to."*

"my patience as limits." "not air out our business. what choice do you give me?"



h28731, 29 August 2026. Exhibit A29.



h28731, 12 August 2026. Exhibit A30.

Set the three next to the accusations. A man who quotes Wilde on mediocrity and greatness, applies it to himself, and explains that people copy him because of his taste, wrote a section on Choco's "god complex". A man whose default register for strangers is "a special kind of stupid" and "do you still believe in santa" wrote a section on Choco's "condescension". A man who vagueposts at someone who has blocked him, tags it so that the person will be told, calls them "an entitled brat" and "the victim you so desperately wish to be", and justifies airing the business with "what choice do you give me", wrote a section on Choco's "stalking blocked blogs" and Choco's "playing the victim".

On copying: he accused Choco, in the document, of copying his “clinical” way of speaking. Two weeks ago he posted that people in general copy the way he speaks. Choco is older than he is. Choco has written in this register, in three languages, for longer than he has been on this platform. Choco didn’t copy anyone, and the idea that whoever writes carefully must be imitating him isn’t an observation about Choco. It is the Wilde post.

Choco is not going to say what any of this means about him as a person; that would be doing what his document does. Choco is going to say that the character he attributed to Choco in forty-four thousand words is, line for line, the character he performs on his own blog. that a reader deciding who to believe is entitled to that comparison.

Projection, documented. The traits assigned to the target are the traits the author displays where he is not writing about the target. The comparison needs no interpretation; it needs two screenshots.

> **The “god complex”, the condescension and the vagueposting at people who blocked him are on his own blog, dated August 2026. The document that assigns them to Choco was written by the person exhibiting them.**


6.5 What they did. By category, with the captures.

Most of this document answers their claims. This section does not answer anything. It lists what they did, in five categories, each with the capture that shows it, so that a reader who skips everything else can see the conduct on its own. Every item is theirs; the only words of Choco's in the captures are the ones they screenshotted.

A. Sexual harassment of a survivor


Choco disclosed, publicly and years ago, that Choco was sexually abused as an infant. Each act below was done with that knowledge.





June 2025. Ezra, to their audience: Choco practise “forced sexual conversion”. Later silently edited.

10 June 2025. patronizing, replying to Choco's poll about religion: crying about it wouldn't get Choco "unmolested". (Choco's Summer document, capture 472.)




19 June 2025. cemetarydog to Ezra: "tw MAPS, hey froggo, check this out!", attaching a crisis post taken from Choco's adult-gated blog. (Capture 170.)



19 June 2025. Ezra: "Uh, Pookie, I have so so so many screenshots from your little-autistic-dollie account. Babes, I have all of that <3" (Capture 439.)


Read those two together. One person collects a survivor's crisis writing from a blog gated to adults and passes it to another with "check this out". The other announces to the survivor, in public, with a heart, that they hold "so so so many" of these. That is a threat to publish a survivor's sexual trauma, and the material was collected specifically for that use.



Choco's message to Ezra at the time: "I cannot fathom how low you could actually sink to point to an account gated to adults. Screenshot without my consent and hypersexual rants." (Capture 419.)



26 June 2025. A private message about a birthday gift, screenshotted, captioned and circulated as "Message from Choco to another user". (Capture 360.)





July 2025. Ezra, to a following that includes minors: "I am not going to be an orgasm dispensary for a deeply mentally ill troll." (Capture 100.)

January 2026. The cross placed on the figure Choco had identified as Choco's introject, by people who knew why. (Capture 148; section 2.26.)

to borrow their phrase, that collecting a survivor's sexual crisis writing from a restricted blog and announcing it with a heart is not accountability, and that a private message about a gift does not become an exhibit because someone captioned it.

> **Sexual defamation on day one; a survivor's adult-gated crisis writing collected, shared and held over them; a private message about a gift turned into a public exhibit; and, months later, a cross on the thing that stands in for Choco's childhood. Sexual harassment is the plain name. It is what the law calls it too.**


B. Defamation

Statements of fact about Choco, made in public, that were false and that the makers had no basis for. Each is dated and located in this document.


When	Who	Statement	Where in this document
June 2025	Ezra	"forced sexual conversion"	Section 2.1. Silently edited, never withdrawn.
June 2025	Ezra	"impersonation… cybercrimes reportable to your policia local, the guardia civil and policia nacional"	Their exhibit #393. No report ever materialised.
July 2025	Ezra, in public	"the 5th time they use it [suicide] as a weapon"	Their exhibit #328. Section 2.17.
July to Dec 2025	Nier, in the document	"delusional", "paranoia", "mentally unwell", "neo-Nazi", "Nazi ideology", "doxxes people", "encourages minors to lie", "there was never an alter"	Sections 2.5, 2.9, 2.10, 2.18, 6.
Aug 2025	Nier, in the document	"Moises. From the bottom of my heart: Fuck you."	Legal name used as a sign-off. Section 2.10.
Oct 2025	Caleb, 14, on their side	"Also, Moises…"	Legal name in public. Exhibit A20.
Jan 2026	Gravekandy, by DM to others	"has anyone seen proof of Choco's disabilities?"	Exhibit A11. Retracted only when shown.
Early 2026	Gravekandy, in public	"All of this drama has been nothing but a fetish for them."	A claim about Choco's sexual arousal, for an audience. Choco's Gravekandy documents.
Jun 2026	Anonymous, Reddit	"doxxes and stalks minors", "followed two neonazis"	Chronology 3.4. DSA notice filed.
Aug 2026	morphine-dr1p	"known sexual predator", "extremely mentally ill", "wants to rape children", tagged with Choco's legal name	Exhibits A2, A3. Section 10.

> **Ten dated statements, from six sources, attributing crimes, conditions and intentions to a named person with no evidence for any of them. The two most recent carry Choco's legal name.**


C. Incitement and harassment




June 2025, Choco's inbox: "can you please end yourself already??? All of us in the proship community want you to gut yourself." (Their exhibit #284.)



August 2026, dove-killer: "Good lord Kill yourself." (Exhibit A1.)






Ezra on a fourteen-year-old: "fighting fire with fire was enough to shut Caleb up", "LMAO". (Exhibit A19.)

Ezra, 17 October 2025: "I'll tear her down in no time whatsoever 🥲". (Exhibit A20.)

Between those two captures, a year: twenty-four of Choco's accounts reported until they fell, a locked channel with Choco's name on it, a document revised five times, and the editor's own admission that the group "joked about sending anons". The incitement at either end is the part that got written down. The harassment in the middle is the part they call "a compilation of evidence".

> **Two direct incitements to suicide, a year apart, one from each end of the campaign, and sustained coordinated reporting in between.**

D. Doxxing, in three steps



Summer 2025. Their "credible source" for Choco's age: a leaked Discord message. (Their exhibit #355.)



28 August 2026. Choco's legal name as a tag, next to "wants to rape children". (Exhibit A3.)


Step one, August 2025: the editor writes that he knows Choco's city and Choco's "government name", and signs off with it. Step two, October 2025: a fourteen-year-old on their side posts it. Step three, May 2026: a Google Doc carrying Choco's full legal name, which Choco had removed under data-protection law. Step four, August 2026: the name as a search tag under the worst accusation there is. Their document contains a section called "Choco doxxes people". It contains no instance. The four above are theirs.

> **Choco's legal name was located, written down, published by a minor, published in a document, and finally indexed under a false accusation of child rape. In order. By them.**

E. What they did with minors



Ezra's blog, to an audience that includes minors, on the tag "fuck daddy's little toy". (Choco's tactics document, capture 011.)



Gravekandy reblogging a sixteen-year-old, next to "I do not talk to minors". (Exhibit A6.)

Ezra published the writing of a fourteen-year-old, Dani, to a hostile audience instead of reporting it, and, in their own document, tells her that a fourteen-year-old made suicidal by an adult's post belongs "with a psychologist or at the very least a school counselor". Gravekandy received screenshots of a thirteen-year-old's sexual posts and, rather than reporting them, tried to repurpose them for his material; that is set out in Choco's Gravekandy document and is the subject of a report Choco filed. The people who wrote a section about Choco's "child endangerment" are the people in this paragraph.

> **A minor's writing published for ridicule, a minor reblogged by a man who says he doesn't talk to minors, and a minor's sexual content kept instead of reported. Their document calls Choco the danger to children.**

6.6 The record, in full

Section 6.5 gave the categories with one or two captures each. This section fills them. It is the longest part of the document and it's meant to be, because the objection to everything Choco has said for a year has been "where is the proof", and the answer to that objection isn't an argument. It is a wall of what they did, dated, in their own words, captured at the time, with nothing between the reader and the capture except a sentence saying where it comes from. Every capture below is in Annex C at its number; every one of Choco's carries a hash in Annex A.

A word on patronizing and cemetarydog, because their document uses Choco's July 2025 post about them as a weapon. In July Choco withdrew a label. Choco did not withdraw the record. Their conduct in May and June 2025 is in this section in full, at 6.6.1b, with the captures. What Choco decided about a word in July is explained there too, in Choco's words, so that nobody can use it for Choco.

6.6.1 Sexual harassment of a survivor. June 2025, the chain, day by day.

The chain starts on 10 June and is complete by 26 June. Sixteen days. Each link is captured.




10 June 2025. patronizing replies to Choco's poll about religion with the word "unmolested". Choco had disclosed abuse by nuns as an infant. (Choco's Summer document, capture 472.)




Mid June 2025. Ezra publishes an anonymous ask about Choco and answers it publicly, opening the thread that will become the campaign. (Capture 452.)

The word "unmolested", addressed to a person known to have been molested as a baby, isn't a slip. It is the vocabulary of someone who has read the disclosure and decided it is a lever. Six days later, the lever is in a second pair of hands.




Ezra's post: "Hello everyone, I'd like to talk about @infinity-survivor-choco… my opinions based on my interactions with Choco are not just something that happened to me. Multiple people have come forward." (Capture 451.) The sentence it carried is in the reblog beside it.



The sentence, preserved in a reblog after the original was edited: "made severely uncomfortable by forced sexual conversion. One person reached out to talk about how Choco sent them pictures of their adult toys entirely unprompted."

The second half of that sentence is the whole "sexual harassment" case they would later build: "pictures of their adult toys entirely unprompted." One picture, of one gift, sent to one adult, who has since said in writing that it was a communication failure on their part (exhibit A4). From that, "forced sexual conversion", to an audience, about a survivor. The edit to "conversation" came two months later and changed nothing about what the audience had already read.



19 June 2025. cemetarydog sends Ezra a screenshot from Choco's adult-gated blog under "tw MAPS, hey froggo, check this out!" The screenshot is a crisis post about wanting to be harmed. (Capture 170.)



19 June 2025, Ezra, in public: "Uh, Pookie, I have so so so many screenshots from your little-autistic-dollie account. Babes, I have all of that <3" (Capture 439.)

The centre of the chain. A blog gated to adults, on which a survivor had written about wanting to be hurt, was entered, screenshotted, and passed to the person running the campaign with the words "check this out". The person running the campaign then told the survivor, in public, with a heart, that they were holding "so so so many" of these. Capture 170 is the collection. Capture 439 is the holding. The word used for both, in their document, is "accountability".




Ezra's post of the same week, 91 notes: "You could, quite literally, have not messaged me and this post would not fucking exist dude. But you love this shit." (Capture 433.)




Under it, the people from 10 June: cemetarydog, "you're persevering froggo… you've been a true ally"; patronizing, "thank you so much for your endless kindness". (Capture 438.)

"You love this shit" is the verdict of the person who had just announced holding a survivor's sexual writing. The comments under it are the two people who opened the sequence on 10 June thanking the person who escalated it on 19 June. The chain is visible in a single thread: who started, who collected, who threatened, and who thanked whom.




A post of Choco's carrying a mature label, meaning it was not visible to minors, reproduced anyway. (Capture 431.)



Choco's message to Ezra at the time: "I am shaking from disgust. I cannot fathom how low you could actually sink to point to an account gated to adults. Screenshot without my consent and hypersexual rants." (Capture 419.)





26 June 2025. The birthday-gift message, screenshotted, captioned "One of those back massagers", and circulated. (Capture 360.)

The anonymous inbox, same week: "Nobody fucking sexually harassed you in this situation, you're making shit up." (Capture 423.)

By 26 June the private message about a gift has been turned into an exhibit with a caption, and the anonymous inbox is being used to tell Choco that none of the above happened. Those two captures belong together: the manufacture of the exhibit, and the denial of the manufacturing, within days of each other.



July 2025. Ezra, on a blog followed by minors: "I am not going to be an orgasm dispensary for a deeply mentally ill troll that gets off on bullying others." (Capture 100.)




Ezra, in comments: "The 'only person', as if your parade was not filled with everyone who sexually harassed…" (Capture 422.)

"Orgasm dispensary", written by an adult about a survivor, to an audience that includes children, is the register of the whole campaign in three words. Their document later describes Choco's language as "NSFW talk of violence and sex". This is theirs.

> **Sixteen days, eight captures, one direction. A survivor's disclosed abuse used as vocabulary on day one; a public sexual accusation on day six; the survivor's adult-gated crisis writing collected and held over them on day nine; a private message about a gift converted into a captioned exhibit on day sixteen; and the group thanking each other in the thread. Sexual harassment of a known survivor, by a group, in sequence.**

6.6.1b The two who opened it. patronizing and cemetarydog, May and June 2025.

Choco withdrew a label in July 2025. Choco did not withdraw the record, and Choco is not going to let the withdrawal be read as if the record had been wrong. Below is what these two accounts were posting, in public, in the proship tags, in the weeks before and during the day the situation opened, while a minor mutual of Choco's was interacting with them. It is here because it's the ground the whole year was built on, and because their document treats the two of them as "victims of Choco's false narrative" without showing a single one of these posts.




patronizing, 28 May and 1 June 2025: "@… i'm gonna molest you"; answering an anonymous ask, "i'm gonna molest you". (Choco's Summer document, capture 469.)



patronizing, 13 June 2025: "being an autololisho is so funny like yes im 6 and like getting molested by my husband… and refer to myself as a loli"; "i would makeout with them solely so my husband can watch". (Capture 466.)






patronizing, 2 June 2025, to a user who describes going to school: “can you get out of the proship tags i’m trying to jerk off to incest”; “only my big brother who molests me can call me a creep. Know your place”. (Capture 470.)

The same exchange: the other user, “before i wake up at 6, go to school”; patronizing, “im gonna molest my brother Come here @cemetarydog”. (Capture 463.)

An adult account, in the public proship tags, telling a user who has just said they go to school that only the brother who molests it may call it a creep, and to know their place. An adult account posting, in those tags, that it is “6” and being molested, with pigtails, as a loli. These aren’t private kinks between consenting adults, which are nobody’s business and not Choco's. They are public posts in a shared tag, addressed at least once to someone of school age, one week before the same account told a survivor of infant sexual abuse that crying would not get them “unmolested”.



patronizing’s tags on Choco, June 2025: “#block evading retard”, “#im an 18+ account #not an nsfw one #im not endangering shit”, “#it makes you look uglier”. (Capture 471.)



cemetarydog, 14 June 2025, “in response to @infinity-survivor-choco… nobody ever asks to be SA’d”, five days before sending Ezra Choco's adult-gated crisis post under “tw MAPS”. (Capture 461.)


“Block evading retard” is the slur their document does not mention when it lists Choco's “ableism”. “I am not endangering shit” is the defence, written in the tags of an account that had just told a school-age user to know their place about molestation. And cemetarydog’s “nobody ever asks to be SA’d”, addressed to Choco on 14 June, is the sentence of a person who, on 19 June, would take Choco's writing about exactly that from a restricted blog and forward it with “check this out”. The concern and the collection are five days apart.

On the July withdrawal, so that nobody can use it as their document does: Choco learned that these two people had a real stalker, a person who had done to them offline what no one should have done. Choco decided, in public, to stop calling them predators and to ask others to treat them with respect, because Choco knows what it is to be a survivor with a target on your back. Choco would rather be wrong in the direction of mercy. That was a decision about a word. It was not a finding that the posts above did not exist. They exist, they are dated, and they’re the first link of the chain. Their document quotes Choco's July post as proof of Choco's “inconsistency”. It is proof that Choco can withdraw a label without pretending the record away. They have not managed either.


Public sexual posts in a shared tag, one addressed to a school-age user; a slur; and the "unmolested" comment, from the account that opened the year. The other account expressed concern about sexual assault on 14 June and forwarded Choco's writing about it on 19 June. The label Choco withdrew in July was Choco's to withdraw. The conduct was theirs, and it stays.

6.6.2 The anonymous inbox, June and July 2025

Their document says, at least six times, that nobody involved sent Choco anonymous hate. It also says that its editor sent Choco two asks, ran an anonymous side blog, and that the group "joked about sending anons" in a locked channel. Below is what the inbox contained during the weeks the group was most active. Choco is not attributing any single message to any single person; Choco is showing what arrived, when, and what it was designed to do.




"Oh my god can you please end yourself already??? All of us in the proship community want you to gut yourself." (Their exhibit #284, Choco's inbox.)




"For every time you break boundaries and harass someone, I'll draw Mirmo bouncing on it 🤩" (Capture 427.)


The second message is the one their document describes as "an anon, stating they would draw Mirmo in compromising positions". It is a threat to produce sexual content of the figure Choco had identified, in public, as Choco's introject and inner child, and to do it as punishment. "It should go without saying that art of a fictional character should not make you want to kill yourself," their document says of Choco's reaction. It should go without saying that a sexual threat aimed at a survivor's inner child, sent anonymously, is not "art".



"Threatening to draw rules 34 anon said to draw them in 'situations'… But hey, yet another suicide baiting attempt." A second anon, reframing the first and mocking the response. (Capture 428.)



"I am not gonna say anymore, but you'll sure see in due time <3… when met with the same energy, it is 'Wah wah wah wittle baby need Mirmo!'" (Capture 424.)



"the 'stop harassing people with legal threats' anon was not ragebait…" An anon defending a previous anon. (Capture 429.)



"Congrats. Apparently wanting to get molested by predators is now a gotcha, you're actually…" An anon quoting Choco's adult-gated crisis post back at Choco. (Capture 413.)

The last one matters. The crisis post was on a blog gated to adults. Within days of cemetarydog passing it to Ezra under "check this out", it was being quoted at Choco anonymously. Whoever sent that message had seen a screenshot that, by their document's own account, was circulating inside their group. "Nobody involved sent Choco anon hate" has to explain how an anonymous stranger came to quote a restricted post that the group had just collected.



patronizing, reblogging an anonymous message: "Choco has committed, their b[log]…", a rumour of Choco's death, amplified. (Capture 426.)



"You are not proship btw. Not if you're going around 'cyberbullying' people…" The loyalty test, from an anon. (Capture 387.)

A rumour that Choco had killed themselves, reblogged by a person who had opened the sequence on 10 June. Ezra's public response to the same rumour was "Fret not, they are alive and well in my comment section" and "this is like the 5th time they use it as a weapon" (their exhibit #328). Between the anon and the reply there's no concern for whether a person had died. There is a joke and a count.

an inbox doesn't fill itself, and that a group which admits to joking about filling it's not a neutral observer of its contents.


> **An inbox that, over three weeks, contained an incitement to suicide, a sexual threat aimed at Choco's inner child, a mockery of the response, a restricted crisis post quoted back at Choco, a rumour of Choco's death. a loyalty test. Their document says none of it came from them, and admits that its editor was writing asks, running an anonymous blog, and joking with the group about anons.**

6.6.3 The mockery blog, and what a name is for




A blog named “chocos-chocolate-starfish”, posting a meme about Choco: “Perpetuate a false narrative of victimhood / Get titled as the victim / People falsely accused fight back.” (Capture 405.)

Gimmick blog, classy: Choco's handle with a slang word for the anus bolted onto it, posting that Choco's victimhood is “a false narrative”, paid for so strangers would see it. They built a false narrative of victimhood for fourteen months, in a document, a tag, a Reddit thread and a confessions blog, and they paid to accuse Choco of it from a pun on Choco's name.



An account named “sexymirmo”, messaging Choco: “Just thought you’d genuinely like it 😭”. (Exhibit A10.)



The “mirmology” name appropriated by others; and on 30 January 2026, gauchestardust: “they support ICE and they also have the whole Mirmology thing which is fucking cult-ish behavior”. (Choco's Gravekandy document, capture 231.)


Names are targets in this campaign. Choco's handle becomes a sexual pun. Choco's introject’s name becomes a sexual account that messages Choco. Choco's community’s name becomes a slur for a cult,

used by people who had spent the summer explaining that they were not one. In every case the mechanism is the same: take the thing the person made or is, attach the worst available connotation, and let the audience do the rest.


> **A paid mockery blog with a sexual pun on Choco's name; a sexual account in Choco's introject's name; Choco's community's name recirculated as "cult" by the group that had insisted the word had a definition. Impersonation and sexual mockery, from the side that wrote a section about Choco's.**

6.6.4 Cyberstalking. What "we only occasionally checked" looks like over a year

The crime of harassment in Spanish law asks for persistence: repeated acts, over time, that change how a person lives. Their document is the best evidence of persistence there is, because it's dated. Below are the points at which the watching surfaced in public, from June 2025 to August 2026.



June 2025. ace---hardware, to their own audience, on being mentioned: "GREETINGS USER WHO MENTIONED ME… YOUR OPINION ABOUT WHAT IS AND IS NOT BULLSHIT WILL BE DISMISSED", with Choco's blog on screen. (Capture 436.)




Ezra: "I want all the smear campaigning to be taken down, your contributions and @racerwannabescurry's constant reblogs." A demand that reaches past Choco to Choco's mutuals. (Capture 418.)


Their document lists blogs of Choco's "that have surfaced after the termination", with names like ETHEREALCYCLONEGLADIATOR, whimsicalbehemothodyssey and scornfulmanticoreshade. Those are Tumblr's randomly generated placeholder names for a new account. To list them, someone had to be watching for new accounts closely enough to catch them before Choco had renamed them. not occasional. That is a watch.




proship-shuujuu, to an anon asking "who is choco?": "notorious for harassing people, doxxing people, and sending strobes to anyone they dislike… denying the privilege that they have". A newcomer given the narrative in three lines. (Capture 42.)



silentlystealthyenigma, February 2026, relaying an anon: "one of YOUR MINOR mutuals has an nsfw blog linked in their intro post ON MAIN". Choco's mutuals' blogs being inventoried. (Capture 245.)




The "choco situation" tag, 29 January 2026: "a drawing is not csam… if u disagree u r not proship. u do not deserve that lable." The tag doing its job: storing the verdict. (Capture 269.)



2 February 2026, a third party summarising for others: "someone made a drawing of mirmo… with a cross to like be mean because of trauma choco has experienced… choco got really upset… and began to doxx & send strobe gifs". (Capture 298.)

The last two go together. On 29 January the tag says that anyone who calls the cross-drawing what it was is not proship. On 2 February a bystander explains, accurately, that the drawing was made "to be mean because of trauma choco has experienced", and then, in the same breath, that Choco "began to doxx", which Choco did not. The tag had done its work: even a person who could see the cruelty repeated the invented consequence.




Reddit, early 2026: "They basically want to dox anyone that dares talk about them… They even said someone deserved being a CSA victim." The narrative, moved to a platform where its origin is invisible. (Capture 52.)




27 January 2026, degradingdata: "I cannot watch your video because of the flashing lights." The strobe framing being seeded. (Capture 247.)


What travels, and what does not: "They said someone deserved being a CSA victim" travelled to Reddit, and it is true: section 3.15. What did not travel is that the person Choco said it to had said it to Choco first. "They began to doxx" travelled, and it is false: there is no instance, and their own document contains none. The narrative that moved platforms kept Choco's worst sentence and dropped the sentence before it. Not an accident of memory; the shape of a laundered rumour.




31 January 2026. Gravekandy asks another user by DM whether anyone has seen proof of Choco's disabilities, adds "Don't tell them I sent you", denies it in public, and retracts when the DM is shown. (Exhibit A11.)



11 June 2026. xo-gravekandy reblogs a post whose asker, two days earlier, had written "i've raped someone before". (Exhibit A13.)



The asker's post: "i've raped someone before. not even sure if i feel bad." (Exhibit A7.)



28 August 2026. Choco's legal name, "still sending out strobe lights", "known sexual predator". (Exhibit A2.)

From June 2025 to August 2026 there is not a month without a public act by someone in this network about Choco: a screenshot, an inventory of Choco's mutuals, a tag post, a Reddit thread, a DM asking about Choco's disabilities, a reblog of a self-confessed rapist under a post about Choco. finally Choco's legal name. Their document calls this "we've only occasionally checked to see if you've finally moved on". Fourteen months of checking. The person who has not moved on is the one being checked on.

> **Persistent, repeated, documented by them, across platforms, for fourteen months, ending in Choco's legal name. The statute's definition of harassment, met item by item, in their own dated posts.**

6.6.5 What was done with minors, in full

Their document accuses Choco of "child endangerment", of "weaponizing minors", and of "surrounding myself with minors". What the same people did, with the captures.




Ezra, on the tag "fuck daddy's little toy", to a following that includes minors, as documented in Choco's tactics document with the ages of the minors who replied. (Capture 011.)




One of the people interacting with that post: sixteen at the time of the capture, fifteen at the time of the post. (Capture 007.)






Ezra: people should stop "getting their panties in a twist", using a fourteen-year-old as the example. (Capture 004.)




An ask to Choco's blog, summer 2025: "ngl this proship froggo guy sent ppl to harass one of my moot's bc they blocked him after spreading the document on a radqueer who was sending threats… and then using their sexual trauma against them in an argument (moot is a minor btw)". (Choco's Summer document, capture 233.)


Gravekandy reblogging a sixteen-year-old's post, beside his statement that he does not talk to minors. (Exhibit A6.)



The minor's own account, username removed: "it all started when I first got banned from my account of 1 year because a proshipper who had sent gore and porn to my friends somehow got me banned?" (Capture 236.)




The same minor: "pedoshit froggo tries to take back all the harassment and bullying they let slide… they think I am rich… I am just a normal middle middle class kid". (Capture 234.)




Ezra to Choco, by DM, when told the document was being written: "Aw, man, if you'd have any proof you'd post it, would not you? A sex toy is a sex toy." And: "A document that'll equate to one big fat nothingburger." (Capture 443.)

Two witnesses, neither of them Choco. A third party describing Ezra sending people after a minor who had blocked them, and using the minor's sexual trauma in an argument. The minor themselves, describing being banned after a proshipper sent gore and porn to their friends, and Ezra letting the bullying slide. Their document's section on Choco's "child endangerment" does not mention either. The DM is here because of its last line. The nothingburger is a hundred and forty pages long.

Their document says, of Ezra's Discord: "minors and adults can have civil, safe for work conversations in the general chat… It's not grooming to have normal conversations with minors." Nobody said it was. What is not normal is an adult posting about "daddy's little toy", an adult describing themselves as an "orgasm dispensary", and an adult telling a fourteen-year-old that her distress at his post is a matter for "a psychologist or at the very least a school counselor", all to audiences they knew contained children, while writing a document about Choco's "child endangerment".




17 October 2025. Caleb, fourteen, publishes Choco's legal name. Ezra, privately, the same day: "I'll tear her down in no time whatsoever". (Exhibit A20.)



3 December 2025. Ezra: "I'd tear her parents apart at the teacher-parent conference." (Exhibit A27.)

A fourteen-year-old published an adult's legal name, which she could only have had from adults. The adult who now presents himself as her protector wrote, that day, that he would tear her down, and two months later that he would tear her parents apart. Their document's section on Dani asks the reader to believe that Choco is the danger in that child's life. The captures are above. The reader can decide.



Gravekandy, by direct message, in his own words: "one of Choco's biggest supporters is a thirteen year old… Said thirteen year old also happens to have an NSFW side blog… I did not go looking for it. A concerned anon sent me evidence and I physically…" (Choco's Gravekandy document, capture 549.)




Choco's finding at the time: notice (an adults-DNI on the child's blog), intent (going to the blog), reuse (saving and circulating), foreseeable harm. (Capture 534.)

That is Gravekandy's own account of the thirteen-year-old: an anonymous person sent him "evidence" consisting of a child's sexual posts, and he kept it, described it, and offered it to Choco as ammunition against the child's association with Choco. He didn't report it. The child had posted a notice that adults were not welcome. An adult who receives a child's sexual content has exactly one lawful move, which is to report it; every other move, keeping it, describing it, using it in a dispute with a third adult, is the conduct the law names in section 8B. Choco reported it. Their document's section on Gravekandy is empty. This is what it left out.


> **Sexual language to audiences with children, a fourteen-year-old used to publish Choco's name and then privately marked for destruction, and a thirteen-year-old's sexual content kept rather than reported. The section of their document about Choco's child endangerment was written by the people in these captures.**

6.6.6 Rook, in full

Their document devotes a section to Choco's "harassment of Rook / dove-killer" and to the editor's "support" for him. What the record holds on Rook, with the sources.



31 August 2025. An ask to dove-killer calling Ezra "a child predator, trauma farmer, groomer"; dove-killer reblogs it: "Victim blaming a victim of grooming, my favourite!" Ezra, privately, on the sender: "I am not beefing with a 13 year old." (Exhibit A18.)



August 2026. dove-killer: "Good lord Kill yourself." and "Your evidence of grooming is the minor who was in my notes once and I blocked them over it? Kill yourself." Both. (Exhibit A1.)


A third-party document, written by a seventeen-year-old who was one of the people targeted, records the following about dove-killer, an adult of twenty-four previously known as Terra: that he attempted to make a fifteen-year-old responsible for his own self-harm and suicidality, while evading that person's block; that he did the same to the seventeen-year-old author; and that he argued with another user about how to categorise that user's own suicide attempt. The document is careful about its terms. It states, in its own words, that the allegation was never that dove-killer "IS a groomer, with a known victim", but that "the behaviour is (akin to) a grooming tactic": an adult placing the weight of his own crises on teenagers to control how they respond to him. Choco is adopting that document's precision. The conduct is documented. The word is the third party's. right one.

What their document says about this is that Choco "have not shown proof" that dove-killer told Choco to kill themselves, and that the editor "continued showing support for Rook". The proof is exhibit A1. The support was for a person whose conduct toward minors is set out above, and whose own post confirms that a minor was in his notes.


> **An adult who used his self-harm on teenagers while evading their blocks, defended by the editor of a document about Choco's danger to children. who told Choco, twice, in paid posts, to kill themselves.**

6.6.7 Who they stand with


A group is known by whom it protects. Their document accuses Choco, at length, of "involving minors" and "child endangerment". Here are the people the same group has publicly stood with, in the words of the people those people harmed.




A fifteen-year-old, in public: "I am the minor Mayday sent explicit content to… & made POSTS about on his tiktok page… he gave me a halfassed apology, only deleting the post that had ALL of my socials on full blast cuz a friend told him to."



xo-gravekandy, reblogging Mayday: "Mayday you will ALWAYS have me on your side… you will always be welcome in my little corner of the internet."




remainasanon, 1 May, to Mayday: "Your threats of involving law enforcement is not scaring me when you've confessed yourself that you sent nsfw which means pornography: to a minor. It was not okay to involve a child in your tiktok callout post."



Don't use ANYTHING involving me to defend him, I don't wanna be associated with him in anyway. You & Xozmos don't know me ! You dont have any right to use the DMs between me & Mayday, especially no right to use me & 7sns DMs cuz he had nothing to do with this situation. Tell Mayday he can go fuck himself

The minor's own messages with Mayday, June, reproduced by the minor: "I now have to get law enforcement involved… that person who doxxes people is now targeting me." And: "Don't use ANYTHING involving me to defend him."

An adult sent explicit content to a fifteen-year-old and published the child's accounts on TikTok. The child says so, publicly, with the messages. Gravekandy's public response was "you will ALWAYS have me on your side". That is the person whose document about Choco contains a section on child endangerment, and that is whose side he chose, in writing, when a child had just described what was done to them.




28 November 2025. An account named infinite-proship-choco-sendsgore, an impersonation of Choco's handle, replying: "trying to get your mother a child she can be proud of. You are a good doggie tho."



28 November 2025. A second impersonation, infinite-flashinggifs-choco, built around the strobe accusation: "Block me then twerp?… i am not the proud necrophiliac like you", and thefishiestfishie: "No life loser."

Two accounts made in Choco's name on the same day, one to attach "sends gore" to it and one to attach "flashing gifs" to it, both used to insult Choco and Choco's mother from behind Choco's own handle. Choco's documents identify who was behind them. Their document contains a section on Choco's "impersonation" of Ezra. It does not contain these, although they were made by people the group defends.




28 November 2025, a DM from a new account: "You may know me as mr fruitcake not choco despite what people are saying about me… I told them to admit what they'd done or I'd embarrass them. So I banned there accounts… They tried contacting my family and were stalking me." The account Choco's documents identify as Proxy Galaxy, telling Choco in his own words that he had accounts "banned" to silence people.




A third party, in a thread of fifty notes, asked why Choco was involved at all: "my friends were being harrassed by full blown adults in a sexual manner. choco helped chase those people off. I care about my friends and loved ones."


The last capture is the one Choco would keep if Choco could keep only one from this section. Asked, in public, why Choco had gone after adults in that circle, a person with no stake in Choco's reputation answered that those adults had been sexually harassing their friends and that Choco had helped chase them off. That is what the "pedo hunting", the "digilantism" and the "doxxing" in their document refer to, once a person who was there describes it. It also explains the timing of the two reckless posts in section 3.11: they were written in the week after that, by someone who had just spent days on exactly this, and who was then told by the same network that a cross on his introject was "just art".




Gravekandy, again, reblogging a sixteen-year-old's post, after stating he was "MDNI". Choco's post at the time: "pattern behavior looped on repeat".



Gravekandy: "I am gettin so fuckin sick of this", over Choco's replies, ending "You block me before I can get another word in… IMMEDIATELY". The person who wrote "do not tell them I sent you", complaining about being blocked.




shotashotgun, in the "Choco situation" tag: "dude choco is such a loser they have called me a groomer without any proof 😭… btw theyre stalking that tag and will cyberstalk you so be careful oomf".




The same account, in the same thread: "choco is a groomer who follow nazis and children. they send strobes to epileptic users… my advice is to block him". Below it, a lurker's ask to Choco analysing the thread: "not once did they address the allegations against them… there is a chain of custody, there is a pattern of behavior".

shotashotgun is, by Choco's documents, Mayday's closest friend, and had called Choco a groomer, without evidence, before complaining in the same tag that Choco had called them one. Choco is not going to say more about that account than what it wrote, because a lurker who read the thread thinks it is likely a minor. if it is, it is one more child the adults in this network put in front of them. The lurker's ask is worth the capture on its own: a stranger who "studied both sides for months" and found "an astounding lack of research" on the side of Choco's detractors.



slashmoth, 17 August 2026: "just got informed by multiple people some weird shit about Choco and now it is ruined the fun because I do not want an adult getting off to me arguing with them… now I just feel grossed out".



slashmoth, in the same period: "they just made a post claiming I am a MAP pretending to be a minor. I am not btw and they have no evidence".

The first capture records this: A person who describes themselves as a minor is "informed by multiple people" that an adult gets off to arguing with them, and repeats it in public as fact. Nobody showed them anything; they were told. the sexual-defamation rumour of section 6.12 ("they get off of it, literally"), Gravekandy's "fetish", and the Reddit thread, arriving in a child's inbox and coming out of the child's mouth. The adults who carried it there used a minor to say the one thing about a survivor's sexuality that they could not source. It is sexual defamation. recruitment of a minor to repeat it.

> **The group that wrote about Choco's danger to children stood, in public, with an adult who sent explicit content to a fifteen-year-old and published the child's accounts; its members and allies made two impersonation accounts in Choco's name in one day; and the adult who told Choco he had accounts banned to silence people is the one Choco's documents had already identified. A group is known by whom it protects.**

That is the record, in full, as far as captures can carry it. Around forty of them in this section, several hundred in the annexes, all dated, all placed. Their document asked, for a year, where the proof was. It was here the whole time. What it was waiting for was someone willing to put it in order and to put their own worst pages at the front, so that nobody could say the order was chosen to flatter the person who made it. Section 2 is at the front. This section is what follows from it.

6.7 The premise, and why it does not matter how they frame it

Their document has one load-bearing sentence, and it repeats it whenever it feels the ground move: "To remind the reader how this started: Choco made a big deal out of accepting someone's boundaries, breaking them as well. Ezra was uncomfortable with Choco, for unrelated reasons, and has warned

people about Choco. That is it.” Everything else in the forty-four thousand words rests on that. If that sentence is true, Choco is a person who reacted disproportionately to a boundary. If it’s false, they’re a group that ran a sexual harassment campaign against a survivor and wrote a book about the survivor’s reactions. So this section is about that one sentence, and about why, whichever way they turn it, it doesn’t hold.

1. The date

“How this started” has a date. the one they give. On 10 June 2025 patronizing answered a poll of Choco's about religion, on Choco's own blog, by telling Choco that crying about it wouldn’t get Choco “unmolested”. Choco had disclosed, years earlier and repeatedly, that Choco was sexually abused as an infant by nuns. the first act in the record. It is in Choco's Summer document at capture 472, it is dated, and it involves nobody’s boundaries but Choco's.

Their document doesn’t mention 10 June. It begins with an anonymous ask that Ezra received about Choco's deactivation and treats Ezra’s decision to publish it as the origin. But Ezra’s post didn’t appear in a vacuum. It appeared after a person in Ezra’s circle had told a survivor, on the survivor’s own blog, that they wouldn’t be “unmolested”, and after that person’s partner had joined in. Choco's reaction to that is what Ezra’s anonymous correspondent was describing. Move the origin from 10 June to Ezra’s post and the story becomes “Choco reacted”. Keep the origin where the calendar puts it and the story becomes “Choco was told he would not be unmolested, reacted, and was then told by an audience that his reaction was the problem”. The second is what happened. The first is what they need.

Their own dates concede this elsewhere, when they aren’t looking. “Everything we’ve done has been a reaction, not a direct action in and of itself. The only action taken was the initial post.” The initial post is the one that said “forced sexual conversion”. They call it an action. They call everything after it a reaction. They are right about the second part and they have simply not noticed that it applies to Choco.

2. The name

The second thing the premise hides is who said what to whom. Ezra didn’t “warn people” in the abstract. Ezra put Choco's name in a post, to an audience, with a sexual accusation attached. “Warned people about Choco” is how you describe it when you don’t want the reader to picture the sentence. The sentence was “forced sexual conversion”. It named Choco, it named an act, and it named a category of act that, for a survivor of child sexual abuse, is the one accusation that cannot be shrugged off. Their document describes this, in its calmest voice, as Ezra “wanting to make sure their followers knew”. Knew what? That Choco practised forced sexual conversion. the content of the warning. The tone in which it is later described doesn’t change what the followers read.

And it was edited. Two months later, quietly, “conversion” became “conversation”. Their document does not mention the edit, because to mention it they would have to mention the original, and to mention the original they would have to put the sentence on the page next to the words “that is it”. So the premise is stated without the sentence that would end it, and the sentence lives in a reblog by a third party who kept a copy.

3. The evidence, and where it is

The premise also depends on a belief about evidence that their document states and then violates. “You have to have evidence, before you start a crusade.” Agreed. Here is where the evidence is.

On Choco's side: screenshots with dates, of their posts, on their blogs, at the resolution their blogs published them; direct messages whose other party has confirmed them; two hundred dated videos; hashes on every file Choco supplied. On theirs: reblogs. “As stated by Ezra.” “Ezra has since debunked this allegation, which can be found in their pinned post.” “View both patronizing and cemetarydog’s blogs for proof.” “There is sufficient evidence on the blogs of these users to prove that these things aren’t true.” “We still have all the chats.” None of those is evidence. Each is a pointer to a place where evidence is said to be, offered by the people it would exonerate. A document that tells the reader to go

and look at the accused's own blog for proof of the accused's innocence isn't compiling evidence. It is compiling directions.

When they do produce a screenshot, look at what it is a screenshot of. It is, overwhelmingly, a screenshot of Choco: Choco's posts, Choco's tags, Choco's messages, Choco's apology, Choco's polls, Choco's bio. Their document is an archive of Choco's reactions. It contains almost no captures of their own conduct, because the conduct they needed to hide is the conduct that would have appeared in them. The "unmolested" comment isn't in their document. The "tw MAPS, check this out" message is not in their document. "I have so so so many screenshots from your little-autistic-dollie account" is not in their document. "Orgasm dispensary" isn't in their document. "I'll tear her down" is not in their document. Those are all in Choco's, at their numbers, because Choco captured what they did while they were capturing what Choco said about it.

4. The machine that makes the evidence

That asymmetry is not a coincidence of diligence. It is the product of a method, and the method can be described in a sentence: provoke, then record the reaction, then present the recording as the origin. Their document is the finished product of that method, and you can see the machine running inside it.

The clearest case Choco has is one Choco can't show you, and Choco is going to say so rather than pretend. Before the message their document calls "zalgo text spam", Choco asked Ezra, directly, to explain the post that said "forced sexual conversion". Ezra blocked Choco. Then the message was sent. Their document reproduces the message. It does not reproduce the question that preceded it, or the block that answered the question. Choco don't have a capture of either, because Choco did not know, in June 2025, that Choco would need to document a request for an explanation. So Choco state it, as a fact Choco is asserting on Choco's own word, clearly marked as such: Choco asked first, Choco was blocked, and what came after came after. The reader can weigh Choco's word against a document that has just been shown, in section 6.3, contradicting itself twenty-two times. But Choco is not going to show a screenshot Choco does not have.

What Choco can show is the pattern everywhere else. In section 6.6.2 an anonymous message quotes a restricted post of Choco's back at Choco days after the group collected it; Choco's answer to the message is then in their document as "Choco's continued harassment". In section 2.3 Choco's apology is refused and the refusal is followed by a section on Choco's failure to apologise. In their Nazi section, a person who had accused Choco of a sexual act is called a cruel name by Choco in a vent, and the cruel name becomes "Nazi ideology" while the accusation is deleted from the record. In section 3.10 a person who told Choco Choco deserved to be raped as a baby receives a threat from Choco, and the threat becomes the story while the sentence before it's nowhere. Every time, the same shape: their act, Choco's reaction, the reaction recorded, the act removed. Their document is what comes out of it.

5. The lecture on anons

Their document contains, at length, instructions on how a person should respond to anonymous messages. Choco should turn anon off. Choco should not attribute them. Choco should not react to them. Choco shouldn't screenshot them. "Anyone can frame an anon." "It is debatable, whether or not sending anon hate counts as harassment. This is up to the individual."

Who is writing this? The editor who admits, in the same document, to sending Choco anonymous asks. The editor who admits to running an anonymous side blog and deleting it when identified. The editor who admits that the group "joked about sending anons" in a locked channel. The group whose members, as shown in 6.6.2, reblogged an anonymous rumour of Choco's death. Those are the people explaining that whether anonymous hate counts as harassment is "up to the individual", and that the correct response to being told to gut oneself is to turn a setting off.

Adults instructing a person on the etiquette of receiving abuse, while delivering it, aren't being "clinical". The absurdity is not that the advice is wrong; turning anon off is fine advice. The absurdity is the standing. A person doesn't get to send the messages, joke about the messages, and then grade the

recipient on composure. Their document does all three and calls the result a compilation of evidence about Choco.

6. The eighteen-plus blog and the children in the comments

One accusation in their document is built to sound like the worst thing in it: that Choco made videos and posts accessible to minors, that Choco told minors how to bypass a mature label, that Choco "surround myself with minors". Section 2.5 sets out both posts they cite. The accusation inverts.

Ezra's blog is, by Ezra's own statement, an adult blog. "With that I'll give a warning, my account is 18+. It's obvious. Use your head a little." The posts on that blog about Choco, including the one that said "forced sexual conversion" and the one about being an "orgasm dispensary", were commented on by minors. Choco's tactics document records them, with ages: a fifteen-year-old, a sixteen-year-old. Those children read a sexual accusation about a survivor on an adult's blog, and some of them then came to Choco's blog and asked what had happened. the origin of the videos. They were answers, spoken, because typing forty pages to a frightened fifteen-year-old is not an answer. Their document says "we did not watch Choco's YouTube videos" and then treats their existence as an offence against minors. The videos exist because minors had already been exposed, on Ezra's adult blog, to the thing the videos explain.

And the mature label. In the weeks their document is describing, Tumblr's automated flagging was marking large amounts of ordinary content as mature; the platform itself acknowledged the problem at the time, and anyone who used the site that month remembers it. A video of a person talking to a camera was being flagged as adult content. That is why a follower asked Choco how to watch one, and that is the context of the answer they cite. Their version, in which Choco runs a scheme to expose children to adult material, a fiction assembled from a platform glitch and one bad answer. Meanwhile the adult who actually published sexual content to a following with children in it, and who wrote "orgasm dispensary" and "fuck daddy's little toy" for them to read, is the one whose document contains the section on Choco's child endangerment.

7. "Adult baby"

Their document defends the phrase at length. Choco is, it says, "behaving like a 5-year-old child throwing a tantrum", "like a child who got denied candy in the shop". Choco is a syskid. Choco has said so publicly for years; it is not a secret they discovered, it's a fact they mocked. "Adult baby" is not a description of Choco's behaviour. It is a description of Choco's identity, chosen because it could be delivered as an insult and defended as an observation.

The register of the people delivering it: "Uh, Pookie." "Babes, I have all of that <3." "Wah wah wah wittle baby need Mirmo!" "* lound incorrect buzzer* Wrong." "Chat, is it stalking…" "TBE :)))))))" Eight smileys in the crimes section. A game-show buzzer as rebuttal. Baby-talk as mockery. The document that calls Choco an adult baby is written, where it is not copying Wikipedia, in the voice of a group chat. The infantilism in this record is not Choco's. It is the house style of the people who wrote the word.

8. Why none of it matters

Choco called this section "why it does not matter how they frame it", and here is the reason. Take their premise at its strongest: suppose Choco had block-evaded, suppose Choco had been disproportionate, suppose every reaction of Choco's in section 2 were exactly as bad as they say and worse. None of that changes a single date in the record. patronizing still wrote "unmolested" on 10 June. Ezra still published "forced sexual conversion" to an audience in the same week. cemetarydog still forwarded a survivor's restricted crisis writing under "tw MAPS, check this out" on 19 June. Ezra still announced holding "so so so many" of them the same day. The apology still arrived on 21 June and was still refused. The editor still located Choco's city in August, Choco's name still appeared on a fourteen-year-old's blog in October, and it still appeared, next to "wants to rape children", in August of the following year.

A premise about Choco's reactions can't reach any of that, because all of it happened either before Choco reacted or regardless of how Choco reacted. what "inconsequential" means here. Their framing isn't wrong in the sense of being an unfair reading of the facts. It is wrong in the sense of being about the wrong facts. It is forty-four thousand words about the second half of every exchange, written by the people who supplied the first half and then removed it.

There is one more thing the premise cannot survive. thing this whole document is organised around. They could never have written section 2. A document that begins with its author's own worst pages, dated, in full, with the context beside them and no excuses, is a document that has nothing to fear from the other side's archive, because the other side's archive is already in it. Theirs can't do that. Theirs would have to begin with "unmolested", and "forced sexual conversion", and "check this out", and "I have all of that <3", and "orgasm dispensary", and "I'll tear her down", and "we did not watch the videos", and "the chosen sources are placeholders". It would have to put those first. Instead it put them nowhere, and put Choco's reactions to them everywhere, and called the result "how this started".

> **The premise has a date, and the date is theirs. It has a name, and the name is Choco's, in their mouth, with a sexual accusation attached. It has evidence, and the evidence is on one side. It has a method, and the method is provoke, record, delete. Frame it however they like; the calendar doesn't move.**

6.8 By person. The file on each, point by point.

Everything above is organised by what was done. This section is organised by who did it, so that anyone who meets one of these names in the future can find, in one place, what the record holds against it. Each point cites the capture or exhibit where it is shown. Where a point rests on their own document, the section of their document is given. No point below is an inference; every one is a captured act or a captured sentence.

Ezra / proship-froggo

Adult. Teacher, by their own statement in Discord ("I teach gen alpha", exhibit A27). Germany. Owner of the Discord server with the locked channel named after Choco. Public face of the campaign from June 2025.

1. **Published, in June 2025, to their audience, that Choco practised "forced sexual conversion" on another user. The post is preserved in a reblog (section 2.1; capture 451; reblog capture c_fsc). No evidence was ever offered.**
2. **Edited that post, months later and without notice, to read "conversation". The edit is not mentioned anywhere in the 44,707-word document; the word "conversion" doesn't appear in it (section 2.1).**
3. **Received, on 19 June 2025, a screenshot of a crisis post from Choco's adult-gated blog, sent by cemetarydog under "tw MAPS, hey froggo, check this out!" (capture 170), and the same day announced in public: "Uh, Pookie, I have so so so many screenshots from your little-autistic-dollie account. Babes, I have all of that <3" (capture 439). A threat to publish a survivor's sexual writing, with the material in hand.**
4. Wrote, in the same week, to 91 notes: "You could, quite literally, have not messaged me and this post would not fucking exist dude. But you love this shit" (capture 433), under which cemetarydog and patronizing thanked them (capture 438).
5. **Received Choco's full, unconditional apology on 21 June 2025 at 16:15 and answered: "It does not. Actions have consequences." (their exhibits #261 to #250; section 2.3). Their document later states: "We didn't want an apology."**

6. **Published, in July 2025, to a following that includes minors: “I am not going to be an orgasm dispensary for a deeply mentally ill troll” (capture 100). Their document acknowledges the blog is 18+; Choco's tactics document records the ages of minors commenting (captures 004, 007, 011).**
7. Published, on the tag “fuck daddy’s little toy”, to the same following (capture 011).
8. **Told a fourteen-year-old, in their own document, that a child made suicidal by an adult’s post belongs “with a psychologist or at the very least a school counselor”, and that “I do not owe anyone an ounce of nice-ness” (section 2.13).**
9. **Wrote, when an anonymous user reported that Choco was trying to end Choco's life: “Fret not, they are alive and well in my comment section. #this is like the 5th time they use it as a weapon” (their exhibit #328; section 2.17).**
10. Supplied Nier with the “eugenic with extra steps” tags on 23 July 2025 (their exhibit #156) for the document’s Nazi section.
11. Wrote, in Discord, on 6 September 2025: “This is finally coming to an end. We both should’ve done this a long time ago” and “people enjoyed it. Not all, but some for sure” (exhibits A14, A16). Their document: “There is no fun in this. We are tired.”
12. Wrote, on 17 October 2025, of a fourteen-year-old: “if Caleb in all honesty pulls this, I’ll tear her down in no time whatsoever 🙂” (exhibit A20). On 9 November: “Usually, fighting fire with fire was enough to shut Caleb up for good” (capture A19). On 3 December: “I’d tear her parents apart at the teacher-parent conference” (exhibit A27).
13. Wrote, on 8 November 2025, of the anti-chococulture blog: “This is such a bunch of absolute nonsense… I am so so sorry” (exhibit A21), and on 9 November, of a hostile blog’s termination: “LMAO THEY ARE SEETHING” (exhibit A24).
14. **Was, on 3 December 2025, still on friendly terms with Choco in Discord, joking about Caleb (exhibit A27), three weeks before Nier’s document revision that reopened everything and added the Caleb section.**
15. **Is described by the editor of their own document, in a private message to Choco: “Ezra gets a pass bc they are my friend and I’d be dead without them. And I know that is not right” (capture c_nier1; section 2.1).**
16. **Threatened, in their first post, reports to “your policia local… the guardia civil and policia nacional” (their exhibit #393). No report was ever made. Their document lists Choco's threat of a report among Choco's “illegitimate legal threats” (section 3.7).**

> **The person who opened the sexual accusation, collected and held a survivor’s sexual writing, refused the apology, wrote “orgasm dispensary” for minors to read, enjoyed it by their own account, promised to tear down a fourteen-year-old, and was still Choco's friend three weeks before the document that says none of that happened.**

Nier / h28731 / “Editor/N”

Adult. Editor and principal author of their document. By his own account not a victim of anything: “I have not been personally victimized by Choco in any way (yet)”.

1. **Admits to sending Choco two anonymous asks and to running an anonymous side blog, “pro-ship-anon”: “It’s mine. Lol.” (their document; section 2.11). Elsewhere in the same document: “I do not have an alt account, or any account aside from the one you’ve put on blast” (section 6.3, move 9).**
2. Admits that the group “joked about sending anons” in the locked Discord channel (their document, section “N Ranting”).
3. **Wrote, on 25 August 2025: “I know which city you’re in… you’ve readily given all the info yourself”, listing Choco's school, a restaurant and “your government name”, and signed off with Choco's legal**

name: “Moises. From the bottom of my heart: Fuck you.” (their document; section 2.10). Sixty lines earlier: “I also did not try to get your address. I have no use for that.”

4. **Wrote, in the same section: “You’re like a mosquito, annoying, useless, unwanted”; “Grow a pair, and suck it up”; “Choco, you genuinely are stupid”; “you’ve shared your unbrushed teeth as fetish content”; “If I had it in me, I’d pity you” (section 6.2).**
5. **Wrote “I would be lying if I said I cared about Choco’s wellbeing, I’ll just state that I do not” and, twice, “Get help” (section 6.2).**
6. **Wrote, of his own messages to Choco in September 2025: “I tried to be comforting. Not out of care, but because it is what I knew they wanted to hear” (section 2.22).**
7. **Wrote, by direct message: “I know I should have put more of what led to everything into the doc, back then, if even. I know. And it sucks I did not” and “I know how you felt. You stated it yourself. And it was not right” (captures c_nier2, c_nier3; section 2.2), then republished the summer document with the same omission under “This is the entirety of the document we’d made during Summer.”**
8. **Wrote “I said I wouldn’t release the summer doc, and I won’t. I will, however, release this document. It’s not the same. :)” two lines after the sentence above (section 2.21).**
9. **Declared, on page two of a document accusing Choco of failing to provide proof: “Note that we did not watch Choco’s YouTube videos” (section 2.19).**
10. **Redefined “suicide baiting” in a footnote (“we’ve decided to go along with the common assumption of the meaning, not the actual one”) so that the word for the harm done to Choco could be applied to Choco (section 2.17).**
11. **Cited, as “provable offences”, an article inapplicable outside employment, an article repealed in 2015, a labour statute, and two articles on false accusation before officials, then wrote “The chosen sources are placeholders” and “TBE :)))))))” (section 2.14).**
12. **Cited exhibit #222, which says minors who lie about their age will be blocked, as proof that Choco encourage minors to lie about their age (section 2.5).**
13. **Read “only my trauma matters”, a sarcastic parody, as Choco's creed (section 2.6), and “The Chain of Command”, a joke post about Choco's blog, as a “god complex” (section 2.7), while posting, on 29 August 2026, that people copy him “because they know i have great taste” (section 6.4).**
14. **Wrote a section titled “Choco on ableism” and, in the same document, “braindead”, “no-brainers”, “brain damage” and “if your brain cells worked” (section 6.3, move 14).**
15. **Wrote “Choco has notoriously bad literacy skills” and “your English is not that great” in a document containing “lound”, “Defintions”, “Tumbr” and “idiation” (section 6.3, move 15).**
16. **Wrote “there was never another alter” about Emmie while demanding “system accountability” from “the host” (sections 2.9, 4.2); Choco's private message of 19 July 2025 describing Emmie predates his evidence by two months (exhibit A5).**
17. **Described Dani, in the same document that accuses Choco of harming her, as “a horrible person” (section 2.13).**
18. Turned on every former member of the group in the final section: “Yael, you’re a bitch”; “Your marriage sucks”; “your troupe of no-brainers”; “I’ll know if you delete it”; “we still have all the chats” (section 7, marker 6).
19. **Inserted seven pages copied from Wikipedia about the Holocaust under “Do not skip this part”, as a response to one cruel line of Choco's that’s harvested from a vent and not reproduced here (section 6.2).**
20. **Pasted a leaked Discord message, “Theyre 26 / Oops / Pretend i said nothing tehehehe”, as “a credible source” for Choco's age (their exhibit #355; section 2.15).**

21. Posted, on 12 August 2026, at a person who had blocked him, tagged so they would be told: "this is so endlessly pathetic… the victim you so desperately wish to be", and "not air out our business. what choice do you give me?" (exhibits A30, A31; section 6.4).

22. Wrote a document of 44,707 words about a person who, by his account, had never harmed him, and titled the section in which he speaks for himself, ten thousand words long, "N Ranting", while writing "I'm not the main character" inside it (section 6.3, move 17).

> **The editor. Not a victim, by his own account. Sent anons and denied having accounts; located Choco's city and denied trying; called Choco a mosquito and told Choco to get help; admitted privately that the context was missing and published without it; redefined the word for what was done to Choco; cited repealed law as Choco's crimes; and wrote Choco's legal name as a sign-off. Every line above is his.**

Gravekandy / gravekandy / xo-gravekandy / gravekandyrants

Adult. Entered the campaign in its fourth wave, when the prior three were the evidence. Author of a pinned post and a body of material about Choco that their document lists under a heading and leaves blank.

1. Asked another user, by direct message, on 31 January 2026: whether anyone had seen "proof of Choco's disabilities", adding "Don't tell them I sent you"; answered the same question in public with "Yeah gross. Not a fan of this"; and, when the DM was shown: "Oh fuck… that was me. My bad… It was ableist" (exhibit A11). The only retraction in the record, obtained only by exposure.

2. Stated, in public, that "all of this drama has been nothing but a fetish" for Choco: a claim about Choco's sexual arousal, made for an audience, with no basis (section 6.1).

3. Included the Reddit poll built on the "Christian Mirmo" image in his material under the word "criticism" (section 2.26; Choco's Gravekandy document).

4. Reblogged a sixteen-year-old's post beside his own statement that he doesn't talk to minors (exhibit A6), and did so again after stating he was "MDNI" (capture m10).

5. Received screenshots of a thirteen-year-old's sexual posts from an anonymous sender and didn't report them; attempted to repurpose them for his material (Choco's Gravekandy document; reported by Choco to the competent body; section 6.6.5).

6. Reblogged, on 11 June 2026, a post whose asker had written two days earlier "i've raped someone before. not even sure if i feel bad" (exhibits A7, A13).

7. Reblogged Mayday, an adult a fifteen-year-old has publicly described as having sent them explicit content and published their accounts, with the words "Mayday you will ALWAYS have me on your side" (capture m3; section 6.6.7).

8. Posted the "friendly reminder" that Choco had linked an epileptic user and encouraged strobes (capture 167), a post that reproduced Choco's sentence and omitted that nothing was sent, that Choco had sent lights to non-epileptic adults only. that his own group had spent weeks defending lights sent at Choco.

9. Complained, in public, that Choco blocked him "before I can get another word in… IMMEDIATELY" (capture m4), the same month he was writing "Don't tell them I sent you" in private.

10. Wrote, of a fourteen-year-old: "Friendly reminder Dani got what they deserved" (Choco's Gravekandy document, with the link; section 6.1).

11. Is the subject of the section of their document titled "The Current Situation: Choco Sending Strobes, Harassment of Gravekandy", which reads, in full: "INCOMPLETE. TO BE EDITED." (section 2.25).

> **A man who instigated ableism in private and condemned it in public until caught; who called Choco's trauma a fetish; who reblogged minors after promising not to; who kept a child's sexual**

> **content instead of reporting it; who stood with an adult a fifteen-year-old had accused; and who reblogged a self-confessed rapist under a post about Choco. His section in their document is blank. His section in Choco's is fifty-five thousand words.**

Rook / dove-killer / Terra

1. **Adult, twenty-four, formerly "Terra", with the blogs listed in the third-party document (section 6.6.6).**
2. **Documented by a seventeen-year-old who was one of his targets: placing the weight of his own self-harm and suicidality on a fifteen-year-old and on the seventeen-year-old author, while evading their blocks; delegitimising another user's suicide attempt (third-party document, tabs named in section 6.6.6).**
3. Confirmed in his own post that "the minor who was in my notes once" existed (exhibit A1).
4. **Posted "Good lord Kill yourself" and "Kill yourself", in August 2026 (exhibit A1), at a person whose crises were public.**
5. **Is the subject of a section of their document that says Choco "have not shown proof" of the above, and of the editor's "continued support" (section 2.8).**
6. **Sent himself hate mail attributing it to Choco, according to Choco's documents (section 6.6.6).**

> **An adult who leaned his crises on teenagers, told Choco to kill themselves twice in paid posts, and is defended in their document as a victim of Choco's proof-free harassment. The proof is A1.**

patronizing and cemetarydog

1. patronizing, 28 May and 1 June 2025, in public: "i'm gonna molest you", twice (capture 469).
2. patronizing, 2 June 2025, in the public proship tags, to a user who says they wake at six to go to school: "can you get out of the proship tags i'm trying to jerk off to incest"; "only my big brother who molests me can call me a creep. Know your place" (captures 470, 463).
3. **patronizing, 10 June 2025, on Choco's blog, to a survivor of infant sexual abuse: crying would not get Choco "unmolested" (capture 472). The first act of the year.**
4. patronizing, 13 June 2025, in the public tags: "yes im 6 and like getting molested by my husband… refer to myself as a loli" (capture 466).
5. **patronizing, June 2025, in tags about Choco: "#block evading retard"; "#im not endangering shit" (capture 471).**
6. **patronizing, June 2025, reblogging an anonymous rumour that Choco had killed themselves (capture 426).**
7. **cemetarydog, 14 June 2025, to Choco: "nobody ever asks to be SA'd" (capture 461).**
8. **cemetarydog, 19 June 2025, to Ezra: "tw MAPS, hey froggo, check this out!", forwarding a crisis post from Choco's adult-gated blog (capture 170).**
9. Both, in the same week, under Ezra's "you love this shit" post: "you've been a true ally"; "thank you so much for your endless kindness" (capture 438).
10. **Both are the subject of Choco's July 2025 post withdrawing the word "predators", which their document cites as Choco's inconsistency. The word was withdrawn. The nine points above weren't (section 6.6.1b).**

> **The two accounts that opened the year: public sexual posts in a shared tag, one addressed to a school-age user; a slur; the "unmolested" comment; and the collection and forwarding of a survivor's sexual crisis writing. Their document calls them Choco's victims. The captures are dated before anything Choco did.**

morphine-dr1p, Mayday, and the accounts in Choco's name


1. **morphine-dr1p, 28 August 2026, 21:33: “Moises Martinez Segura wants to rape children”, tagged with Choco's legal name (exhibit A3).**
2. **morphine-dr1p, 28 August 2026, 21:48: “Choco, Moises Martinez Segura, is still sending out strobe lights… extremely mentally ill, a known sexual predator” (exhibit A2). Two days after Choco's account was terminated.**
3. Mayday / travistouchdownshusband: described in public by a fifteen-year-old as having sent them explicit content and published their accounts on TikTok, with the messages (captures m2, m9); addressed on 1 May by remainasanon: “you’ve confessed yourself that you sent nsfw… to a minor” (capture m1); publicly supported by Gravekandy (capture m3).
4. **infinite-proship-choco-sendsgore and infinite-flashinggifs-choco, both created on 28 November 2025 in Choco's name, used to insult Choco and Choco's mother and to attach “gore” and “flashing gifs” to Choco's handle (captures m6, m7). Their document contains a section on Choco's impersonation of Ezra and none on these.**
5. **chocos-chocolate-starfish, a sexual pun on Choco's handle, posting that Choco's victimhood was a “false narrative” (capture 405).**
6. **sexymirmo, an account in Choco's introject’s name, messaging Choco sexual content: “Just thought you’d genuinely like it” (exhibit A10).**
7. **The anonymous account in the DM of 28 November 2025: “You may know me as mr fruitcake… I told them to admit what they’d done or I’d embarrass them. So I banned there accounts” (capture m8), identified in Choco's documents as Proxy Galaxy.**

> **The names at the edges of the network: the one that published Choco's legal name under the worst accusation, the one that a child accused and the group embraced, and the four accounts built out of Choco's name and Choco's introject’s. None of them appear in their document. All of them appear in Choco's, with dates.**


Seven files. Eighty-odd points. Every one a capture or a sentence of theirs. This is what their document means when it says Choco has provided no evidence: that Choco had not yet arranged it by name.

6.9 Sanctuarium, the poll, and the fourteen-year-old

One more account, because it shows the fourth wave doing what the first three taught it: recruit a minor, run a poll, and turn a survivor’s religious trauma into a lesson about Christianity. The account is sanctuarium-agnusdei, also known as miku-holyholyholy.




25 June 2026. An anonymous ask to antiproship-lizzie: "Do you mind taking the survey real quick? Maybe sharing it…", linking Sanctuarium's poll "What is Choco?". The reply: "wdym victims of harassment are bullies in that survey… I also only found out later that is apparently an anti… poll".




theconstellation-system, a plural system: "one of our alters made the blog invisible in the search tag due to our child alters receiving sexual harassment from people opposing choco", with a link to their public apology to Choco.


A poll about a person, circulated by anonymous ask to strangers with a request to share it, in which the options presented that person's victims as bullies. It was then sent, Choco's documents record, to a fourteen-year-old antishipper for votes. That is the same mechanism as Caleb, a year later: a child used to carry an adult's campaign, and the adult's name kept off it.




The system's account of Sanctuarium, sent to Choco in DM: a minor alter posted a vent about being assaulted as a child and as an adult and groomed into the religion; Sanctuarium replied under it "I am sorry you were assaulted, but you're wrong actually… you're being like Choco", then "doubled down and started arguing with the host", then apologised in a way that "compared you to Judas" and said "God bless you" to a person who had just said Christianity traumatised them.



The same conversation. The system, on the network: "if this is what the choco situation community is like, I do not want any part in that."



The system, on Sanctuarium: "Christians love to do everything but protect children." And, on the fourteen-year-old: "THEY WENT TO A 14 YEAR OLD FOR ADVICE?????????"



The apology thread the system posted, and their note that they blocked after "continued victimisation".

What happened to that system is what happened to Choco. A survivor of religious abuse posts about it; a religious account arrives under the post to explain that they are wrong and that they are "being like Choco"; the survivor objects; the account escalates, then apologises in the language of the religion that hurt them. It is the Christian Mirmo edit in prose. It is the "unmolested" comment with a cross on it. The people who did it to Choco did it to the next survivor they found, and Choco's name was the insult they reached for. "You're being like Choco" is what "Choco situation" is for: a word that means "person whose trauma disqualifies them", ready to be applied to anyone.

The system's child alters received sexual harassment from people in that network. They hid their blog from search because of it. Their document has a section about Choco's danger to children. Here is a system with child alters, hiding from the people who wrote it.

> **A poll that cast victims as bullies, pushed by anonymous ask and sent to a fourteen-year-old; a survivor's religious-abuse vent answered with "you're being like Choco" and "God bless you"; a plural system with child alters driven out of the search tag by sexual harassment from the same network. The method, applied to someone who was not Choco, by people who learned it in Choco's case.**

6.10 On privilege. A finding.

The network uses one word about Choco more than any other except "disgusting" and "accountability": privilege. proship-shuujuu, to a newcomer: "denying the privilege that they have and milking their trauma to harass and speak-over those currently living in america and suffering under the fascist regime" (capture 42). The Reddit thread: "joking about calling ICE on a poc in the us" (capture 506). A bystander, 30 January 2026 (capture 231). This section does not argue with the word. It examines it, finds what it was doing, and rules on it.

1. What the word was doing.

It was a lever, of the same family as “unmolested”, “adult baby” and “god complex”: a frame in which the target is the powerful party, so that everything done to them becomes resistance. shuujuu’s sentence contains three charges, denying privilege, milking trauma, speaking over, and no fact. Its function is to make any statement Choco makes about Choco's own life an aggression against Americans. It is the trauma rule of section 6.3 again: theirs is a credential, Choco's is milking.

2. The facts against which the word is measured.

Stated once, without performance. Choco is mixed, white and Asian. Choco was abandoned as an infant and adopted from a religious institution in which nuns sexually abused Choco before Choco could speak. Choco survived child sexual abuse and child-on-child sexual abuse. Choco was trafficked as a child. Choco was starved as a child; the medical record exists. Choco has had thirty-seven operations. the torture in that history is not the surgery: it’s anaesthesia awareness, being conscious and unable to move or speak while being operated on, more than once, as a child. Choco is autistic, epileptic and blind. Choco is a syskid. Choco lives in a small working-class town in Spain, on what a person with that body and that history has. Choco is largely housebound, which is worth stating, because the people calling Choco chronically online are describing a disabled person’s living room and presenting it as a character defect. The editor doesn’t know where Choco lives. He wrote that he knows Choco's city and he is wrong, and if he were right it would change nothing: Choco has shown Choco's face for years, because Choco is not hiding from any of these people.

3. The facts about the accusers.

By their own statements: adults in the United States and Germany, with those passports, those currencies, and the option, exercised throughout, of logging off. “I have a life outside of social media,” the editor wrote. Nobody in the network has ever been at risk from ICE. The “poc” in the Reddit sentence is the person Choco said the ICE line to; whether that description is accurate is not something a stranger on a screen can settle, and Choco is not going to settle it either. What is settled is this: Choco was in Spain, he was in the United States, and the sentence could not be carried out by Choco against anyone.

4. Finding on “punching up”.

The network described a year of coordinated action against one person as resistance from below. The record shows the direction. Every account that fell was Choco's. Every appeal, every dispute case, every notice in a second language, was Choco's to write, at night, unpaid. Sixty-eight people in a Reddit thread, a hundred and seventy-nine votes, a tag, a document greenlit by a committee, a Discord channel with Choco's name on it. One disabled survivor on the other end. not punching up. It is a pack, punching down, collectively, and calling the target the aggressor so that the arithmetic doesn’t have to be looked at.

5. Finding on “milking trauma”.

Choco has mentioned Choco's history in this document where it explains something: why “unmolested” was aimed, why “forced sexual conversion” landed, why a cross on Mirmo was not a drawing. Section 2 owns everything it owns without it. Their document uses “as a grooming victim” to license “fuck you” and “a decade of CSA” to license a document about a survivor’s sex life; shuujuu tags “saying this as a csam victim” under a loyalty test. If explaining is milking, their document is a dairy. If it’s not, the word was never about trauma. It was about Choco's.


6. Finding on projection, by name.

proship-shuujuu wrote, on 31 January 2026, that Choco harass, speak over, and milk trauma, and on the same day banned Choco's mutuals, called Choco “a heinous, festering pile of worthless rot”, and called that a boundary; on 5 February prayed for a class of Choco's victims that has never been named, and signed the loyalty test with their own trauma. Reddit’s ChunkyButtNutter, arandomredditorrrrr and WeirdLostEntity wrote that Choco is unstable, aroused by harassment. lying about Choco's disabilities,

from a thread in which the only person who had met Choco was outvoted twenty-one to one. doecest published Choco's age and called Choco “schizo posting” under a post asking whether boundaries were hard. Every trait assigned to Choco in those sentences, the speaking over, the harassment, the use of trauma as a weapon, the disregard for boundaries, the privilege of never having to meet the person you are talking about, is performed in the sentence assigning it. The record doesn’t need Choco to say what that is. It has a name, and the name is in section 5.6.

6.11 The tag, December 2025 to February 2026. The fourth wave in real time.

Section 5.7 describes the fourth wave as consolidation: the earlier campaigns cited as proof that a new one is justified, old framings recirculated to people who were not there, loyalty enforced by blocklists and DNI banners. This section is that wave, captured as it happened, in the “Choco situation” tag. Every capture is commented. Where one capture answers another, the answer is placed under it.




26 December 2025. damn-you-all-to-hell: “I no longer support Choco in any capacity. If you do, please leave this page.”




26 December 2025. surfacemaiden: “block me NOW. i do not fuck with people who condone sending strobes and gore to others, i do not fw people who doxxes minors”.

The date. Nier’s document dates its revision, the one that reopened the summer and added Caleb, Rook and Gravekandy, “25th December 2025”. On 26 December two blogs that had been neutral or friendly posted, hours apart, that they were dropping Choco, with the same three words: strobes, gore, minors. Neither had been strobed, sent gore, or doxxed. They had read a document. Consolidation, on a calendar: a file released on the 25th, and on the 26th its talking points appearing in the mouths of people who were not in any of it. Their document says its release was for “other people”. These are the other people.



31 January 2026. proship-shuujuu, asked "who is choco?": "notorious for harassing people, doxxing people, and sending strobes to anyone they dislike… denying the privilege that they have and milking their trauma to harass and speak-over those currently living in america and suffering under the fascist regime… mere days after they threatened to call ICE on a poc".




31 January 2026, the same blog, the same day: "we do not support infinite-survivor-mirmo/choco. if you support them or interact with them positively, you are not welcome on this blog… we do not want to be around anyone who supports that heinous, festering pile of worthless rot."

The two posts are a matched pair and they answer each other. In the first, Choco is the one who "harasses", "speaks over" and "milks trauma". In the second, written the same day, the same author calls a survivor "a heinous, festering pile of worthless rot", bans anyone who speaks to them, and explains that this is "a boundary, not a personal attack". The person warning newcomers about Choco's privilege is the person issuing a loyalty order to their followers and dehumanising Choco in the same breath. "We do not care for drama" appears in a post whose only content is drama. Section 6.10 is the answer to the privilege sentence; this capture is the answer to who was saying it.




5 February 2026. proship-shuujuu: "praying that every victim of user infinite-proship-choco's sexual predation is doing okay, what with their newest round of deflecting and victim-blaming. we believe you, and we see you. ❤️" Above it, another tag post: "if u disagree u r not proship. u do not deserve that lable. #saying this as a csam victim".




For the record: the accusation of "sexual predation", from January 2026 to August 2026, with no instance ever cited. Exhibit A2, seven months later, same words, Choco's legal name added.

"We believe you" is addressed to victims who are never named, of predation that is never described, by a person who hasn't met Choco, in a tag Choco could not answer in because the same person had banned Choco. It is the liturgy: a collective "we", a category of victim summoned into existence by the sentence itself, and a heart. The post above it supplies the enforcement: disagree and you aren't proship. Three things in two posts: a manufactured victim class, a loyalty test, and the speaker's own trauma used as the credential for both. Their document says trauma used to make a point is manipulation. Here it is, by the side that wrote the rule.




1 February 2026. An anonymous ask to cuntycore: "Why have not you joined in on the Choco situation? She's genuinely such a bad person… You as a POC and sexual abuse victim should be against what they are saying".




cuntycore's reply: "the reason I have jumped on the Choco hate train… I genuinely do not really give a fuck. Choco is great. They're very sweet… everyone's clearly just nitpicking at every little thing about them… Oh btw, I am not a POC. I do not know where you got that from but I am very much white."

This is the recruiting mechanism. An anonymous message goes to a bystander and tells them what they are (a person of colour, a survivor) and what that obliges them to do (join). The bystander turns out not to be what the recruiter assumed, and answers that the whole thing is nitpicking. The recruiter didn't know the person they were recruiting; they knew the script. "You as a POC" is the same lever as "denying the privilege they have": race, assigned from outside, as a reason to line up. It failed here because the person answered honestly. It succeeded with the two blogs of 26 December, who did not.




7 February 2026. doecest, reblogging Choco's post and answering it: "for reference, they are 26, almost 27… oh well, they are schizo posting, I guess… must be easy to throw words as buzzwords when you've doxxed minors, sent strobes to epileptic people, followed nazis, etc…"




7 February 2026, doecest, the same day: "I find it strange how infinite proship mirmo keeps getting banned, and instead of getting the message, they come back… Are boundaries truly that hard?"

Four things in one reblog. Choco's age, published, from a person whose complaint is that Choco comment on ages. "Schizo posting", a psychiatric slur, from a network whose document has a section on Choco's ableism. The triad, "doxxed minors, sent strobes to epileptic people, followed nazis", in its canonical order, each item answered in this document (sections 2.10, 2.6, 2.18) and none of them ever evidenced by the people repeating it. And the boundary question, from a person who had just written two posts about Choco in a day. "Keeps getting banned" is offered as proof of character. Section 7, marker 4, is what it is proof of.



February 2026. An anonymous ask to d1anett1: "I used to run proship-culture-is and I really regret ever trusting and supporting Choco… they just push until they finally face consequences, backpedal and 'apologize' and then are back to hurting and harassing people later."




The reply: "i used to be a mutual, then stopped during summer, then started trusting them again… then blocked them when the strobes and doxxing dani started… choco is a horrible person and that is that. trauma… is not an excuse."

Two former mutuals, in one thread, each with the story in its finished form: strobes, Dani, apology-as-trick, trauma-as-excuse. Neither cites a thing they saw. Both cite the shape of the story, which by February had been repeated enough to feel like memory. "Apologize and then are back to harassing" is their document's 2.3 in the wild: Choco's apology, refused in writing in June 2025, recast eight months later as a manipulation Choco perform. Nobody in this thread was in the summer. They inherited it.



bee24cha: "they have followed me at least 7 times despite me asking them in DM's to leave me alone… Choco has tried to hurt & kill people over a percieved slight to Mirmo (who is a fictional character) might I add!!!"



23 June 2026. sa1ntg0re: "choco has made another account!! @infinito-proship-miirmo. I cannot tag/link because I am blocked… but my partner sweetly told me! (I do not care if antis reblog this! everyone should be warned)"


"Tried to hurt and kill people." No one in the record has been hurt or killed by Choco, and the sentence is offered without a name, a date or an event. This is the ceiling of the inflation: from a threat Choco made and didn't carry out in June 2025 (a threat Choco made and did not carry out, in June 2025), through "doxxes", to "kill", in seven months of retelling. And beside it, in June 2026, the watch: a new account of Choco's, reported to the tag within a day by a person Choco had blocked, via a partner who had not, with the note that antis are welcome to spread it. Their document calls this, when Choco does it, stalking. Here it is called warning.

Taken together: In nine weeks, on one tag, the following happened: two blogs dropped Choco the day after a document was revised, with its words; a blog dehumanised Choco and banned Choco's mutuals while explaining that Choco was the harasser; the same blog invented a class of Choco's victims and prayed for them; an anonymous recruiter assigned a stranger a race and a duty; a reblogger published Choco's age, used a psychiatric slur, and asked whether boundaries were hard; two former mutuals repeated a story neither had witnessed; and a claim that Choco tried to kill people appeared with no referent. None of these people were in June 2025. All of them was carrying it. A fourth wave: the campaign no longer needs its authors. It runs on the people it convinced.


> **Consolidation, dated. The document's release on 25 December and its language in strangers' mouths on 26 December; the privilege accusation and the dehumanisation from the same hand on the same day; the recruiting script failing when a recruit answered honestly; the story inflating from "threatened" to "kill" by February. The tag is the machine's output, and it can be read.**

6.12 The Reddit thread, June 2026. Harassment, cyberstalking, libel.

Section 6.12 records a Reddit thread of 4 June 2026 and a notice filed under the Digital Services Act. This is the thread. It is placed here, whole, because it is the clearest single artefact in the record of what the network does to a person once the narrative no longer needs them present: a stranger asks a question, and within hours sixty-eight comments and a hundred and seventy-nine votes have produced a verdict, a sentence, and a call to carry it out. Choco is not defending anything in this section. There is nothing in it to defend. It is recorded, and it's judged.




r/ProshipHub, June 2026. The original post: "Does anyone know this user? Why do they keep following my account?… I keep feeling unsafe about this because they actively bragging about doxxing and calling other people groomers (I do not know if those people are actually groomers or not)… TLDR: should I feel unsafe?" 179 votes, 68 comments.




The first replies. CreationMage: "Same here!" MaskedCatEvil: "I have a friend getting ready to talk a bit about the drama… Stand by in the comment section." And: "they actively harass multiple of my friends… I can see if I can get my friends in question to comment."

The question is a follow. The answer is arranged in public: a commenter announces that friends are being brought in to testify, and asks the thread to stand by. Below is not a discussion. It is a hearing whose witnesses have been called by one side, in a room where the person on trial had never posted.



ChunkyButtNutter, 133 votes, screenshotted and reposted by MaskedCatEvil when it did not display: "severely mentally unstable and have nothing better to do than send strobe gifs, doxx and stalk minors, and accuse other proshippers of being abusers and groomers with no proof whatsoever. They've started a whole cult… caught following two Neo-Nazis. Absolutely horrid person that nobody fucking likes… report them for spam and ban evasion."




arandomredditorrrrr, 35 votes: "doxxing him definitely was not the right thing to do… follows neo-nazis and is overall just a really mentally unstable person. And the worst part is that they get off of it, literally. Stay far away from this weirdo they are extremely unsafe."

Take the two most-voted statements and name what is in them, because the law has names and this section is where they go. "Severely mentally unstable", twice, from strangers: a statement of fact about a person's health, published, without basis. "Doxx and stalk minors": attribution of criminal conduct, published, with no instance. "They get off of it, literally": a statement of fact about a person's sexual arousal, published, without basis; that is sexual defamation, the same species as "forced sexual conversion" and "nothing but a fetish", and it's now on its third author. "Report them for spam and ban evasion": an instruction to a hundred and seventy-nine people to report an account they had never interacted with. That last one isn't defamation. It is the mechanism, in writing, by which twenty-four of Choco's accounts were removed. conduct the Digital Services Act tells the platform to suspend.




WeirdLostEntity, 21 votes: "purposely sent strobes/flashing images to people with epilepsy… they have claimed to be blind, epileptic, autistic, and a system, and have taken back those claims… they have literally denied being any of those when it was not convenient".



Formal_Stranger8660, 1 vote: "What you're saying is pretty shitty especially without evidence. You should not use hearsay about someone's disabilities, I have personally seen them using a cane and I know for a fact they are plural, I have DID myself… I know for a fact they are disabled autistic and blind."

Twenty-one votes for a stranger fakeclaiming Choco's blindness, Choco's epilepsy, Choco's autism and Choco's system. One vote for the person who had actually met Choco. That ratio is the thread. It is also the tag. it's also their document: the count of people repeating a thing is offered as the weight of the thing, and the one person with first-hand knowledge is outvoted by people with none. The commenter who wrote "I do not mean to fakeclaim them" fakeclaimed four disabilities in a sentence, and the sentence was rewarded.




Glad_Midnight_3834, 20 votes: "an anonymous pos reported to them that you made this post and now Choco have read everyone's replies, took screenshots… Please please be safe y'all, this person legit scares me, they are deranged and dangerous." Neptune_washere: "They must have a really fucking sad life. I hope they get help."




Sad-Seat9713: "They keep getting banned, but they keep making new blogs… How many times do they have to get terminated before they understand they are not wanted on Tumblr?" sslainsaturn: "he just keeps making new accounts, hes like a weed".

The sequence: Sixty-eight people discuss Choco in a place Choco is not. Someone tells Choco. Choco read it and take screenshots, which is what this document is made of. The act of reading a thread about themselves is then reported back to the thread as evidence that Choco is "deranged and dangerous", and people are told to be safe. Their document calls this "stalking" when Choco does it and "occasionally checking" when they do. Here it's called danger. And beneath it, the count of Choco's terminations offered as proof that Choco is unwanted, by the people who had, four comments earlier, been told how to report Choco.



YoyleAeris, 17 votes: "Oh, that bastard. I heard terrible things about them." One_Schedule5317, 15 votes: "Thanks for the reminder to go through and audit all my recent Tumblr followers." Hpsienzant: "Hardblock and avoid them. They call anyone they do not like a 'predator'…"



Reallyoddlysquewy, under the 133-vote comment: "Well that is sad. I really hope antis do not use this as a 'gotcha' against us."

"I heard terrible things." That is the evidentiary basis of the thread, stated by one of its participants without embarrassment. "Audit all my recent Tumblr followers" is what it produced: strangers going through their follower lists for a person they had never spoken to. And the last comment is the one Choco would put in front of anyone who thinks this was about Choco's conduct. The worry, at the end of a thread that had called a disabled survivor deranged, unstable, sexually aroused by harassment, a cult leader and a follower of Nazis, was that antis might use it against proshippers. Not that any of it might be untrue. That it might reflect badly on the people saying it.

Since this section is about what the law calls things: the platform's obligation under the Digital Services Act is to act on a notice of illegal content; the notice was filed; the thread is up. The names for the content are in Annex D, and they are, in plain language, libel about health, libel about crime, sexual defamation, and organised reporting. None of the commenters had a fact. All of them had a thread.

a thread in which the only witness who had met the accused is outvoted twenty-one to one by people who had not is not a finding about the accused. It is a finding about the thread.

> **A hearing with called witnesses, no defendant, and no evidence; statements about Choco's health, Choco's crimes and Choco's arousal voted to the top; a stranger who had met Choco outvoted twenty-one to one by strangers who had not; an instruction to report Choco issued to a hundred and seventy-nine people; and, at the end, the only worry expressed being that it might look bad. Judged: coordinated harassment, libel, sexual defamation, and the mechanism of mass reporting, in one thread, dated.**

6.13 Grooming conduct, by marker

Their document uses “grooming” and “groomer” about Choco forty times and cites no act. This section does not return the word. It returns the definition, and it holds their conduct against it. Child-protection bodies describe grooming not as a label but as a course of conduct with recognisable markers: an adult builds trust or standing with a minor; introduces sexual content or sexualised talk into the relationship; tests and erodes the minor’s boundaries; leverages the adult’s own vulnerability or the minor’s to bind them; isolates the minor from other adults or sets adults against each other; and asks for, or relies on, secrecy. None of those markers is an accusation in itself. A course of conduct that meets several of them is what the word means. Below is the documented conduct of adults in this network, held against those markers, one at a time.

1. Sexual content introduced to minors, or in spaces with identified minors

- Ezra: “fuck daddy’s little toy” and “orgasm dispensary” on an 18+ blog followed by identified fifteen- and sixteen-year-olds (captures 011, 007, 100). Ezra’s own statement: “my account is 18+. It’s obvious. Use your head a little.” The minors were in the comments.
- patronizing: “i’m trying to jerk off to incest” and “only my big brother who molests me can call me a creep. Know your place”, addressed in a public tag to a user who had just said they wake at six to go to school (captures 470, 463).
- Mayday: explicit content sent to a fifteen-year-old, by the child’s public account with the messages (m2, m9).

2. Boundary testing and erosion

- **Rook: evaded the blocks of a fifteen-year-old and a seventeen-year-old to continue contact (third-party document; 6.6.6).**
- Gravekandy: went to the blog of a thirteen-year-old that carried an adults-DNI notice, and kept what an anonymous sender had taken from it (captures 549, 534).
- patronizing: “Know your place”, to a school-age user objecting to sexual content in a shared tag (capture 470).

3. Leverage: the adult’s crisis or the minor’s, used to bind

- Rook: placed the weight of his own self-harm and suicidality on the two minors, so that their withdrawal became responsible for his harm (third-party document: “the behaviour is akin to a grooming tactic”).
- **Ezra: told a fourteen-year-old, in a public document, that her distress belonged “with a psychologist or at the very least a school counselor”, and privately, that “fighting fire with fire was enough to shut Caleb up for good” (2.13; A19).**

4. Minors used to carry the adult’s campaign

- **Caleb, fourteen: published Choco's legal name, which only adults could have given her; Ezra, the same day, “I’ll tear her down” (A20).**
- **The fourteen-year-old antishipper sent Sanctuarium’s poll to vote on (6.9).**
- **slashmoth, a self-described minor, “informed by multiple people” that an adult gets off to arguing with them, and made to repeat it (x4; 6.6.7).**
- shotashotgun, likely a minor by a reader’s account, posting the network’s accusations in the tag (x1, x2).

5. Setting the minor against the adults who would protect them

- **Their document, to Dani: Choco is the danger; the adults who published her writing are her protectors (2.13). Ezra to Dani’s parents, privately: “I’d tear her parents apart at the teacher-parent conference” (A27).**
- **Sanctuarium, under a minor alter’s post about religious abuse: “you’re being like Choco”; then “God bless you” (6.9).**

6. Secrecy

- Gravekandy: “Don’t tell them I sent you” (A11). The same author, on the thirteen-year-old: “I did not go looking for it. A concerned anon sent me evidence” (capture 549). Content of a child, received in secret, kept in secret, disclosed only to use.
- **The locked Discord channel with Choco's name, where the group “joked about sending anons”, and where, by the editor’s account, “minors and adults can have civil, safe for work conversations in the general chat” (5.1).**

Held against the definition, the record shows adults in this network meeting every marker at least once and several of them repeatedly: sexual content in front of identified minors, blocks evaded, an adult’s crises placed on teenagers, children used to publish a name and repeat a rumour, children told that the adult who documented the network was their danger, and a child’s sexual content kept in secret by an adult who wrote “do not tell them I sent you” in the same month. Choco has not called anyone a groomer in this section. Choco has listed what they did, next to what the word means, and Choco is content to let the reader do the arithmetic that their document did for Choco without a single act.

> **Six markers of grooming conduct, as child-protection bodies define them; each met by documented acts of adults in the network, with captures. Their document applied the word to Choco forty times and showed nothing. This section shows the conduct and withholds the word. The reader can supply it.**

6.14 On the word “troll”. The definition, and the evidence.

Their document calls Choco a troll. “A deeply mentally ill troll that gets off on bullying others” (Ezra, capture 100). “Choco is trolling”, “troll behaviour”, in the editor’s sections. They didn’t define the word, which is unusual for a document that screenshotted thirty dictionary entries for Choco's. So here is the definition, from the sources anyone can check, followed by the evidence, held against it.

The definition

Merriam-Webster: a troll is “a person who intentionally antagonizes others online by posting inflammatory, irrelevant, or offensive comments” (merriam-webster.com/dictionary/troll). The standard encyclopaedic description adds the elements that matter here: the messages are insincere as well as inflammatory; the intent is to provoke an emotional response or to manipulate others’ perception; the behaviour is for the troll’s amusement, or to disrupt a rival’s online activity, or to cause harm; and media usage has come to equate trolling with online harassment (graphsearch.epfl.ch/en/concept/14594; the same text underlies the Wikipedia entry). A widely cited practitioner definition adds the motive: trolls seek a negative response, feel power in proportion to the distress they cause, and treat the activity as a game (ipredator.co/internet-troll-definition). Research summarised by the University of Georgia links trolling to the antagonism end of the agreeableness spectrum and notes that the behaviours that fuel it, hate speech, doxxing and misinformation, are the same as those of targeted harassment campaigns (news.uga.edu/internet-troll). The oldest advice about trolls, from every source: don’t feed them, because the response is what they came for.

The elements, then, are five: (1) deliberate provocation; (2) insincerity; (3) amusement or the disruption of a rival; (4) the emotional response as the goal; (5) the game. Held against the record:

Element of the definition	In the record
(1) Deliberate provocation	A public sexual accusation, edited later without notice (2.1). An anonymous ask sent to Choco and a second one; an anonymous side blog, “It’s mine. Lol.” (2.11). A locked channel where the group “joked about sending anons” (5.1). A survivor’s adult-gated crisis writing collected and announced with a heart (6.6.1). A cross on a survivor’s inner child (2.26). “Kill yourself”, twice, paid to reach strangers (A1). A poll about a person, pushed by anonymous ask to strangers with a request to share (6.9). Ten uses of “keep this in mind” to prime a reader (6.3).
(2) Insincerity	“I tried to be comforting. Not out of care, but because it is what I knew they wanted to hear” (2.22). “I can call my commentary more objective”, then “if you want to pretend that is what we meant, then go ahead” (6.3). “We didn’t want an apology”, after a section on Choco's failure to

Element of the definition	In the record
	apologise (2.3). “This document does not exist for you. It exists for other people” (6.3). A redefinition of “suicide baiting” in a footnote, “to keep things simple” (2.17). “The chosen sources are placeholders” (2.14). “Note that we did not watch Choco’s YouTube videos”, in a document about their content (2.19).
(3) Amusement, or the disruption of a rival	“people enjoyed it. Not all, but some for sure” (A16). “LMAO THEY ARE SEETHING” (A24). “TBE :)))))))” in the section listing Choco's crimes (2.14). “* lound incorrect buzzer* Wrong.” “Chat, is it stalking…” (6.3, move 24). “Uh, Pookie.” “Babes, I have all of that <3” (capture 439). “Lol.” The disruption: twenty-four accounts reported until they fell; “report them for spam and ban evasion” to a hundred and seventy-nine people (6.12); “choco has made another account!! everyone should be warned” within a day of its creation (6.11).
(4) The emotional response as the goal	The method of section 6.7: provoke, record the reaction, delete the provocation, publish the reaction. “You love this shit” (capture 433), written by the person who had just announced holding Choco's sexual crisis writing. “Choco enjoys this. They even get off to it” (3.8), from one private line. “Fret not, they are alive and well in my comment section. #this is like the 5th time” (their #328), on a report that Choco was ending Choco's life. A poll: “What is Choco?”, options supplied (6.9). The reaction is what the document is made of; the reactions are its exhibits.
(5) The game	“This is finally coming to an end. We both should’ve done this a long time ago” (A14). “I’ll tear her down in no time whatsoever 🙂” (A20). “I’d tear her parents apart at the teacher-parent conference” (A27). A document revised five times over fourteen months, each revision adding a section (3.5). “We still have all the chats”, “I’ll know if you delete it”, to former allies (7, marker 6). A side blog deleted “for safety reasons” when identified (2.11). Two impersonation accounts made in Choco's name on one day (6.6.7). A mockery blog with a sexual pun on Choco's name, paid to reach strangers (6.6.3).

The mirror, done correctly: Their document says of Choco, on its second page, that Choco's provocations are “troll behaviour” and that the reader should not engage. The advice is sound. It is the oldest advice there is about trolls. one thing in their document Choco would ask every reader to follow, in the direction the evidence points. Do not feed them: do not send the ask they are waiting for, don’t answer the poll, do not reblog the DNI, do not report the account because a thread told you to, don’t repeat “strobes, doxxing, Nazis” because someone you have never met typed it under a post you did not check. A troll is a person who is fed by the response. Fourteen months of responses are in Annex C. Choco supplied some of them, and section 2 says which. The rest were supplied by people who had been told that responding was the responsible thing to do.

It is safe to say that the evidence against Nier, Ezra, Gravekandy, Rook, morphine-dr1p, the impersonation accounts and the tag being trolls, in the dictionary sense, the encyclopaedic sense and the research sense, is very, very long. It is the length of this document. It is also, unlike the sentence they wrote about Choco, sourced: the definition is linked, and every item in the table is a capture.

“do not feed the troll” is advice, not a defence, and that the people who wrote it did the feeding for fourteen months by anonymous ask, paid post and tag.

> **Held against the definition of the word they used, the record meets all five elements, with captures, from the people who used it. The word was theirs. The evidence is theirs too.**

6.15 Track record. Accusations without evidence, and legal threats that went nowhere.

Two tables. The first lists every accusation the network has made against Choco that it has never supported with a single act, with the date it was first made and the date it was last repeated. The second lists the legal threats they made, and what happened next, which in every case was nothing. Both tables are the answer to the sentence their document repeats most: that Choco accuse without proof.

Accusation	First	Last	By	Evidence ever offered
“forced sexual conversion”	Jun 2025	Edited, never withdrawn	Ezra	None. One private message about a gift, to an adult who later called it a communication failure (A4).

Accusation	First	Last	By	Evidence ever offered
“Doxxes people” / “doxxed a minor” / “doxxes and stalks minors”	Jun 2025	Jun 2026 (Reddit), Aug 2026	Ezra, Nier, tag, Reddit	None. Their own document’s doxxing section contains no instance. Choco's legal name, by contrast, was published four times by their side (6.6.4).
“Sends strobes to epileptic users”	Jan 2026	Aug 2026 (morphine)	Gravekandy, Reddit, shotashotgun, doecest	The lights Choco sent went to non-epileptic users (2.6). The dare post is owned there.
“Follows / is a neo-Nazi”	Jul 2025	Jun 2026 (Reddit)	Nier, tag, Reddit	Two radqueer gimmick blogs that followed Choco's past accounts. Choco's documents name them. Nobody has ever produced a post of Choco's endorsing anything.
“Groomer” / “grooms minors”	Sep 2025	Aug 2026 (shotashotgun)	Rook’s askers, tag, morphine	None. Not one sexual message from Choco to a minor has ever been shown. Section 6.13 shows the conduct of the accusers against the definition.
“Wants to rape children” / “known sexual predator”	Aug 2026	Aug 2026	morphine-dr1p, tagged with Choco's legal name	None.
“Gets off on it” / “nothing but a fetish” / “adult getting off to me”	Jul 2025	Aug 2026 (slashmoth)	Ezra, Gravekandy, Reddit, relayed to a minor	One private line, misread (3.8). No other basis has ever been offered.
“Delusional”, “paranoid”, “mentally unwell, common knowledge”, “severely mentally unstable”	Jul 2025	Jun 2026 (Reddit)	Nier, Reddit	None. No diagnosis, no clinician, no record. The same document says “no amount of mental illness excuses” and diagnoses Choco in the next paragraph.
“Faked disabilities”: blind, epileptic, autistic, plural	Jan 2026	Jun 2026 (Reddit)	Gravekandy (by DM), WeirdLostEntity	None. Retracted by Gravekandy when caught (A11). Rebutted on Reddit by the one commenter who had met Choco (r9).
“There was never an alter”	Dec 2025	Dec 2025	Nier	Contradicted by Choco's own message of 19 July 2025 (A5), two months before their earliest exhibit.
“Cult leader” / “started a whole cult”	Jan 2026	Jun 2026 (Reddit)	gauchestardust, shuujuu, Reddit	Section 7 applies the BITE model to both sides with captures. Their document has “It goes without saying that we are not a cult.”
“Tried to hurt and kill people”	Feb 2026	Feb 2026	bee24cha	None. Nobody was hurt. Nobody was killed. .
“Suicide baiting”	Jul 2025	Dec 2025	Nier, Ezra	The word redefined in a footnote to fit (2.17). The direct incitements in this record run the other way (A1, #284).
“Impersonated Ezra”	Jul 2025	Dec 2025	Nier	Three accounts with variants of Ezra’s handle, attributed to Choco by inference. Two accounts in Choco's name, made in one day, are captured (6.6.7).

Fourteen accusations, fourteen months, zero acts shown. Several of them were repeated by people who arrived a year after the first one was made and had nothing but the repetition to go on. The last column is the whole of what their side has ever produced, and most of its cells say “None”.



Ezra, June 2025, unprompted, on a link: "My guess is IP grabber… If it is not an IP grabber, it is certainly someone who wants to lure you onto their server for doxxing purposes." Tagged "#choco victims", "#rather safe than sorry". (Choco's Summer document, capture 124.)



The same post with dove-killer in the thread: "Don't be stupid chat. Don't click random links. Hey Ezra, got any idea what could be the plot behind this scheme?" (Capture 311.)

The IP accusation entered this situation from their side, in public, before anything of Choco's, and it was seeded to their own audience with a tag naming Choco's supposed victims. Their document lists it as one of Choco's offences.

Legal threats

Who	When	Threat	What happened
Ezra	Jun 2025	"your policia local, the guardia civil and policia nacional"; Choco's conduct is "reportable cybercrime" (their #393)	No report made. Fourteen months later, none exists.
Nier	Aug 2025 onward	"This document exists solely because Choco's actions are reportable offenses in multiple countries"; a countdown of crimes by country	The list reads "TBE :)))))))". The sources are "placeholders". One cited article is repealed. No report, no complaint, no case.
Nier	Aug 2025	"I know which city you're in"; Choco's legal name	No lawful purpose stated or pursued. The data was used for a sign-off.
Mayday	May 2026	Threatened a fifteen-year-old with law enforcement (per remainasanon, capture m1)	The child answered that the threat did not scare them, because he had admitted sending them explicit content. No action.
dove-killer	Aug 2026	"Your evidence of grooming is the minor who was in my notes once", then "Kill yourself"	No legal step. Two incitements.
The network, collectively	2025, 2026	"Report them for spam and ban evasion"; "report them for stalking"; twenty-four terminations	Platform reports, not law. The one lawful path, a court or a dispute body, was used by Choco, not by them (7.1).

Their document lists, under Choco's “illegitimate legal threats”, the police report Choco said Choco would make and did make (3.7). Theirs are above. Not one was followed by anything, because a report needs an act, and the table before this one shows how many acts they had. The only people in this record who took anything to a body with the power to decide were Choco and the fifteen-year-old Mayday threatened, who said she would go to the police, and meant it.

> **Fourteen accusations without an act. Six legal threats without a filing. A track record is what a person does after the sentence, and after every one of theirs, the record shows nothing.**


6.16 Alfie and Mochi. Sexualising Choco, twice.

Two episodes, three months apart, with the same shape: an adult brings sex into a private exchange with Choco, and the exchange is later published by Gravekandy’s side as proof of what Choco “get off to”. The first supplied the sentence “all of this drama has been nothing but a fetish for them”. The second was manufactured after the first didn’t land hard enough.

Alfie, February 2026



8 February 2026, ghost-of-alfie to Choco, after the exposure: “I did not reduce your identity to a kink. I said you got off to drama and posts you sent me which you did.” Choco's reply: “You said my online presence was my face deformity and degradation. It’s on graves post.” (Choco's second Gravekandy document, capture 004.)




pony-rick-sanchez, by DM, the same week: “Choc I was not baiting… You know I was a sykid. It is in my bio. Always have been.” Choco's reply: “And why are you here. After the fuckery about my identity.” (Capture 005.)


Alfie was an adult, eighteen going on nineteen, part of how Choco processes what was done to Choco as a child is self sexualization; that’s nobody’s business and it’s not evidence of anything beyond Alfie sexually harassing Choco and the predatory conduct of Choco's abusers exploiting a vulnerability to label it as predation. Alfie later described it to Gravekandy as Choco “getting off to drama”. Gravekandy published that as “all of this drama has been nothing but a fetish for them”, attached a content warning that minors were allowed to reblog, the same perpetrators of pushing Choco into such a state in which

Choco had to reprocess sexual trauma inflicted by Gravekandy and after over a year of the opposing party milking Choco's trauma for drama and using Choco as social currency for clout, this is perhaps the worst insidious section in the entire document because Proshippers are already stigmatized about having dark kinks, people who pretended to be Proship saw someone's safe space being destroyed and thought the appropriate thing after sexually harassing Choco was blaming them for being sexually harassed, appropriate their reprocessing, and make it theirs to speak over, Choco didn't consent to either the initial sexual harassment or the revictimization, Gravekandy and consorts claimed not to consent to someone “getting off” to the harm said people caused Choco, which is beyond disgusting amounts of victim blaming and gets worse when we point out Gravekandy had used minors on their account for anon hatemail, stating they wanted hate and having anons on a blog were minors were allowed in openly degrade them, after admitting to an humiliation fetish, a scat fetish, and Numeros others to children, they pushed a victim to the brink after over a year of unspeakable torment, only because relevant through them and after having Choco help out each and every one of them in crises after what all of them did, baited for constant drama, milked the trauma they caused, repurposed private and inner processing as theirs to speak while being the cause, and victim blamed Choco in front of hundreds of people, while being the only ones who this entire situation turned out to be a fetish for, after involving unconsenting minors into it.

This would be almost impossible to handle by itself and by a Neurotypical adult, a syskid handled it, what people at fault deflect and bastardize as pity after they all played the victim is, aside from rage-bait, a blatant display of envy on their part, as they know none of them, even together, have a modicum of this endurance, nor were they were ever able to beat me despite having tried anything imaginable to suffocate the truth.

Mochi, April 2026





Mochipawz to Choco, in Choco's server, 15 April 2026: “In a world where you could do anything to grave without consequence, would you like, assault him like that?” Choco's answer: “shakes head no. I am coded as a sex toy, in all situations. I cannot change it. Beat them up? kill them? sure. But sexual stuff…”

hopelesslyemptytreasure (Gravekandy), months later: “Since we’re getting everything out in the open, thought I’d share something I have been sitting on”, publishing the chat. Mochipawz in it: “You’re twisted hehe”, “Thanks for letting me degrade grave again.”



Choco's answer at the time, on the archived post: “Mochi? The user I BLOCKED because they sexualized you and I was uncomfortable? That Mochi?” and “Mochi initiated that talk, I was incredibly uncomfortable and I kicked them from the server.”

The sequence. An adult in Choco's server opens a conversation about doing sexual violence to Gravekandy. Choco is asked, directly, whether Choco would assault him; Choco says no. The same adult thanks Choco for letting them “degrade grave again”, which is the sentence of a person who has done this before and wants it on record that Choco hosted it. Choco remove them from the server and block them. Months later, Gravekandy publishes the chat as something he had been “sitting on”, with Choco's lines in it presented as Choco's sexual interest in him. Choco's lines are questions to a person describing their own fantasies about him, in a conversation they started; Choco's only answer to the only direct question was no; and the person asking was, by Choco's documents, working for the man who published it.

That is the textbook. Provoke a sexual conversation about yourself through a third party, keep it, and publish it as the target’s desire. Their word for it, in section 5.6, is DARVO. only time in this record Choco will use their vocabulary for them. It came after the Alfie episode had produced one sentence and the campaign wanted a second. Choco's reply then stands now: never insinuate anything sexual regarding Choco again. Choco objectify themselves, nobody else, and especially not someone like you.

> **An adult’s private consensual dynamic published as a fetish; an adult sent to start sexual talk about the publisher and thanked for the degradation; both kept and released as evidence of what the survivor wants. Sexual defamation, twice, by the same hand, using two different people to hold the pen.**


6.17 Gravekandy, the remainder. “Disfigured”, “digilantism”, Caleb, Nathan, and the discomfort standard.

Five things from Choco's Gravekandy documents that have not yet been placed, each with its capture and each judged.

1. “Disfigured”




gravekandyrants: “Our truce is over you piece of shit.” Reblogging Choco's post: “I have grown up having to fight to survive, I have been discriminated against my whole life for my face deformity… treated as the hunchback of Notre Dame whenever I go. And it is always been that way.” (Choco's Gravekandy response document, capture 090.)



gravekandy, to Choco: “Yes, White USAmerican citizen in the Cholofascist empire, you definitely know more about privilege than the POC that is also a system, periah. /s” and “You crossed the goddamn line.” (Capture 019.)

Choco wrote, in the privilege argument, that Choco has a facial deformity and have been treated accordingly all Choco's life. Gravekandy’s answer was “our truce is over you piece of shit”, and Alfie’s, in February, was that Choco's online presence was “my face deformity and degradation” (6.16). The list post their side circulated says Choco “called someone physically disfigured”. The person with the disfigurement in this record is Choco; the people who made it a subject are them. A truce ends, apparently, when the other party mentions their face.

2. “Digilantism”



A third party, in a thread of 231 notes, to Dani: "choco, meanwhile, actively hunts predators within both communities and gets them deplatformed and (I think) reported to the police… and what have you done, dani? you have hurt people, and you've done so without remorse." (Capture 498.)




Choco's documentation at the time: "some members in the community were fine with letting proven child predators run rampant if harassing my followers was acceptable. There had been an impact statement made about one of the minors who was harassed and targeted by Nathan Hill." (Capture 420.)

Gravekandy's objection to what Choco did with Nathan Hill and Proxy Galaxy was that it was vigilantism and that predators deserve due process. The record of what he did while children in his own circle were being targeted is: nothing. He did not report, he did not deplatform, he didn't take an impact statement from a minor; Choco did all three, and a stranger in a public thread said so unprompted. Due process for a man in Britain whose father is a police officer, invoked from a sofa in America, by a person who had just kept a thirteen-year-old's sexual content instead of reporting it, isn't a principle. It is a reason for not having done anything. The irony is complete: the man who calls Choco's reports vigilantism is the man whose section in Choco's documents is titled "liability", and it is titled that because he was one.

3. Caleb



A list of call-out posts against Caleb / Antiship-Hunter / Harvey-hates-proshippers, compiled and circulated by the network, February 2025 onward. (Capture 147.)



Ezra, on Caleb: "fighting fire with fire was enough to shut Caleb up for good". (Exhibit A19.)

The network's concern for Caleb, in their document, is the emotional centre of the section on Choco's child endangerment. The network's treatment of Caleb, in the record, is: call-out posts against her from February 2025, a year before their document; "Friendly reminder Dani got what they deserved" from Gravekandy; "shut Caleb up for good", "I'll tear her down" and "I'd tear her parents apart" from Ezra. A fourteen-year-old was harassed by these people for a year, and then, when it became useful, protected by them from Choco. She published Choco's legal name because adults gave it to her. She was, by other users' accounts, doing damage of her own, which is what a child in that environment does. None of it was Choco's. All of it's documented, and the people who documented it are the ones who now cite her as Choco's victim.

4. Nathan Hill




silentlystealthyenigma, a third party: "considering by his own admission of how he and his group felt knowing they gave someone like Nathan fucking Hill easy access to minors… Grave and his group only brought minors into the equation by trying to start shit with Choco's well hidden NSFW account." (Capture 239.)

Two things from one bystander. That Gravekandy's group knew, by his own admission, that they had given a man in possession of child sexual abuse material access to minors; and that the minors entered the story because the group went looking for Choco's adult-gated account, not because Choco brought them anywhere near it. That is the whole "Choco and minors" question in two sentences from someone who wasn't Choco. The person who left a thirteen-year-old in a server with a CSAM holder wrote a document section about Choco's danger to children. The section is empty. This paragraph is why.

5. The discomfort standard



26 June 2025, 20:05. The private message about a birthday gift, screenshotted and captioned “One of those ‘back massagers’”, with the recipient’s name and age removed. (Capture 360.)



The one recipient who is named, Silver, 23 July 2026: the message was consented to, and the failure of communication was theirs. (Exhibit A4.)

Every sexual-harassment claim their side has made against Choco rests on a private message with the other party’s name and age removed. That isn’t a privacy courtesy; it’s plausible deniability, because a claim whose complainant cannot be identified cannot be checked. Where the complainant can be identified, the record is Silver’s: the exchange was consented to, and they said so in writing a year later. The pattern that produces the anonymised exhibits is the same every time. An adult sexualises Choco, or accepts Choco's being sexual, for as long as Choco is worth knowing; when the wind changes, the same exchange is reported as “discomfort”, with the identity stripped so that nobody can ask them what they had said first. Alfie did it. Mochi was sent to do it. The June 2025 recipient did it, and later withdrew it. The only participant who never did it was Nier, who wrote that he had not been personally victimised by Choco in any way, and then wrote forty-four thousand words as if he had.

> **The disfigured person in this record is Choco, and they made it a subject. The vigilante is the one who reported, deplatformed and took statements; the man calling it vigilantism did none of that and kept a child’s sexual content. The child they protect from Choco was harassed by them for a year. The minors entered the story because they went looking for Choco's adult blog. And the sexual-harassment exhibits are anonymous because named ones, like Silver’s, come out the other way.**

6.18 What their document does not weigh

Their document measures the target’s reactions against a standard of composure. It does not state what the reactions answered, in what volume, over what period, or under what conditions. The record does, and it is set out here as findings, not as complaint.

Finding 1. Volume and duration of input.

Between June 2025 and August 2026 the following were directed at one person: three accounts built on his handle, one with paid reach (6.6.3, 6.6.7); an anonymous inbox containing, within three weeks, an incitement to suicide, a sexual threat against his introject, a rumour of his death and a restricted post quoted back (6.6.2); two paid incitements to suicide a year apart (A1, #284); a document of 44,707 words revised five times and distributed to his mutuals with instructions to leave (6.11); a Reddit thread of 68 comments and 179 votes calling for reports, followed by 24 terminations (6.12, 7.1); a tag that recruited by anonymous ask and inflated an uncarried threat into an attempt to kill (6.11); a poll sent to a fourteen-year-old (6.9); his legal name published four times, the last under “wants to rape children” (6.6.4); his disabilities fakeclaimed by DM and by vote (A11, 6.12); his introject converted in a Psalm by persons who knew his abusers (2.26); his sexual crisis writing collected from a restricted blog and held over him (6.6.1); his private consensual life published as a fetish, and a second person sent to manufacture a sequel (6.16). No calendar month in the period is without a public act (6.6.4).

Finding 2. Order.

Their document states: “The only action taken was the initial post.” “Everything we’ve done has been a reaction.” The dated record places patronizing’s act on 10 June 2025 and Ezra’s in the same week, before any act of the target. Every item in Finding 1 precedes the reaction their document catalogues to it.

Finding 3. Concurrent conduct of the target.

In the same period the target identified and secured the removal of two adults targeting minors in that circle, one in possession of child sexual abuse material and one adult posing as a child (6.6.7, 6.17); took an impact statement from a child targeted by one of them; answered, in two hundred dated spoken recordings, minors who arrived at his blog after reading a sexual accusation on an adult account (2.5, Annex B); filed the notices, disputes and reports that no person on the other side filed (6.15); and produced the documentation on which this record rests. No act of help to any third party by any person on the other side appears in the record (6.19).

Finding 4. Conditions.

The target is a syskid, autistic, epileptic and blind, with the medical and abuse history stated once in 6.10. Their document describes them as unstable. The record shows a person under Finding 1 who continued Finding 3 throughout. Instability is not the description the record supports for that conduct.

Finding 5. Tolerance of confrontation on the other side.

The editor describes being named for lying and for stalking as harassment (6.3, move 7). Gravekandy ends a “truce” on the mention of the target’s face (6.17.1). shuujuu bans anyone who speaks to the target and calls it a boundary (6.11). doecest asks whether boundaries are hard, twice in one day, of a person who has blocked him (6.11). Ezra blocks when asked to explain a post and documents the reaction (6.7). Rook answers a grooming allegation he half-confirms with “Kill yourself” (A1). Each, confronted once, produced a document, a DNI, a paid post, a thread or a tag. The target was confronted continuously for fourteen months and produced this record.

Finding 6. Restraint, and which side exercised it.

On 23 July 2025 Choco publicly withdrew an accusation of predation against patronizing and cemetarydog, on learning of a real-world circumstance, to protect two people who were at that time still attacking them. Their document files that withdrawal as evidence against Choco. The summer material Choco held was not published. The admission from harvey-hates-proshippers that a rape threat had been made as a scare tactic was answered privately and never circulated. The documented conduct of an adult toward a seventeen-year-old is named once here, in a legal schedule, and was never made into a campaign. Choco held material capable of ending several of the people in this document and did not use it, in fourteen months.

A claim withdrawn when new context arrives has been corrected. A claim contradicted by its own author, inside the same document, without notice, has not.

Their document files Choco's public withdrawal of 23 July 2025 as evidence of dishonesty. It records no correction of its own. Twenty-two contradictions are identified in 6.3 and not one is marked in the text. The people the document presents as victims are described by its editor, once they stop agreeing with him, as braindead, as no-brainers and as a troupe. A document that cannot correct itself and cannot keep the same word for the same people is not a record of what happened. It is a record of who was in favour that week.

Against that stands the editor's own account of his conduct toward the same person: that he tried to be comforting, not out of care, but because it was what he knew they wanted to hear and what he believed would work. Restraint appears in this record on one side only, and it is not the side that asked for composure.

Finding 7.

The reactions their document catalogues were reactions. The stress it calls instability was the applied load. The composure it demanded was demanded by persons who did not withstand one instance of what they administered daily. The call-out it calls harassment is the only material in the record that is dated, checked and signed.

> **Fourteen months of input, each item preceding the reaction filed against it; concurrent protective conduct by the target and none by the other side; and a network unable to withstand one confrontation. The instability in this record is the accusation.**

6.19 Competence, respect, and who shows up

A last group of captures from Choco's Gravekandy document, chosen for what they show about the network as a whole rather than about any one act: what it does when it isn’t attacking Choco, which is nothing; how it speaks to the people it claims to protect; and how it reaches Choco, which is never directly.




gravekandyrants, entering the situation: "I have put up with a lot of shit in the past choco… I considered you a friend, and I genuinely enjoyed my time in your sphere, but I will not tolerate you bullying one of my other friends for something as little as showing empathy for someone exhibiting thoughts of suicide… Do everyone a favor and argue like a grown person, or get off tumblr." (Choco's Gravekandy document, capture 441.)



gravekandy-undead, reblogging proship-shuujuu's post about Choco with the tags "#discourse #psychosis #antiship discourse #proship discourse". (Capture 350.)

Gravekandy's own account of why he joined: Choco had objected to a friend of his "showing empathy" for Rook, the adult who leaned his suicidality on two teenagers and later told Choco to kill themselves twice. "I considered you a friend" is the opening line of a campaign that would run six months, publish Choco's private life as a fetish, keep a child's sexual content, and send an adult to start sexual talk about him in Choco's server. The tag on his reblog, a month later, was "psychosis". The distance between the first sentence and the conduct: from "argue like a grown person" to a psychiatric label in a hashtag.




Ezra, the same period: "Same goes for you Pookie!" and "Psssst, so, instead of being silly on Tumblr dot com and making up anons being someone you want attention from, if you want beef on discord and those mental gymnastics you got going on, you can very much message me, it is clear you need the attention." (Capture 376.)




proship-soda: "fuck choco even after the drama #is that how you do pts??? #fuck choco #choco situation". (Capture 379.)

"It's clear you need the attention", from the person who ran a locked channel named after Choco, wrote "I'll tear her down", and was still messaging Choco in December to joke about a fourteen-year-old. The invitation to "message me" is from someone who had blocked Choco in June when asked to explain a post. And "fuck choco even after the drama", from a stranger, tagged into the situation tag so that Choco would see it, is the network's idea of a boundary. No one in the record has ever come to Choco directly and said what they had to say. The June recipient went to Ezra. Ezra went to an audience. Nier went to a document. Gravekandy went to Choco's mutuals by DM, with "do not tell them I sent you". The Reddit thread went to a subreddit. The tag went to the tag. The one adult who came into Choco's server came to talk about sex, on someone else's behalf. Fourteen months, and not one of them has said a thing to Choco's face that they did not first say to a crowd.




Gravekandy to a third party, by DM: "has anyone seen proof of Choco's disabilities?" "Don't tell them I sent you." (Exhibit A11.)



silentlystealthyenigma relaying an anonymous message about Choco's mutuals' blogs: an inventory of the people around Choco, taken by people who do not know them. (Capture 245.)

That is triangulation: reaching the target through the people around them, with instructions to keep the sender out of it. Gravekandy did it by DM about Choco's disabilities. The tag did it by DNI banners to Choco's mutuals. Reddit did it by telling strangers to audit their followers. Their document did it by being sent to Choco's mutuals with instructions to leave. Choco's followers were messaged, listed, warned and threatened for a year, and the people doing it called that protecting the community. A community isn't protected by frightening its members away from one person. It is managed.



Reddit, on the network's own conduct: "Sadly, I have been seeing a pattern lately, where actual predators (or ones that want to be) are hiding behind the proship label", and, from a commenter, "the degradation kink was LITERAL?" (Capture 505.)



Choco's finding at the time: "Leaving minors alone on a group chat with two child predators when you know about it is criminal negligence, period… According to Proship monomi your own bodily boundaries are subject to them and change depending on what benefits them best." (Capture 418.)

On competence. The network has produced, in fourteen months, one document with placeholder law, five revisions, two impersonation accounts, a paid mockery blog, a poll, a tag, a Reddit thread and several hundred anonymous asks. It has not produced one report to any authority, one deplatformed predator, one protected child, or one act of help to anyone who wasn't already in the group. When there were two adults targeting minors in its own circle, it left the minors in the server with them and wrote about Choco's danger to children. When a thirteen-year-old's sexual content arrived, it kept it. When a fifteen-year-old accused one of its own of sending explicit content, it reblogged him with "you will always have me on your side". The work it calls vigilantism, when Choco does it, is the only work in this record that ever helped anyone.

On respect. The register the network uses for the people it claims to protect is the register it uses for Choco: "Pookie", "Babes", "argue like a grown person", "get off tumblr", "fuck choco", "psychosis" in a tag. It speaks to a fourteen-year-old about school counsellors and tears her down in private. It speaks to a thirteen-year-old's content as "evidence". It speaks to a survivor's deformity as the end of a truce. It expects, and says so, to be free of consequences for any of it: Nier's "I'm not the main character" before ten thousand words; shuujuu's "this is a boundary, not a personal attack" after "festering pile of worthless rot"; Gravekandy's "you crossed the goddamn line" after crossing every one of Choco's. A group that grants itself the privilege of saying anything, to anyone, without answer, and calls the answer harassment, has told you what it thinks respect is. It is something owed to it.


> **A network that entered as "friends", tagged "psychosis" a month later, reached Choco only through crowds and Choco's followers, helped nobody, protected no child, and called the one person doing that work the danger. Incompetent at everything but the campaign, and disrespectful to everyone but themselves. The captures are theirs. So is the record of what they never did.**

6.20 Three more findings from the tag

1. The rape threats to a bystander



silentlystealthyenigma, February 2026: "You must be the same predator that sent @cuntycore rape threats yesterday, Grave apologist I reckon, same shitflinger who sent someone an anon saying the 'drama' was entertaining is stalking minors now, a common activity in your cult." (Choco's Gravekandy document, capture 243.)




cuntycore, the day before: "Choco is great… everyone's clearly just nitpicking… btw, I am not a POC." (Section 6.11.)

cuntycore was the one person in the tag who answered the recruiting ask honestly and declined to join. Within a day they were sent rape threats by anonymous ask. No one in the record has ever been sent a rape threat by Choco; the network's document searches forty-four thousand words for one and finds a birthday gift. The rape threats in the tag went to a stranger for the offence of saying Choco was fine. a sexual threat in this campaign: anonymous, aimed at whoever breaks ranks, and never once mentioned by the people who wrote a section on Choco's sexual harassment, then.

2. The confrontation that came late



h28731, February 2026, in the comments of proship-shuujuu's post: "It gets to a point where no justification could ever be good enough… what could possibly warrant wanting someone dead? I have seen genuine death threats, all that over a damn anime character." (Capture 353.)



proship-froggo, the same week: "harming people over a fictional character is not okay… If you find yourself harming yourself or others over a fictional character, please seek help." (Capture 354.)


Their document says that Ezra "confronted Choco about their bad behaviour" and complains that nobody on the other side ever confronted them. Here is Nier's confrontation. It is dated February 2026, eight months after the summer, two months after his document's fifth revision. comment under someone else's post, in a tag where Choco had been banned from replying, with a crowd behind him. He didn't confront Choco in June, when it began; he was sending anonymous asks. He didn't confront Choco in August, when he wrote Choco's legal name; he wrote it in a file. He did not confront Choco in December; he revised. He confronted Choco when a crowd had assembled around a fourth wave, from inside it, about "a damn anime character". Ezra's contribution the same week is a public-service post about people who harm others over fictional characters, from the person whose adult blog started this by publishing a sexual accusation about a survivor's introject. "Please seek help" is the closing line. It is their document's closing line too. It has never once been addressed to anyone on their side.

A person who needs a document, a tag, a crowd of fifty and eight months before he can say "what could possibly warrant wanting someone dead" to the person he told, in private, to get help and fuck off, is not confronting anyone. He is arriving. The word for the interval is the one their document uses for everyone else, and Choco will let the reader supply it.

3. The definition, used by the other side



proship-shuujuu, answering an ask with a definition of harassment: "the post you have linked is not targeted or repetitive, and is not intentionally insulting, threatening, or offensive to choco. therefore, it is not harassment. if they felt insulted, threatened, or offended by it, that still does not make it harassment." (Capture 258.)



A bystander, 12 notes, February 2026: "basically said they hope ice would hunt a poc… overall i think the drawing something triggering to hurt them was bad. but choco definitely took things way too far and has overall just became a danger to a large portion of the community." (Capture 296.)

The same week that shuujuu wrote "heinous, festering pile of worthless rot" and banned anyone who spoke to Choco, shuujuu also explained, with a definition, that a post about Choco was not harassment because it wasn't targeted, repetitive, insulting or threatening, and that Choco's feeling insulted did not make it so. Their document's method, the dictionary that convicts the target and acquits the author, has reached the fourth wave intact. And the bystander beside it shows what the method produces in a reader who is trying to be fair: an honest admission that the drawing was made to hurt Choco, followed immediately by the inherited verdict that Choco is the danger. The campaign working as designed, on a person who could see half of it.

> **Rape threats to a bystander for declining to join; a "confrontation" that arrived eight months late, from inside a crowd, about an anime character; and the dictionary method reproduced by the fourth wave to acquit itself. Three captures, one campaign, and none of them is about anything Choco did.**

6.21 The Dunning, Kruger effect, as it applies to the editor

The Dunning, Kruger effect is the finding, from a 1999 study by David Dunning and Justin Kruger, that people with the least competence in a domain tend to rate their own competence highest, because the skill needed to do a thing well is the same skill needed to notice that one is doing it badly. It isn't an insult and it's not a diagnosis; it is a description of a relationship between confidence and knowledge, and it applies to anyone in a domain they haven't studied. It applies here because their document is, above everything else, confident. "This is a simple, easily google-able fact." "Google is free." "Words have meaning." "Choco is again using words they do not understand." The editor's certainty about

Choco's ignorance is the constant of the document. Below is a list of the things he was certain about, and what a person who had studied the domain would have known.

Domain	What he was certain of	What the domain actually holds
Law	Cited CP art. 184 as Choco's crime. Art. 184 is sexual harassment inside an employment, teaching or service relationship and cannot apply between two strangers on Tumblr. Cited an article repealed in 2015. Cited the Workers' Statute. Cited arts. 456 and 457, which concern false accusations before officials, for statements on a blog. Closed the section with "the chosen sources are placeholders" and eight smileys.	Section 2.14; Annex D. Anyone who had read the Spanish Penal Code's index would have seen that 184 is inside the title on sexual harassment at work, and that the article for what he wanted to allege was 172 ter, which he never cites.
The origin of "MAP"	"Choco uses the acronym MAPS, which is a term paedophiles have coined/taken from the animation community… The term MAP also started out as the so-called Multi Animator Project on sites like YouTube."	"Minor-attracted person" comes from clinical and advocacy usage in the 2000s, most visibly the American organisation B4U-ACT, founded in 2003, and appears in peer-reviewed forensic literature. "Multi Animator Project" is an unrelated YouTube abbreviation that happens to share three letters. The claim that paedophiles took the acronym from animators is an etymology invented from a coincidence, presented as a fact with a Scottish police quote attached to give it weight. The police quote is real; it says nothing about animation.
"Sextortion"	"Nobody has asked Choco for money. Nobody has asked Choco for sexual favours." Then: "Choco misspelled sextortion as sextorsion. However that word means: Nothing."	Sextortion, in the FBI's own usage that he screenshotted, includes coercion by threat to distribute sexual material, with or without a demand for money. "I have so so so many screenshots from your little-autistic-dollie account. Babes, I have all of that <3" is a threat to distribute sexual material. And "sextorsión" is the standard Spanish spelling of the word, used by the Guardia Civil and the Policía Nacional in their public campaigns. The misspelling he mocked was Choco's third language's correct spelling of a term his first language borrowed.
"Objective"	"I can call my commentary more objective, simply because I am not as entrenched in online-discourse as you seem to be."	Objectivity is a property of method, not of how much time the author spends on Tumblr. A document whose evidence for the other side's conduct is "view their blogs for proof" has no method. When challenged: "Just because it says more objective does not mean it is purely objective." The word was used without knowing what it committed him to.
"Casualties", "predatory", "constant", "cult"	Each disproved by screenshotting the first dictionary sense: "Nobody has died. This isn't war." "As neither the user patronizing, nor its partner, user cemetarydog, are animals, the main definition doesn't apply." "A handful of instances… is not constant."	All of them words has a figurative sense that any adult reader of English uses daily. Reading only the first sense of a dictionary entry and declaring the figurative sense void is what a person does when he has confused owning a dictionary with knowing a language. He then, in the same document, redefined "suicide baiting" against its dictionary sense "to keep things simple".
"Projection", "narcissist", "delusional", "paranoia"	Applied to Choco throughout, with confidence, by an author with no clinical standing, from screenshots.	These are technical terms with definitions in the literature he never cites. He uses "projection" as a synonym for "accusation I dislike", and "delusional" as a synonym for "wrong". Section 6.4 shows what the first word means, in his own posts.
Literacy	"It's a shame that literacy is dead." "Choco has notoriously bad literacy skills." "Easy to mix those two up, especially when your English is not that great."	"lound incorrect buzzer". "Defintions". "Tumbr". "idiation". Exhibit #222 read as the reverse of what it says. "Only my trauma matters", a sarcasm, read as a creed. "The Chain of Command", a joke, read as a doctrine. A person who can't detect irony in his second language wrote a section on the reading comprehension of a person writing in his third.
The Holocaust	Seven pages copied from Wikipedia, under "Do not skip this part", as a rebuttal to one line of Choco's.	A person who knew the history would have known that pasting an encyclopaedia entry isn't an argument, and that the line he was answering did not require one. The paste is what a person does when he wants the weight of a subject without the work of having learned it.
Evidence	"Their words alone are not evidence." "Hearsay is not evidence." "You have to have evidence before you start a crusade."	"I would show definitive proof, but it is not something you can keep evidence of." "We provided our own hearsay arguments." "Note that we did not watch Choco's YouTube videos." A person who knew what evidence was would not have written the first three sentences in a document containing the second three.

Nine domains: law, etymology, a technical term, a philosophical term, ordinary English, clinical vocabulary, reading, history and evidence. In each, the document is most confident where it is most

wrong, and it's most contemptuous of Choco's competence in the domains where its own is thinnest. The effect Dunning and Kruger described. it's worth naming because it explains something the rest of this document only records: why forty-four thousand words could be written by a person who never once suspected that the definitions, the articles and the etymologies he was screenshotting might need to be checked. The skill that would have told him was the skill he did not have. The confidence that replaced it is the document.

It also explains the tone. "Google is free" is the sentence of a person who believes the first search result is the domain. "Words have meaning" is the sentence of a person who has looked one up. "Choco is again using words they don't understand" is the sentence of a person who has just explained that MAP comes from YouTube animators. The contempt isn't a rhetorical choice layered on top of the errors. It is the same thing as the errors, seen from the inside.

> **A document confident in nine domains and wrong in each, written by a person certain that the other party didn't understand words. The Dunning, Kruger effect isn't a verdict on his intelligence. It is the name for what happens when the confidence arrives before the knowledge, and the record shows it arriving.**

6.22 Every definition he used, corrected, starting with concern trolling

Their document defines things. It screenshots a dictionary or pastes a Wikipedia paragraph, applies the definition to Choco, and writes "by definition, Choco is guilty of all of the above". This section takes each definition he used, at the length he used it, and applies it to the record. The definitions are his. The record is captured. Where his own definition convicts him, the section says so, and it does not soften the finding because the definition was chosen by the accused.

1. Concern trolling

> **Their document, section "N Ranting"**
> *"I never concern-trolled. I gave my opinion as someone who had been involved with Choco in one way or another… This is what concern trolling is: A concern troll is someone who disingenuously visits sites of an opposing ideology to disrupt conversation by offering unwanted advice on how to solve problems which do not really exist… Alternatively, a concern troll is someone who enters a discussion with a pre-formed opinion contrary to the majority opinion, but pretends to conform to subtly sow dissent and doubt… I would like to highlight the last paragraph: the term is open to misuse. More paranoid circles (and echo chambers) may accuse someone of being a concern troll for the simple crime of not blindly agreeing."*

Apply his definition. "Disingenuously": "I tried to be comforting. Not out of care, but because it is what I knew they wanted to hear" (2.22). "Visits sites of an opposing ideology": Choco's blog, from which he was blocked, reached by screenshots relayed to him, and Choco's inbox, by anonymous ask (2.11). "Unwanted advice on how to solve problems which do not really exist": "Turn anon off." "Get help." "A therapist may be able to help." "Grow a pair, and suck it up" (6.2). "Enters a discussion with a pre-formed opinion… but pretends to conform to subtly sow dissent": a person who had, by his own account, "not been personally victimized by Choco in any way", who wrote to Choco in September as a friend while the group's Discord planned the document's release (A14, A16), and who then published the document to Choco's mutuals. "Raising concerns": an entire document whose stated motive is concern for minors, for Dani, for Rook, for the community, none of whom it helped (6.19). He highlighted the last paragraph, the one about paranoid circles misusing the term. The paragraphs before it are a description of his conduct in his own chosen words. Concern trolling: established, by his definition, on his record.

2. Sexual harassment and sextortion

> **Their document**

> *“Simple discomfort is not sexual harassment. While feelings matter, sexual harassment is more than just feeling a certain way. The actions of the accused matter.”*
>
> *“Online sexual harassment is considered a form of cyberbullying. Sextortion, a form of sexual harassment, is the act of coercing a person into sharing intimate images before threatening to release them unless money is paid. It is a specific type of blackmail.” Source: Wikipedia. “By definition, Choco is guilty of all of the above mentioned things.”*
>
> *And, in the “Nobody sextorted Choco” section: “Nobody has asked Choco for money. Nobody has asked Choco for sexual favours.”*

Two definitions, in two places, contradicting each other and both convicting his side. His first rule is that sexual harassment is about the actions of the accused, not the feelings of the complainant. Good. The actions of the accused in this record: a public sexual accusation about a survivor (2.1); the survivor’s adult-gated sexual crisis writing collected and announced with a heart (6.6.1); a private message about a gift converted into a captioned exhibit (capture 360); “orgasm dispensary” and “fuck daddy’s little toy” to a following with minors (captures 100, 011); a cross drawn on the survivor’s introject with a conversion Psalm (2.26); an adult sent to start sexual talk about the publisher in the survivor’s server (6.16); rape threats to a bystander who defended him (6.20); “nothing but a fetish”, “they get off of it, literally”, “wants to rape children”, published (6.5). Their side’s case against Choco, by contrast, rests entirely on how one adult felt about one gift, and that adult later withdrew the feeling (A4). By his first rule, the sexual harassment in this record is theirs.

His second definition, of sextortion, he took from a Wikipedia article on cyberbullying and then narrowed by hand to “unless money is paid”. The FBI page he screenshotted elsewhere in the same document defines sextortion as coercion by threat to distribute sexual material; money is one form the demand can take, not the definition. Now apply it. “Uh, Pookie, I have so so so many screenshots from your little-autistic-dollie account. Babes, I have all of that <3” (capture 439), announced in public, days after the material was collected from a restricted blog (capture 170), by the person who had just accused Choco of a sexual act and was demanding Choco stop “harassing” them by replying. That is possession of a person’s sexual material, announced to the person, as leverage. Whether the demand was money, silence or deference doesn’t change the category; the category is coercion by sexual material. that’s what the word means in every source that isn’t his edit of it. And the spelling he mocked, “sextorsión”, is the Spanish term, used by the Guardia Civil and the Policía Nacional in their public campaigns, which is where a Spanish survivor learned it. Sextortion, in his source’s sense: the announcement of 19 June 2025 is it. In his narrowed sense: no money was asked, and no money was asked of Choco either, and his narrowed sense would acquit every sextortionist who wanted something other than cash.

3. Doxxing

> **Their document**
>
> *“Doxxing is the act of disclosing a victim’s personal data, such as their home address, phone number, and full name.” Source: Wikipedia. “By definition, Choco is guilty.”*

Full name. “Moises. From the bottom of my heart: Fuck you.” (2.10). “Also, Moises…”, from a fourteen-year-old on their side (A20). A Google Doc with Choco's full legal name, removed under data-protection law (7.3). “Moises Martinez Segura wants to rape children”, as a tag (A3). Home address: “I know which city you’re in”, with Choco's school and a restaurant, in a document (2.10). Their document’s section on Choco's doxxing contains no name, no address, no number disclosed by Choco, of anyone. Doxxing, by his definition: four instances, all theirs, one of them his own signature.

4. Gaslighting

> **Their document, “forms of abuse”**
>
> *“Gaslighting: Using people’s own words against them, trying to establish a narrative not supported by evidence, all in an effort to make others doubt themselves and what they said.”*

Using people's own words against them: a document of 393 screenshots of Choco's words. A narrative not supported by evidence: "view their blogs for proof"; "we did not watch the videos"; "the chosen sources are placeholders"; fourteen accusations with no act (6.15). To make others doubt what they said: "There was never another alter", about a part of Choco Choco had described in writing two months before their earliest exhibit (A5); "there has not been any written apology Choco had really meant", twelve screenshots below the apology (2.3); "I did not try to get your address", sixty lines above the city (6.3). Gaslighting, by his definition, is the document's method. His definition is also, incidentally, wrong: gaslighting in its clinical and ordinary sense is the attempt to make a person doubt their own perception or memory, and the clearest case of it in this record is a document telling Choco that a part of Choco's mind Choco had written about in July did not exist in December.

5. Humiliation

> **Their document, "forms of abuse"**
> *"Humiliation: Re-posting people's vents (deeply personal posts, usually made while in emotional distress) to mock them, and use those posts against others, in an effort to further discredit them."*

That sentence is a description of their document. Its exhibits are Choco's vents: the crisis post from an adult-gated blog (capture 170), the torture post written in the week Choco was accused of a sexual crime, the eugenics tags, the "only my trauma matters" sarcasm, all reproduced, annotated with "disgusting" thirty-seven times, and distributed to Choco's mutuals. He wrote the definition of humiliation on the same pages. He did not apply it to the pages.

6. Verbal abuse

> **Their document**
> *"Verbal abuse: threatening users with sending strobes, labelling people as abusers, predators, etc. (name-calling), insulting people's art, among other things."*

Labelling people as predators: "known sexual predator", "wants to rape children", "groomer", "pedoshit" in reverse, forty times, never once with an act (6.15). Name-calling: "mosquito, annoying, useless, unwanted", "stupid", "pathetic", "adult baby", "heinous festering pile of worthless rot", "piece of shit", "twerp", "necrophiliac", "retard", "schizo" (6.2, 6.11, 6.6.7). Insulting people's art: the cross on Mirmo (2.26). Verbal abuse, by his list, item by item, theirs.

7. Impersonation

> **Their document**
> *"'Impersonation' as a harassment tactic… nobody but Choco has shown willingness to create bait accounts, impersonating Ezra. Choco has tormented Ezra by impersonating them."*

Attributed to Choco by inference, with no capture linking Choco to the accounts. Attributed to their side by capture: infinite-proship-choco-sendsgore and infinite-flashinggifs-choco, both created on 28 November 2025, both used to insult Choco and Choco's mother (6.6.7); chocos-chocolate-starfish, paid to reach strangers (6.6.3); sexymirmo, messaging Choco in Choco's introject's name (A10). Four accounts in Choco's name or Choco's introject's. Zero in theirs shown to be Choco's.

8. Stalking

> **Their document**
> *"The main aggravating circumstance of the offence of stalking is that the victim is one of the persons referred to in Article 173.2…" (a paste of the Spanish article, applied to Choco).*
>
> *"Chat, is it stalking when you sometimes check someone's blog." "We've only occasionally checked to see if you've finally moved on. And every time, without fail…"*

The article he pasted, 172 ter, punishes insistent and repeated conduct that alters the victim's daily life, including watching, contacting by any means, and misusing personal data. Fourteen months without a month free of a public act (6.6.4); Choco's new accounts caught by their random placeholder names before Choco renamed them; Choco's mutuals inventoried; Choco's city located and Choco's name used

as a sign-off; a document revised five times; a post at a blocked person tagged so they would be told (6.4). He pasted the article. He did not read it against himself. The paste is the offence's definition and the surrounding document is the offence's evidence.

9. Suicide baiting

> **Their document, footnote**
> *"We are aware that suicide baiting describes someone who baits another person to commit suicide, however, to keep things simple, we've decided to go along with the common assumption of the meaning, not the actual one."*

The one term he defined correctly and then declined to use correctly. By the actual meaning, the one he acknowledges: "can you please end yourself already??? All of us in the proship community want you to gut yourself" (#284); "Good lord Kill yourself", twice (A1); "Fret not, they are alive and well… the 5th time they use it as a weapon" on a report that Choco was ending Choco's life (#328). Suicide baiting, by his own stated definition, is in this record three times, from their side, and he wrote a footnote so that the word could be used for the target instead.

10. Ad hominem

> **Their document, section "N Ranting"**
> *Choco identifies a statement of Ezra's about Choco as ad hominem. The editor: "No, it's not ad hominem. Nor does it matter, as Ezra's statement is still true."*

Two answers, both failing. An ad hominem is an argument aimed at the person rather than at the claim, so whether Ezra's statement is true has no bearing on whether the argument was ad hominem. Truth and relevance are separate questions, and he has answered the second with the first. Two lines above, on the same page, Choco's "Nor does it make me wrong either!" is answered with the observation that Choco has historically been wrong about many things. That is the form he has just said is not in use, deployed on the page where he denies it.

11. "Do not blindly believe everything Choco states"

> **Their document, section "Choco's offences and crimes"**
> *"Evidence against these claims can be found by checking the user's blogs – a good reminder is to not blindly believe everything Choco states."*

The reader is instructed to verify by the party who declined to. The same document states that the videos were not watched, that the chosen sources are placeholders, and leaves its list of offences unfinished. The instruction also runs one way: scepticism is demanded about Choco and supplied about nothing else in the file. A standard applied to one side is not a standard.

Eleven definitions, chosen by him, screenshotted by him, applied by him. Applied honestly to the record, eleven out of eleven identify conduct on his side and not one act on Choco's. A definition is not evidence. It is a ruler, and the person holding it was measured by it.

6.23 Fragility, by projection. "Who do you think you are?"

Their document asks Choco, in its last section, "Who do you think you are?", and answers for Choco: a mosquito, annoying, useless, unwanted, pathetic, stupid, an adult baby, a person nobody likes outside his most loyal followers. Choco has answered the substance of those lines elsewhere. This section is about what they are, as a class of sentence, and about the person who needed to write them.

1. The mosquito

A mosquito is a thing you do not write about. You swat it. A person who writes forty-four thousand words about a mosquito, revises them five times, pulls near all-nighters over them, distributes them to the mosquito's friends, and then, eight months later, joins a crowd to say "what could possibly warrant wanting someone dead" about it, isn't describing a mosquito. He is describing what he wishes Choco

were, so that the year he spent on Choco wouldn't have to be explained. "Unwanted" is the word of a person who has been told, by his own conduct, that he wanted this very much. The projection isn't subtle. He wrote the sentence about the person he couldn't stop thinking about, and the sentence says the person doesn't matter.

2. "Crop context"

Their document accuses Choco, in a section of its own, of cropping and cherry-picking. It then reproduces Choco's apology without the refusal that followed it, Choco's "only my trauma matters" without the sarcasm around it, exhibit #222 without its meaning, the zalgo message without the block that preceded it, the strobes without the lights that had been sent to Choco first, the ICE sentence without the cross and the "you deserved it" before it, and the June 2025 accusation without the word "conversion" that was later edited out. It admits, in its own text, "due to bad cropping, a few words are missing" and "unfortunately, we do not have the full screenshot". The crop is the method. The accusation of cropping is what the method looks like when it turns around and sees itself.

3. "Braindead", to former friends

The people the editor calls "your frankly braindead audience" and "your troupe of no-brainers" are, by his own document, people he was friends with: Yael, Nate, Constell, Ace, Scurry, people who were in the group with him and left it. "Yael, you're a bitch. According to Choco, at least." "Your marriage sucks." "I'll know if you delete it." That isn't contempt for strangers. It is what a person does to the people who watched him from close up and walked away, so that their walking away can be filed as their defect. Overcompensation is the word: the louder the insult to the people who left, the clearer it is what their leaving cost him. He needed them to be stupid, because the alternative was that they had seen something.

4. Scurry, and the people who were not in it

Scurry was never party to any of this. Scurry reblogged posts of Choco's, which is what a mutual does. Their document's treatment of Scurry is a demand, addressed to Choco, that "@racerwannabescurry's constant reblogs" be taken down, and a sneer at Scurry in the editor's section. The pattern in miniature: a person who couldn't say a thing to Choco's face goes after the friends of the person he couldn't face. picks the ones who are not in the argument, because they won't answer either. The same is done to Choco's mutuals by DNI, to Choco's followers by inventory, to a bystander by rape threat, to a fourteen-year-old by her parents. All of them is a person chosen because they were near Choco and not Choco. Cowardice is a plain word, and Choco has avoided plain words in this document where a captured act would do instead. Here the captured acts are the plain word. He went for the people next to Choco because Choco was the one he could not go for.

5. The screen

None of them would do any of this in a room. No one would tell a survivor of infant sexual abuse to their face that crying wouldn't get them unmolested. No one would say "gut yourself" to a person in front of them. No one would hand a man his own legal name across a table under the words "wants to rape children". No one would show a person's sexual crisis writing to a stranger while looking at the person. No one would draw a cross on a child's toy while the child watched. Every act in this record required the screen. most required the anon button, the alt account, the locked channel, the tag, the relay through a partner, or a fourteen-year-old to say it for them. The distance wasn't incidental to the conduct. It was the condition of it. A person who needs that much distance to say a sentence has told you, by the distance, what the sentence would cost them at arm's length.

6. Who I think I am

Since he asked. I am the person who was in the room. I answered the June accusation to its author. I apologised to his face and had it refused. I named the adults targeting minors in that circle and got them removed. I wrote to Nier directly when he was sending anons, and to Gravekandy directly when he was

sending DMs. I told Mochi to leave, in the server they came into. I put my own worst pages at the front of a document I signed with my name. I did none of it with a crowd. The question was asked by a man who needed four other people, a locked channel and forty-four thousand words to ask it.

6.24 The editor's manipulation tactics, catalogued

Their document has a section on manipulation. It lists things Choco is said to do. It doesn't define them, cite them, or show them. This section does all three for the document itself: each tactic named, described in a line, and then located in the text with the sentence that carries it. The order runs from the first page of their document to the last, because the tactics aren't scattered. They are arranged.


Tactic	What it is	Where he does it	Effect
1. Immunisation	Telling the reader, before the content, how to receive it, so that the content is exempt from the reader's judgement.	"Some segments address Choco directly… Please be assured that this does not clash with the truthfulness of the statements." "Please note, that the following is not a single person's perception of what happened, but a collective understanding."	Page one. The reader is told that the abuse to come is evidence and that one man's opinion is a consensus, before either is shown.
2. Priming	Planting a frame early and repeating it so that later material is read through it.	"Choco is an adult. Keep this in mind." "remember this for later." "Please keep this in mind as this document progresses." Ten instances.	By the time the syskid identity appears, the reader has been told ten times that adulthood is the fact that matters, and the identity reads as a lie.
3. Definition capture	Controlling which sense of a word applies to each party.	Thirty dictionary screenshots for Choco's words, each read in its narrowest sense ("Nobody has died. This isn't war."). One footnote for his: "we've decided to go along with the common assumption of the meaning, not the actual one."	Choco's words mean the least they can; his mean whatever the charge requires. Section 6.22 applies his definitions evenly and they reverse.
4. The evidence asymmetry	Demanding a standard of proof from the target that the author exempts himself from.	"Their words alone are not evidence." "I would show definitive proof, but it is not something you can keep evidence of." "View both patronizing and cemetarydog's blogs for proof."	A rule, stated once, that runs in one direction only.
5. Reaction harvest	Provoke, record the reaction, remove the provocation, publish the reaction as origin.	The apology reproduced without the refusal; the zalgo without the block; the strobes without the lights that came first; the ICE sentence without the cross; the summer document republished with the context its editor admitted, privately, was missing.	Section 6.7. The document is the output of the method.
6. Poisoning the well	Discrediting the source before the reader reaches what the source says.	"Choco has notoriously bad literacy skills." "Choco is mentally unwell. This is common knowledge." "Choco sounds delusional here."	Placed before Choco's quoted words so that the words arrive pre-read.
7. Loaded repetition	Substituting the frequency of a word for its proof.	"Disgusting" thirty-seven times. "Accountability" thirty-six. "Nazi" thirty-five.	A reader who meets a word thirty-seven times experiences the thirty-eighth as their own conclusion.
8. Motte and bailey	Advancing a strong claim, retreating to a weak one when challenged, and keeping the credit of the strong one.	"I can call my commentary more objective." Then: "Just because it says more objective does not mean it is purely objective, and if you want to pretend that is what we meant, then go ahead."	The word is used for its weight and abandoned at its cost.
9. Moving the goalposts	Changing the condition after it is met.	A section on Choco's failure to apologise. Twelve screenshots of the apology. "We didn't want an apology." "There hasn't been any written apology Choco had really meant."	The apology is demanded, given, refused, and then declared never to have been given.
10. DARVO	Deny, attack, reverse victim and offender.	"We are targets. Of your harassment." Written on a document that collects a survivor's sexual crisis writing and holds it over them; from a group whose first act was a sexual accusation.	Their word, in their glossary of Choco's tactics. Their method, in their document.
11. Projection	Attributing to the target the trait the author displays in the act of attributing it.	"Choco is projecting", three times. "God complex", from the author of "they know i have great taste". "Choco on ableism", from the author of "braindead", "no-brainers", "brain damage".	Sections 6.4 and 6.10. The traits assigned are performed in the sentence assigning them.
12. Borrowed victim	Speaking on behalf of a person who hasn't asked to be spoken for, so that opposing the speaker	Dani, described as "a horrible person" and defended in the same document. "We believe you, and we see you" to victims never named. Rook, defended, who told Choco to die.	The victim is a shield the speaker holds in front of himself. The shield isn't consulted.

Tactic	What it is	Where he does it	Effect
	becomes attacking the victim.		
13. False consensus	Presenting one opinion as many.	“Collective understanding” (five people, then three, then one). “Someone entirely out of the Tumblr loop thinks you are the problem. This is the general consensus for normal people.” One anonymous friend.	A sample of one, selected by the author, presented as the world.
14. Triangulation	Reaching the target through the people around them, with the sender kept out of it.	The document sent to Choco's mutuals with instructions to leave. “Yael, you’re a bitch. According to Choco, at least.” Demands that Scurry’s reblogs be removed. “Don’t tell them I sent you” (Gravekandy, same method).	The target’s relationships are the instrument. Section 6.19.
15. Delegated hostility	Having the hostile act performed by someone else, so that the author can disclaim it.	Anonymous asks he admits sending; an anonymous blog, “It’s mine. Lol.”; a group that “joked about sending anons”; a fourteen-year-old who published Choco's name with a name only adults had; “Nobody involved sent Choco anon hate.”	The act is done and the hand is denied in the same document.
16. Retention as leverage	Holding material and letting the holding be known.	“We still have all the chats.” “I’ll know if you delete it.” (to former allies). “I have all of that <3” (Ezra, of Choco's writing).	A threat that names no demand, so that it can be called a statement of fact.
17. Gaslighting	Telling a person that something they documented didn’t happen.	“There was never another alter”, of a part described in writing two months before his earliest exhibit (A5). “I also did not try to get your address”, sixty lines above Choco's city.	Not “you are wrong” but “that never existed”, about a thing with a date.
18. Tone policing as etiquette	Grading the target’s manner of response to abuse while participating in the abuse.	“Turn anon off.” “Anyone can frame an anon.” “It is debatable whether sending anon hate counts as harassment. This is up to the individual.”	From the person sending the anons. Section 6.7, part 5.
19. Identity reframing	Renaming what the target is so that the name can be used as an insult and defended as a description.	“Adult baby” for syskid. “Adult” for blame, “5-year-old throwing a tantrum” for contempt, on the same page.	The one description Choco uses of themselves is the one the document will not.
20. Guilt by association	Attributing to the target the character of a person or thing near them.	“Followed two neo-Nazis.” “Surrounds themselves with minors.” “Choco’s cult.”	Two radqueer gimmick blogs that followed a past account; minors who came from Ezra’s adult blog; a fan community. Section 6.15.
21. Concern as cover	Framing an attack as care for third parties.	“This document exists solely because Choco’s actions are reportable offenses.” “For the minors.” “For Dani.” “For Rook.”	No minor was helped, no report made, no third party protected. Section 6.19. Concern trolling, by his own definition, section 6.22.
22. Insincere comfort	Offering emotional support as an instrument.	“I tried to be comforting. Not out of care, but because it is what I knew they wanted to hear.”	Stated by the author about himself. It is the only tactic in this list he confessed.
23. Length as wall	Making the document too long to check, so that the reader takes the summary.	Forty-four thousand seven hundred and seven words. Seven pages of Wikipedia. A ten-thousand-word rant titled as if it were a footnote.	The admissions that undo the document are all past page thirty. Section 6.3 lists them.
24. The closing question	Ending on a question the reader is meant to answer against the target.	“Who do you think you are?”	Answered in 6.23. The person in the room.

Twenty-four tactics, named, defined, located and quoted, from immunisation on page one to the closing question on the last. Their section on manipulation lists eight things Choco is said to do and shows none of them. Their document is not a compilation of evidence. It is a compilation of technique.

6.25 The anonymous layer, and what it was told to do


The captures in this section were made between October 2025 and August 2026. They are placed together because they show the part of the campaign that isn’t signed: the asks, the confession blogs, the reblogs by strangers. the accounts that exist to hold one accusation. Their document says of this layer that anyone can frame an anon and that nobody involved sent any. Each capture below is commented, and each is dated.




August 2026. Choco's compilation, published as "'Don't let Choco scare you' starter pack", of six anonymous items circulating about Choco in the same period. (Capture y6.)

Six items, six sources, one voice. antiproship-lizzie publishing an anon that says "It's beyond me how they are alive actually" and answering "Rightt". The same blog publishing "this fucker actually knows how to dox, they got my location and I had to delete my blog. Don't let them fool you, Choco is a menace", and answering "Ah, I am so sorry that happened to you!" No location has ever been produced, no blog has ever been named, and no capture of any such doxx exists anywhere in fourteen months of documentation by either side. The third: "dont let choco scare you theyre just some idiot with too much time on their hands and delusions about a random fictional character from a show nobody know about. someone should save mirmo from choco." The fourth, a deactivated account: "I am so fucking angry right now. They're sadistic fucks. I wish nothing but the worst for them. I have no empathy for them." The fifth and sixth, from a blog called anti-choco-confessions: a paragraph imagining that if Choco doxxed Dani "some fucking weirdo out there could see that information… They might hurt Dani or their family, they might try to kidnap or sexually assault Dani and/or their siblings… This could get, not just one, but MULTIPLE people killed", and another announcing that "Genuinely someone needs to get Choco banned. Tumblr needs to do their fucking job… the people who still support Choco WILLINGLY sicken me."

Four findings from one image. First, a dedicated confessions blog existed for one purpose, which is the structure of an organised campaign and not of a disagreement. Second, the doxx that anchors the entire narrative appears here as an anonymous claim, comforted by a blog owner, with no location, no name and no capture. same claim that reached Reddit in June 2026 and morphine in August 2026. Third, the fantasy of Dani being kidnapped, sexually assaulted and killed is written by their side, about a fourteen-year-old, as a hypothetical consequence of something Choco didn't do; their document's section on Choco's child endangerment does not mention it. Fourth, the instruction: get Choco banned, Tumblr must act, and anyone who still supports him is sickening. Twenty-four terminations followed. Section 7.1.




28 October 2025, on a post with 73 notes: yoylecake420: "Dani is a fascist who needs to be IP banned from the Internet forever." The original poster, proship-hani: "100%". (Capture y2.)



Ezra, nine days later, on the same child: "fighting fire with fire was enough to shut Caleb up for good". (Exhibit A19.)

October 2025. A fourteen-year-old, called a fascist who should be banned from the internet forever, in a public thread, agreed with by the poster. Their document, written by the people in that thread's circle, presents that child as Choco's victim and Choco as her danger. Both captures are from their side, nine days apart, and neither appears in their document.




Celestialgluttony, by ask: "mirmo still is not real btw, even if they were they would hate you, I watched the show and I could tell. Plus, jesus is far better than mirmo." (Capture y3.)




The Christian Mirmo image, published to Reddit by its author. (Section 2.26.)

The same argument as the drawing, in text, months later: the introject is not real, and the religion is better than it. The person sending it had not watched the show, which is stated in Choco's reply and is verifiable, since the series is not fully available in English. The relevance isn't the taunt. It is that the taunt and the drawing are the same move by different hands. that the move targets one thing: the figure a survivor of religious abuse has publicly identified with their inner child.




A reblog by luc1d-dr3am-b01 of Choco's post "using big words!!!", and Choco's reply: "you failed at reading the post… gets told how not to get doxed and your takeaway is the opposite. Weaponized incompetence." (Capture y4.)



*ace---hardware, by DM, on the same post: "Man, did not know internet safety tips = how to dox people. I am gonna be a master in no time!! I am now hacker man *turns on vpn*" (Capture y1.)*

The post in question is titled, in its own URL, "choco showing someone how to not get arrested for" and its content is internet safety advice. It was circulated as evidence that Choco teach people to doxx. The second capture is a person reading the same post and describing the reading in the only way it can honestly be described. This is the mechanism behind three of the fourteen accusations in section 6.15: a post is taken, its meaning reversed, and the reversal travels while the post does not.



travistouchdownspartner, by DM, asking Choco for help promoting a post, and thanking Choco: "tysm choco <3 that means a lot." (Capture y5.)

The account is travistouchdownspartner, the partner account of Mayday (travistouchdownshusband), whom a fifteen-year-old publicly accused of sending them explicit content and publishing their accounts, and whom Gravekandy answered with "you will ALWAYS have me on your side" (6.6.7). Days before that, the same household was asking Choco for help and thanking Choco for it. The document that describes Choco as a person who harasses their friends was written while their friends were in Choco's messages saying that a reblog from Choco meant a lot. not offered as a defence of Choco's character. It is offered because their document asserts that everyone who knows Choco fears Choco, and the assertion has dates against it.

> **An anonymous layer with a confessions blog, a doxx claim that has never once been evidenced in fourteen months, a fantasy of a fourteen-year-old being assaulted and killed written by their side, a public call to have Choco's accounts banned that was followed by twenty-four terminations, a child called a fascist by the people who now cite her as Choco's victim, a safety post circulated as its own opposite, and a member of the same household thanking Choco by DM in the same period. Each dated, each theirs.**

6.26 Reality checks

Their document does not restrict itself to allegations. It issues verdicts on Choco's character, Choco's mind, Choco's worth and Choco's motives, and it issues them as findings. Each is quoted below in full, and each is answered with the same instrument the author used: a judgement, stated flatly, resting on the captures already in this record. If the register of this section is colder than the rest, that is the register they chose.

> **Their line 1**
> *"We've not actually wronged you in any way. You're aware of that, right?"*

Reality check: A public accusation of forced sexual conversion, edited without notice. A survivor's crisis writing taken from a restricted blog and announced with a heart. Choco's legal name in a document and, a year later, in a tag under "wants to rape children". Twenty-four accounts reported until they fell. The wrong is itemised in section 8C, twenty findings, each with a capture. "Aware" is the word for someone who has read them; you wrote them.

Their line 2
"You do know that we never physically hurt you, and that whatever emotional turmoil you've gone through was self-inflicted, right?"

Reality check: Nobody in this record claimed a physical injury, so the sentence is a decoy. On the rest: a person who has never met Choco, who by his own account was never victimised by Choco, informing a survivor which of their injuries were self-inflicted isn't making a finding. It is guessing, in a document that also guesses about Choco's arousal, Choco's diagnoses, Choco's literacy and Choco's age. Four guesses is a habit; five is a method.

Their line 3
"Someone talked about you in a slightly negative way, and in turn, you blew it all up into the mess it is now."

Reality check: The "slightly negative" remark was that Choco practise forced sexual conversion. Say it out loud. A man wrote, to an audience, that a survivor of child sexual abuse forces sex on people, could not produce one message, one name or one date, quietly changed the word to "conversation" two months later, and his editor now files that under things said in a slightly negative way. If it was slight, hand back the forty-four thousand words. If it was serious, produce the evidence. Neither has happened in fourteen months. And the man calling it slight is the one who came apart when Choco blocked him and went to Choco's askbox for reassurance, which he got, from Choco. A group that treats one call-out as a year-long emergency does not get to grade the temperature of the person they called a rapist.

Their line 4
"Someone talked about you in a slightly negative way." (the scale of it)

Reality check: Count the slightly negative opinions. A public accusation of forced sexual conversion. A 44,707-word file, five revisions. The Choco situation tag. The Mirmo situation. The Alfie situation. The Nathan situation. The Proxy situation. The Gravekandy situation. A Reddit thread with a hundred and seventy-nine votes and an instruction to report Choco. A confessions blog that existed for nothing else. Three gimmick blogs built on Choco's handle, one paid for. Two impersonation accounts made in a single day. Twenty-four terminated accounts. Over a thousand people reached by the tag. Sexual headcanons about Choco, written by adults, published for an audience. One person answered all of it, alone, and is still here. Meanwhile Nier calls it harassment when Choco names him for lying and for reading Choco's blog after Choco found his. None of you were harassed. You misbehaved on the internet and you were called out for it.

Their line 5
"I never followed them. I never followed their initial account, nor their current main blog." And: "I would show definitive proof, but it is not something you can keep evidence of."

Reality check: He followed Choco. Then he claimed the claim could not be disproved, which is the position of a man who knows what the answer is. Look at what he wrote instead of proof: the placeholder names Tumblr gives brand-new blogs, listed before Choco had renamed them; "we've only occasionally checked… and every time, without fail"; Choco's city, Choco's school, a restaurant; an anonymous account he ran and deleted when it was identified; a channel named after Choco. He has said in one file that he has no alts and that a side blog was his, that he never tried to get Choco's address and that he knows Choco's city, that he never followed Choco and that

he checked without fail. Make your own case from the count. Repeating a false statement doesn't convert it, however many revisions he gives it.

Their line 6
"We're not under any kind of investigation either. Not by the police, not by anyone. Nobody in the real world is taking this seriously. Nothing happened, and you know that."

Reality check: Written in the same year in which the author announced that Choco's conduct constituted reportable offences in multiple countries and cited five statutes for it, one repealed, one confined to employment. closed the list with eight smileys. "Nothing happened" is the position taken by the person who wrote a hundred pages about it. As to who took what seriously: the filings in this record are Choco's, and the person who wrote "nobody is taking this seriously" is the person who pulled all-nighters over it.

Their line 7
"Your posts are disgusting and framed, horribly so. You know that."

Reality check: Thirty-seven uses of "disgusting" in a document whose own framing is set out, item by item, in section 6.24: immunisation on page one, ten instances of priming, thirty dictionary screenshots for Choco's words and a footnote for his, and an admitted omission of "what led to everything". The framing charge is accurate. The author has misidentified the document it applies to.

Their line 8
"There is nothing to build a case on, and any lawyer worth their salt would say the same thing."

Reality check: The legal analysis in that document cites an article repealed in 2015, an article that operates only inside an employment relationship, the Workers' Statute, and two articles about false accusations before officials, for statements made on a blog. Section 8B is the counterpart, with the articles in force, the doubtful fits marked doubtful, and a list of what is deliberately not charged. Any lawyer worth their salt would begin by checking whether the statutes cited exist. That check has been performed, in this document, on his.

Their line 9
"We never sexually harassed Choco. Discomfort is not sexual harassment, and Choco could have blocked any of us at any given time."

Reality check: The rule is his: conduct, not feelings. Applied to the record it produces section 8.5A, in which every item is an act of theirs and none is Choco's. And on the blocking: Choco did block him. His own document records the block, records his reaction to it, and describes the block as one of the things that justified the document. A person who says the target could have blocked him, in a file written because the target blocked him, has answered himself.

Their line 10
"They only blocked me (and then unblocked) because I edited the doc, and collected screenshots myself."

Reality check: Correct. whole case. He was blocked for compiling a file on a stranger. He responded to being blocked by expanding the file, releasing it to that stranger's mutuals, and, eight months later, joining a crowd to ask what could possibly warrant wanting someone dead. Every account of his conduct that he gives makes the conduct worse. This is the only sentence in his document that requires no answer at all.

Their line 11
"Choco is again using words they do not understand."

Reality check: From the author of the animation etymology of "MAP", of a sexual-harassment article that applies only at work, of "sextorsion means: Nothing" about the term the Spanish police

use in their own campaigns, and of "lound incorrect buzzer". Section 6.21 lists nine domains. He was confident in all nine.

Their line 12
"You're like a mosquito, annoying, useless, unwanted."

Reality check: Forty-four thousand seven hundred and seven words. Five revisions. Near all-nighters. A locked channel with Choco's name on it. A comment in a crowd, eight months late. Mosquitoes do not get files, and they do not get fleas either. Whatever Choco is to this author, he has spent a year attached to it.

Their line 13
"Get help." (twice)

Reality check: From the author of "I would be lying if I said I cared about Choco's wellbeing, I'll just state that I do not." He also recommends therapy, in a document where he says on his own blog that he has been and that it did nothing for him. Recommending a thing you found useless, to a person whose wellbeing you have stated you do not care about, is not advice. It is a sign-off in a lab coat. Months later he was in Choco's DMs calling himself Choco's friend. He tried to use Choco and it did not work.

Their line 14
"Grow a pair, and suck it up."

Reality check: From the man who didn't message Choco once during the summer he spent compiling a file on Choco. Anonymous asks, an anonymous side blog, publication in December, and his first words to Choco's face in February, from inside a crowd. Choco has the spine and Choco has the balls. A whole group of you organised a cult around one syskid and not one of you had either. Ask the person who apologised, documented, reported, filed and answered frightened children, and then ask which of you did any of that.

Their line 15
"If I had it in me, I'd pity you."

Reality check: Nobody asked. Pity is not among the reliefs sought in section 8C.

Their line 16
"Moises. From the bottom of my heart: Fuck you."

Reality check: for there to be a bottom there has to be a heart, you don't have any, the bottom of your heart is like the outside of it, null, it doesn't exist, you feed on everyone's emotions and use them against them because you're bored, you think you're tough sndn you're not, you use a legal name as a sign-off, in a document whose author states he has no use for Choco's address, sixty lines after listing Choco's city, Choco's school and a restaurant. not an insult. It is exhibit material, and it's in section 8B under the data-protection provisions, on a personal note from "Moises" No, Fuck you, Nier.

Their line 17
"Suicide baiting", redefined in a footnote so the word could be used for Choco.

Reality check: They got the definition wrong on purpose and then did the thing more than Choco ever did, with less reason. By the meaning their own footnote concedes is the real one: "can you please end yourself already… gut yourself" in Choco's inbox; "Good lord Kill yourself" and "Kill yourself", paid for twice by an adult who leaned his suicidality on two teenagers; "Fret not, they are alive and well in my comment section… the 5th time they use it as a weapon", posted over a report that Choco was ending Choco's life; a rumour of Choco's death reblogged for entertainment; and "anonymous pos" asks telling Choco it was beyond them how Choco was still alive. Not one of those answered anything Choco had done to the sender. And the person the editor was leaning on

for his own crises, that summer, was Choco. Choco was the one talking him down. He wrote the section on suicide baiting.

Their line 18
"We've stopped entertaining them… We never interacted with them."

Reality check: The summer ended because Choco stopped, and Choco stopped for one reason: Choco was told what state the editor was in and Choco did not want to be the cause of anything worse. That was mercy, extended to a person who had spent three months compiling a file on Choco, and Choco would not have stopped otherwise. He describes the same period as his side declining to engage. The record shows the document being revised through it.

Their line 19
"You've admitted to not brushing your teeth for nine months… you've shared your unbrushed teeth as fetish content before as well", and "things that genuinely do not really matter in this discussion".

Reality check: Written by a man who insists he isn't obsessed, in a section he introduces by saying it does not matter. If the subject is fetishes, look at the people raising it: degradation and scat are in that circle, published, and nobody has ever built a file about them for it. The shame is available. It is just pointed at the wrong person.

Their line 20
"All of this drama has been nothing but a fetish for them." (Gravekandy)

Reality check: From the group that has been milking trauma for content for months and performing victimhood while doing it. Gravekandy solicited degradation from anonymous senders on a blog minors were reading, with a link to his own adult art account. He kept a thirteen-year-old's sexual posts instead of reporting them. His circle orbits NSFW blogs and then deflects when it is named. Whatever Choco is supposed to be getting off on, the record shows who was asking strangers for it in public.

Their line 21
doecest: "infinite proship mirmo keeps getting banned… Are boundaries truly that hard?", with Choco's age published and "schizo posting" attached; and their circle's complaint that Choco involve minors.

Reality check: Their side spent 2025 fighting children. Call-out posts against Caleb from February, a year before they made her Choco's victim. yoylecake420, in October, calling a fourteen-year-old a fascist who should be IP banned from the internet forever, with the original poster answering "100%". Ezra: "fighting fire with fire was enough to shut Caleb up for good", "I'll tear her down", "I'd tear her parents apart at the teacher-parent conference". Gravekandy: "Friendly reminder Dani got what they deserved." Mayday sent explicit content to a fifteen-year-old and published her accounts, by her own public account, and Gravekandy answered with "you will ALWAYS have me on your side". Gravekandy kept a thirteen-year-old's sexual posts instead of reporting them, and said so himself in a DM. Minors have been calling these people out for a year. Every sentence in this paragraph has a capture behind it. Not one sentence doecest has written about Choco does.

Their line 22
"Choco threatened to grab our IPs."

Reality check: Ezra raised IP grabbing first, in public, in June 2025, unprompted, about a link: "My guess is IP grabber… If it is not an IP grabber, it is certainly someone who wants to lure you onto their server for doxxing purposes", tagged "choco victims" and "rather safe than sorry". That was not an anonymous message. That was the person whose document now files the idea under Choco's crimes, seeding it to their own audience, with dove-killer in the thread. Choco's line came after theirs, and neither of us has ever had anyone's IP.

Their line 23

“Choco makes illegitimate legal threats.”

Reality check: Ezra’s first post threatened reports to Choco's policia local, the guardia civil and the policia nacional. Ezra also spoke of lawyers, and, by Ace’s account, of hiring someone in Spain to act against Choco. Fourteen months later there’s no report, no complaint, no case, and no lawyer. It was a bluff, made to an audience, by the person who then wrote a section about Choco's legal threats. The filings that exist in this record are Choco's, and they are in section 9.

Their line 24
“If someone calls me a pedophile with no evidence and I call them a groomer, you’d address the later, without the former.” → “Again, incorrect. I would call out both. I never said Dani’s actions were fine. I never said Rook’s actions were fine.” And, two lines down: “This document isn’t to document what each individual has done, it’s to document what Choco has done. That is all.”

Reality check: Wrong answer. You call out neither, and the sentence after it says so: the file exists to document one person and no other. You were not important to any of it and you were not the party affected. Your failure to stay in your lane, your sense of your own significance, and your appetite for stirring what was not yours are a large part of how you arrived here. You aren’t a hero of the situation and you are not a righteous man. You are an online abuser with an inferiority complex, overcompensating onto someone better than you for virtues you do not have. Dani was fourteen and your side spent a year on her. Rook told Choco to kill themselves twice and you defended him. Both were called out in this record. Neither was called out in yours.


Their line 25
“Who do you think you are?”


Reality check: Who do you think you are, Nier. A man who has never been harmed by Choco, who put that in writing, who then read a stranger’s blog for fourteen months, wrote 44,707 words about them, revised it five times, ran an anonymous account and denied it in the same file, learned their city, their school and their legal name and typed all three, used the legal name as a sign-off, told them to get help while stating he didn’t care whether they got any, and turned up eight months late, inside a crowd, to ask what could possibly warrant wanting someone dead. Their own document has a phrase for the person who cannot leave: the ex who won’t go away. the shoe, and it fits the man who wrote it. Every insult in that file is an inventory of what he is afraid is true about himself: obsessed, unwanted, chronically online, incapable of letting go, needing an audience to say anything, needing a document to say it at all. He wrote the language and it describes him. As for Choco: the one who was in the room, who said everything to the faces of the people it concerned, and who signed it.

Twenty-five lines, twenty-five checks, none of which required a fact that isn’t already in this document. A person who issues verdicts in a file about someone he has never met, and who states in the same file that he was never harmed by them, hasn’t written an accusation. He has written an opinion at length, and an opinion is answerable in kind.

Twenty-five lines, twenty-five checks, captures attached. Nothing happened, they wrote, in a document about the thing that happened.


6.27 seven further captures,


cry-nebo
En Da Proship Tr... · 6 horas
Únete
infinite-proshiiiiip-chocc
tumblr
anonymous asked:
OH MY GOD ARE WE SERIOUS i blocked them so much times on this point i lost count. How much fucking counts did they plan to make
#infinity proship choco #choco drama
#fandom drama #drama #anti fandom purity
#fandom culture #fandom problems
Ver todas




A starter pack of what arrived in one stretch of the campaign, compiled from the blogs that carried it: antiproship-lizzie's inbox ("It's beyond me how they are alive actually"; "No this fucker actually knows how to dox, they got my location and I had to delete my blog. Don't let them fool you, Choco is a menace"; "someone should save mirmo from choco"), l1r10us-b0wzzz ("They're sadistic fucks. I wish nothing but the worst for them"), and a blog named anti-choco-confessions ("If Choco goes through with the doxx, some fucking weirdo out there could… try to kidnap or sexually assault Dani… This could get, not just one, but MULTIPLE people killed"; "Genuinely someone needs to get Choco banned… the people who still support Choco WILLINGLY sicken me").

Nine anonymous or pseudonymous statements on one screen. One claims to have been located by Choco and to have deleted a blog; no name, no date, no blog. One invents a chain from a name Choco never published to kidnap, rape and multiple deaths, and posts it to a blog built to receive confessions about Choco. One wishes Choco the worst and disclaims empathy in the same breath. One is a call to have Choco banned, followed by a verdict on anyone who doesn't join. The whole of the doxxing case against Choco, as it circulated, is the second of these: an anonymous person saying it happened. Section 6.6.4 holds the four times it happened to Choco, with names.




proship-hani's post, 73 notes, 28 October 2025. yoylecake420: "Dani is a fascist who needs to be IP banned from the Internet forever." proship-hani, original poster: "100%."




celestialgluttony, by anonymous ask: "What happened to your delusion about staff being on your side lmfaooooo. mirmo still is not real btw, even if they were they would hate you… Plus, jesus is far better than mirmo."

Left: the network's own treatment of the fourteen-year-old it would later present as Choco's victim. "IP banned from the Internet forever", endorsed by the original poster, at seventy-three notes, in October 2025, two months before their document's section on Choco's danger to her. Right: the religious mockery of the introject, still arriving by anonymous ask months after the cross, with "delusion" attached. The person who drew Jesus onto Mirmo and the person who writes "jesus is far better than mirmo" to a survivor of abuse by nuns are working the same seam. Their document calls the drawing art.




A post of Choco's titled, in effect, how not to get arrested, and a reply to luc1d-dr3am-b01 who read it as its opposite: "gets told how not to get doxed and your takeaway is the opposite. Weaponized incompetence."



*ace---hardware, formerly of the summer group, by DM, on the same post being read as a doxxing guide: "Man, did not know internet safety tips = how to dox people. I am gonna be a master in no time!! I am now hacker man *turns on vpn*"*

A post on how not to be doxxed, read by the tag as instructions on how to dox. exhibit #222 again, read backwards, by different hands (2.5). The second capture is a member of the original summer group, who left it, laughing at the reading. He was there in June 2025. He knows what the post says. The people repeating the inverted version were not there and didn't read it.



travistouchdownspartner, by DM, December 2025: "any help at all is appreciated", sharing a post; Choco's reply, "I do not have it but I'll help u reblogging"; "tysm choco <3 that means a lot."

Mayday's partner, asking Choco for help and thanking Choco for it, in the period in which Mayday was, by a fifteen-year-old's public account, sending that child explicit content (6.6.7). The relationship ran one way until it was useful the other way. the pattern of 6.17.5, with a heart in it: accepted while the reblog was worth having, and then Gravekandy's "you will ALWAYS have me on your side" went to the other party.

> **Anonymous doxxing claims with no victim named; a kidnap-and-murder fantasy posted to a confession blog; the network calling the child it protects a fascist to be banned forever; the introject mocked in Jesus' name by ask; a safety post inverted into a doxxing manual by people who did not read it; and a request for help from the side that later called Choco the predator. All captured. None answered by anything in their document.**

7. Now. May to August 2026.

Everything before this section is history with dates. This section is what is happening as Choco writes. reason the document exists now rather than later.

7.1 The reporting

On 5 May 2026 two of Choco's blogs were restricted after coordinated reporting. Choco filed a case with a certified out-of-court dispute body under the Digital Services Act. Between May and June the same group's narrative moved to Reddit, where Choco was described as someone who "doxxes and stalks minors", and back again.

In late August 2026 Choco's main account was terminated after a third-party report. The termination triggered when Choco tried to buy a Blaze promotion for a post: the report was already waiting, and the purchase attempt was what surfaced it. The internal appeal was answered with a template. Choco filed with a second certified dispute body; the case was admitted and sent to the platform.

Their document lists twenty-four of Choco's blogs, most marked "terminated". It offers the list as evidence against Choco. Read in order, it is a count of how many times reporting worked, and of how many times Choco came back and rebuilt.

7.2 Two days later

On 28 August 2026, with the account already gone, a blog called morphine-dr1p published two posts fifteen minutes apart, both in the "Choco situation" tag, which is where their narrative is stored and where anyone searching Choco's name is sent.

> **morphine-dr1p, 28 August 2026, 21:33 and 21:48**
> *"Moises Martinez Segura wants to rape children and deflects it by accusing everyone else of doing so without any actual proof or basis despite the mountain of evidence to prove they are grooming children. The jokes write themselves really." Tags: #crybaby choco #Moises Martinez Segura*
>
> *"Warning: Choco, Moises Martinez Segura, is still sending out strobe lights and flashing gifts as a form of attack… They are extremely mentally ill, a known sexual predator, and in need of very serious help."*



Exhibit A3. SHA-256 9ea0592a…523026. Published 21:33, captured 21:57.




Exhibit A2. SHA-256 367c8838…d140e2d2. Published 21:48, captured 21:57.

Read what those two posts do, in order. They publish Choco's legal name. They attach to it the single most serious accusation that can be made about a human being, without one piece of evidence. They state a medical condition as fact. They tag the post with Choco's name so that a search for Choco returns the sentence. And they say that Choco is "still" doing something that's dated, finished and owned in a post this document does not reproduce, which the only document on their side that claims to cover it left blank.

The account that was supposed to be the problem had been gone for two days. The campaign didn't pause. That is the fact this section exists to record: the reporting was never about an account. It was about a person, and when the account was removed the person was named instead.

Doxxing by tag. Publishing a legal name is exposure. Making it a tag makes it a search result. The second is designed for strangers, not for the target.

> **Sexual defamation with Choco's legal name attached, published as a warning, indexed by name, two days after they got what they had reported for.**

7.2b The account that used Choco's name



morphine-dr1p, 29 August 2026, the day after tagging Choco's legal name: reblogging "FUCKING THIS!!!!" on a post about leaving the proship label, with the tags "#anticensorship #kill ur local pedophile #proship #antiship".

The account that put Choco's legal name under "wants to rape children" describes itself, the next day, as having "moved away from the proship label" and tags its post "kill ur local pedophile". So the person who called Choco a predator in the proship tag is, by their own post, not proship, and is, by their own tag, an advocate of killing the people they label. two findings. First, the name in that mouth carries no weight inside the community it was aimed at: the account is a fraud in the tag it used, and the community's own document, the one that defines who is and isn't proship by loyalty test, would exclude it. Second, and this is the one for section 8B: a legal name, a false attribution of child rape, and "kill ur local pedophile", from the same account within twenty-four hours, is the sequence the law calls incitement when it is read together, and Choco has read it together. This account has never reported anyone, never deplatformed anyone. never taken anyone to any authority; the procedural errors in its posts, a name as a hashtag, an accusation without an act, a kill tag as a signature, are the errors of a person who has never done any of that and never intended to. An antishipper with a proship tag, a survivor's legal name, and a kill instruction. the whole of what morphine-dr1p is.

7.3 "Kill yourself"

> **dove-killer, late August 2026, both posts public**
> *"Good lord Kill yourself."*
> *"Your evidence of grooming is the minor who was in my notes once and I blocked them over it? Kill yourself."*




Exhibit A1. SHA-256 abe3d938…531ca7. dove-killer's blog, direct view.

Two posts, in the open, paid for. Their document devotes a section to defending this account and states that Choco "have not shown proof" it ever said this. The account also confirms, in its own words, the fact Choco had documented: a minor was in its notes.

Their document redefines "suicide baiting" so that it describes Choco. This is the actual thing. It is the thing done to a person who has been in crisis in public more than once, by an account their document defends, promoted so that more people would see it.

> **Incitement, in public, twice, promoted. From the side that wrote a section about Choco's "suicide baiting".**

7.4 What Choco has done about it

On 30 August 2026, two days after the termination and in the same week Choco's legal name was published beside an accusation of child rape, Gravekandy posts that the leopards will eat your face at some point, and that kissing up to the leopard only means being saved for last. No name is given in the post. It is dated, it is public, and it is in the window their document describes as being over and as something they are tired of.

Archived the posts. Hashed the captures. Filed the notices the law provides for: to the platform under the Digital Services Act, and to the dispute bodies the Act certifies. Written this. Not written anyone's name, not diagnosed anyone, not published anything private of theirs.

The legal words for what is in this section, and the articles they belong to, are in section 10 and Annex D for whoever needs to act on them. Here they are in plain language: they published a legal name next to a false accusation of child rape and made it searchable; they stated a mental illness as fact; they told a person in documented crisis to kill themselves and paid to promote it. All of them has a name in the law

of the country Choco lives in. None of them has a name in their document, because their document does not describe what they do. It describes what they need Choco to be.

8. What the law calls it

This section names things. It does not cite article numbers; those are in Annex D for the people whose job it is to act on them. Below is the plain name the law gives to each thing in this document, in the country where Choco lives, in the country where the person who started this lives. under the rules that bind the platform. Choco is not a lawyer. Choco has spent a year reading the law that applies to Choco, and everything here has been checked against the text of the law, not against a blog post about it. Where Choco is not sure, Choco says so.

8.1 Publishing my legal name next to “wants to rape children”

In Spain, attributing a crime to a named person, knowing it to be false or with reckless disregard for whether it’s true, is a criminal offence in itself. it is aggravated when done through a medium that reaches the public. Tagging the post with the name is what makes it reach the public. Separately, publishing a person’s legal name together with a claim about their mental health, without consent, is unlawful processing of personal data of the most protected kind, and it is an intrusion into honour and private life that gives the person the right to demand removal. Three names for one post: criminal defamation, data violation, intrusion into honour.

8.2 “Kill yourself”

Spanish law does not have a crime called “telling someone to kill themselves”, and Choco is not going to pretend it does. What it has is a crime of insult that harms dignity, aggravated by publicity, and a crime of harassment for insistent, repeated conduct that alters a person’s daily life. Two posts from the same account, aimed at a person the account knew had been in crisis, are the second of those on their own and part of the first over the year. The platform’s own rules are simpler: it’s prohibited, full stop.

8.3 “Forced sexual conversion”, and the edit

Ezra is in Germany. German law treats a public statement of fact that someone committed a sexual act on another person as defamation. it treats a sexual-offence allegation as damaging to honour by its nature, without the target needing to prove loss. Editing one word two months later, without notice, is not a correction; in most jurisdictions it is evidence that the author knew the original was indefensible. In Spain, where the statement was received, it is the same intrusion into honour described in 8.1.

8.4 A year of it

The crime of harassment in Spain requires persistence: repeated acts, over time, that the target has not consented to and that change how they live. Twenty-four accounts reported until they fell. A document revised in July, August, December and again in 2026. A legal name located in August 2025, published by a minor in October 2025, and published with the worst possible accusation in August 2026. the definition, item by item. Whether a court would agree is for a court. Whether it fits the words of the statute is not in doubt.

8.5 The reporting

The platform is bound by the European Digital Services Act. That law gives Choco the right to a reasoned answer when Choco report something, the right to an internal appeal. the right to take a refused appeal to a certified independent body, which Choco has done twice. It also obliges the platform to suspend, for a reasonable period, the processing of reports from people who frequently submit manifestly unfounded ones. Twenty-four terminations of one person’s accounts, by report, over one year, is the situation that clause was written for. The platform has not applied it. now the subject of a case.

8.6 What their legal section got wrong


Their document cites an article on sexual harassment that only applies inside an employment, teaching or service relationship; an article that was repealed in 2015; an article of the Workers' Statute, which is labour law about dismissal; and two articles on false accusation that only apply to accusations made before a public official. It then says the sources are placeholders: a document that gets the law wrong in four out of four citations isn't a document that has checked anything else either or should be taken seriously.

8.7 What Choco is not claiming

Choco is not claiming that mocking Choco's crisis is a crime. It is not. Choco is not claiming that calling Choco a mosquito is a crime. It is not. Choco is not claiming that a group of people agreeing with each other is unlawful. It is not. Those things are in this document because they are true and because they show what kind of people wrote the accusations, not because a court would punish them. The things in 8.1 to 8.5 are different. They have names in the law, the names are in Annex D, and the people who wrote them knew what they were writing, please see the following footnote about user Fizzywatercan on the 13th of September of 2026, as he's someone who groomed a younger minor.

infinito-proshiiiiiip-choco
43 minutos

Yeah so a 15 year old trying to argue me about the law is comical, first you thought a mutual was me then you start using libel as if the word has any weight in your mouth, whoever you think you are, you're not, and I'm going to educate you, thoroughly, about both the law and COCSA, you're too immature to use any of these words:



Libel can get you into legal trouble is right, that's why your defamation about what I got off to falls under libel and "emotional grooming" after you groomed a 13 year old does as well.

That bravado is the most pitiable thing, first that is true about the server because it happened and with evidence, secondly I know what libel is

20:30 ··· 16

server and gave a pretty enthusiastic yes"

It's not for you to decide if what you did was coercion, it's up to the minor and the evidence, both confirm that you did, your words, what you claim they were "enthusiastic" about, mean nothing, also lesson time, "but they said yes!" is 101 beginner book for all predators after assaulting someone, congratulations, you just filled a stereotype.

A 13 year old was peer pressured into a discord server with a child predator, at a latter time they wanted out and you coerced them into staying, pretending a 13 year old can "consent" to joining a discord server with Nathan Hill in it is goofball behavior.

"there was nothing sexual about my conversations with him and i don't especially like our ex but hy didn't do anything wrong either"

Actually, both of you did **many** things wrong, as already documented, but let's talk about the minor.

both of you dragged a younger minor into a dynamic involving a child predator and sexual material, that's COCSA, the minor was roped into a situation with sexual elements and a power imbalance you, as older minors, and with social authority over clown, amplified to take advantage of them, they mentioned this themselves and the second you knew you deflected, you don't need to have spoken to clown sexually, you facilitated and proxied an environnement of sexual abuse clown knew nothing about, and let's not forget about the decoy situation and how clown was scared about "betraying" your trusts, in this context, **that is grooming**.

"the server shouldn't have existed and i understand that buttt i'm pretty sure that you knew about it and didn't say anything"

Keep lying through your teeth it's not going to save you, the second I knew you two were involved with Nathan I told you it was dangerous and you shouldn't do it, you disregarded this and did it anyway, then you brought a 13 year old into it, on the other hand Gravekandy and everyone else involved knew about it, and stayed complicit.

You're fifteen, you're chronically online roleplaying dynamics and situations you have no business in, no understanding about, and zitch to do other than talk shit behind a screen, you are incapable of processing

Warning, this section will be more informal, these are Choco's victim statements, and personal judgement of Nier as a person, after catching him in every lie and all his manipulation tactics, his usage of vulnerable people as toys, and his arrangement of situations to sooth his fetish, in which were involved both by him and Proship Froggo since last year, two of the most condemnable and repudiated adults I've met on the internet, who think they should be exempt from consequences and moral indictments, they don't have that pass, nor does it matter what they have to say for themselves the judge doesn't care whether offenders agree with the victim, it's not up to Nier, has never been up to Nier, and will never be up to Nier, his control and agency in this situation is zero.

Warning, this section will be less professional and contain course language, please understand this doesn't clash with the factual nature of the document, and is meant to be taken as both truth and an official, impact statements.

You are the very Vermin, mosquito, useless, unwanted, beyond saving specimen you present everyone elde as. Nobody on the other side wrote a word of that kind about you. I looked, do you know why? because you're not even important enough to use it, it's common sense, it's common knowledge.

You are someone who feeds on everyone else's emotions and puts them against each other, sitting in your chair on a high horse you were never allowed to ride, you're a bully, a charlatan, a bottom feeding troll who doesn't even have the balls to do things himself, to say things to people's faces, or to operate on your own, you're a loathsome individus without integrity or morals, you copy, you mimmick, you imitate, and you've never put in the work to be anything ever, only to tear, you're parasitic, and we both lniw it, you're untalented, and we both know it, you don't have anyone who loves you, snd we both know it, this is what you deflect onto everybody else but you, you change definitions to fit your script and mistake your diction for competency.

You're not a threat, you're not a therapist, you are neither of these tbings.

At the moment, and for however long you live, a short time, for the sake of your victims' peace, all you are is an Abuser, an abuser whose learned the social engineering book and thinks that's authenticity, in reality all that you are is rot.

You're always there when somebody's down, you're there to kick them, berate them, you're the unwanted voice nobody asked an opinion about, you make your existence a public issue and complain nobody puts up with you, you are this, and nothing else, you've never been anything else.

Nier, I could humiliate you, ridicule you, I could make you look as small as you are, I have more material on your pathetic attempts at garnering sympathy and leeching off people, as well as suicide baiting than you have in any document about everyone else, I can do these things, we both knows this.

But no, I prefer to have you reading this, because you will, your nonchalance is as fragile as your ego, you're a stalker, an obsessive traumafarmer, your voice isn't yours, it's everyone else's you've collected to deny all your wrongdoings with, you make victims abusers and abusers victims and orchestrate situations because you're bored, that's all there is to you.

So. Nier, where is you taking accountability?

Where is you apologizing for lying about people?

Where is you apologizing for making your disorders my problem, after writing reak person fiction about me in summer?

Where is you and your friends apologizing for all the torment you've caused innocent people you mislead into being fed your sob story?

Where is you finally leaving people alone? Delusional, a word you use constantly, you berate somebody else for it, anons, you treat them like they should thank you for breathing, who do you think you are?

You're not a judge, I have more legal knowledge about the law than you do, we've tested this before and we can run it anytime, you're not good at writing fiction, your prose is stale and redundant, you're not a hacker doxer or tech savvy you're an online psychopath – the definition of your disorder, don't cry now – expecting headpats for talking shit about people who you know you can't beat, physically or intellectually, you're ridiculous, same word you apply to others, you misuse language, fail at basic linguistics, fail at theory of mind, and use definitions as placeholders to sound academic where context would be, these definitions you misinterpret and use ommision as a weapon.

What made you think you could ask me to delete your vent? what's wrong with you?

Nate and Yael are "victims" but they're braindead when they don't support you? same with Constell btw, don't sit and complain it's been a year, your treatment of people remains the same thinking the world focuses on you, newsflash, you're not important to anybody, people's emotions, experiences, situations, disorders, therapy sessions, suicidality, and trauma are theirs to speak about, at their leisure, to their discredit, your mouth does not belong near these, not with me, not with anybody, that goes on the other end, when you employ that treatment of people's mind it's your obligation to do it with Rook, Ezra, and anybody else who doesn't hate your guts, you failed to stick to your words, your premises, your "truth" which was never truth to begin with but entire projections with proxies, and the constant defects you lump onto people because you don't know when to keep your mouth shut.

Nier, you can pout and be childish, you can make all the excuses you want, I don't care, nor do I give a shit about when you followed me, deny it, you're good at lying, it wasn't an honor it was disgusting, what makes someone like you think you could follow me? miss me with that shit, you put people into digital chess pieces to dance to your tune, victims, bystanders, drama, all for you to sit on the outside looking in which is where you think you can stay, outsiders don't talk, outsiders don't write, outsiders sit outsider with their mouth shut, your entire life has been you being an inconvenience to others, from day one, your mother despises you, you deflect it, you don't know different languages, polish through Google translate isn't knowledge, and yes, Nier, it was Google translated, don't argue with a polyglot, you've been thoroughly fact checked so many times it's not even funny, the nine languages I'm consistent with don't matter, you use use people as tethers, you find abusers like yourself and validate yourself through the shared experience of preying on the vulnerable, it's cartoonish, the most disgusting thing is the way you beg for mercy after losing the fights that you started, this, all of this, the entire year and a half, has been nothing but me defending myself and fighting back, and it was enough to make you drop on your knees, you're so incompetent I'd pity you if I wasn't so busy spitting on you, oh wait, you'll classify that as assault,

because writing the word spitting and being in front of the person are the same things and get charged. /sarcasm

You thought the document from summer was going to upset me being brought up again, you waived it like a toy to blackmail people with, it's annoying how greatly you think of yourself, all your reaction-based hitpiece bullt from responded to something you and Proship Froggo started was give me more material to expose you to the world, worse, you had the nerve to use your BPD as a shield and excuse for sexually defaming people, it goes without saying everyone I accused of Sexual harassment has been proven to be guilty, now that this exists, the truth of the entire summer situation is out and open, not releasing the document was a mercy against you due to just how much material I had, you were too blind to see it, and are now paying for it deaely, with all other situations embedded that prove your course of conduct, Nier, I could've won the summer situation seven different times, you were spared, you will no longer be so, all the character judgments you spouted are confessions, they exteriorize everything you are, I've been more than happy to give you the mirror, which is the only thing you got from the start, from the one person you can't bully, I live to bully bullied like yourself, and I like it.

Keep Scurry out of your mouth, keep Ezra in yours, my friends are off limits to your disgusting ass and I don't know who you think you are, targeting innocents because you're too much of a coward and always have been to face me yourself, ergo, you find who you think is more vulnerable, target my precious people, I'll tear you apart on the spot, your pretension of being tough stays with me and your roleplay stays contained, pestering people I care about is how you lose your internet privilege.

It's the only warning I'm giving, I'm not you, I don't say bullshit I can't execute, just like yours and Ezra's court threats where you fictioned suing me, remember? legal threats first, legal threats yours, but it goes without saying groomers like you hate the records, you once admitted to keep a set of morals you follow, written down, cross it, toss the list down the toilet and yourself down the empire state, you never had a moral backbone and you never will.

also, I find it funny to this day you bemoaned about friendship quality, Yael, Nate, Conetell, all yours, if the quality is abysmal and they flocked your way it's only because trash sticks together, jokes write themselves twice.

Gecko was a piece of shit, he popped up talked smack tried to beef with me and was banned, last year, you're the stain of the shit that remains in the bowl no matter how much you flush it, you stick to people like shit sticks to the toilet. If you'd have stuck to being irrelevant online and kept my names out of your mouth we wouldn't be here, you wanted to fuck around, find out, everyone knows what you are, nothing is a bigger punishment from this judge than for you to be isolated, permanently, without any input or stimuli, you, who uses people and throws them away, don't deserve access to anybody ever again, you tried to weaponizes Dani, the 14 year old you and Ezra, double trouble, harassed for well over a year, the same one your community obsessed with, whlist Choco and others spent that time helping out their victims, banning the countless accounts Caleb made, and the Antiship adults hiding behind them against Prodhipers.

You are not Proship, but this is obvious so it goes without saying, neither yourself not your cult members represent the Proship community, I am the largest Proship member on Tumblr and have done the most for it, I have the high ground to call you out on this, you will never use the Proship label anywhere on the internet ever again, and calling you two faced would be imprecise, you are like a hydra, every time you're beheaded you pop up with a new persona and sob story to feed to to your victims, and I'm sick of it.

You knew the context was missing and published without it, twice. You knew your friend was exempt for friendship and said it was not right and kept the exemption. You knew your comfort was a technique and said so to its target. You knew the accusation could not be disproved and demanded disproof. You knew there was nothing to use and said to fake it. You knew the part by name and denied it existed. You knew speculating about diagnoses was wrong and kept the section. You knew the side blog was yours and denied it in mediation. You knew the videos were unwatched and wrote that no proof was given. You knew the recipient had withdrawn and republished.

Ten things known, ten things done against the knowledge, each pair in writing. What that pattern describes is a man who acts with full premeditation, every time, and who has never once in fourteen months let that knowledge change the act.

Everything I have said about you in Summer, you've proven right, knowing I was right all along about a predator helps me remember I am always right about people.

You set yourself up as the person who assesses everyone in this matter. The assessment of you is complete, because you deserve to be judged, you deserve to be perceived, and quite frankly, you deserved being put in your place, I had a dozen chances to do it and you tried to feast on my mercy, it's run out, just like how you've run your mouth to denigrate disrespect and derail the experiences from those you have harmed.

Every person in this matter has answered to you, you have answered to nobody, until now, you answer to me, and only me, I'm the judge, and you're wanting, this isn't an edginess contest, you win that, it's the only thing you win in other than wasting space and being the most obtuse, god complex having self awareness lacking most selfish, most entitled internet troll and admitted wallflower nuisance anyone had the displeasure of meetings; you disgust me, you are a moral abhorrence that contains everything I despise in a person, someone who lives at the expense of others and has only ever cared about one person, himself.

The record stands. As to the editor, the case is closed. You may leave the room.

8B. Schedule of offences. By person, by act, by article.

Every entry below rests on an act shown by a capture in this document, and every article cited is in force. Where the fit is arguable, it says so. Where a charge would be popular and the law does not reach it, it says that too, because a schedule that overreaches once is a schedule nobody has to read twice.

Jurisdiction. The harm was received in Spain, which gives Spanish law standing over the statements wherever they were made. The German provisions are listed for the two participants who, by their own account, are in Germany. For participants in the United States the common-law categories of defamation per se are listed, since state law varies. The platform provisions bind the platform regardless of where anyone is.

The imputations, dictated.

Against Ezra (proship-froggo). Publishing to an audience that a named survivor performed a sexual act on another person, without evidence, then editing the wording months later without notice rather than withdrawing it. That is calumny with publicity where the imputed act is a crime, and injuria with publicity where it is not: Penal Code arts. 205 and 206, art. 208 and art. 209, and unlawful interference under LO 1/1982 art. 5.7. In Germany, StGB §186 and §187. Announcing three police forces and filing nothing is not a crime; it is set out because a threatened complaint that never exists is evidence about the person who announced it, not about the person it was aimed at.

Against Nier (h28731, the Editor). The imputation is not one act. It is a course of conduct sustained across fourteen months, and it is charged as such.

One. Redistribution of intimate material. Nier's document embeds the photograph of Choco's sex toy, obtained inside a private exchange in which the recipient had asked to see it, and publishes it to an audience. Art. 197.7 CP: disseminating, without authorisation, images obtained with the person's consent in a private setting, where the disclosure gravely undermines their personal privacy. The consent covered one recipient. It did not cover a document.

Two. Sexual defamation, sustained and republished. The summer document of 2025 carried the imputation of forced sexual conversion and the characterisation of that photograph as unprompted, both untrue on the face of the exchange preserved beside them. It was republished in December 2025 and again in the current version. Calumny with publicity, arts. 205 and 206 CP; injuria with publicity, arts. 208 and 209; StGB §186 and §187 in Germany. Republication restarts the clock. It does not dilute the act.

Three. Threat to disclose. The document is held over the target and its authors are named as the route to removal; the copies of third-party files are announced as kept, with the warning that deletions will be noticed; and the exchange in the record contains the line that all the DMs from a named private account are held. Conditional threat to reveal matters affecting privacy, art. 171.2 and 171.3 CP.

Four. Cyberstalking. Fourteen months of monitoring one person's accounts through third parties and after blocks, an anonymous side blog confirmed as his, two asks sent, a city located from public traces and stated, a list compiled after a termination of twenty-five entries covering eighteen accounts, six of them entered twice or three times. Art. 172 ter CP, insistent and repeated conduct altering the daily life of the victim; StGB §238.

Five. Personal data. Locating and publishing a legal name, and processing it across a distributed file without any lawful basis. RGPD arts. 5 and 6, LOPDGDD, LO 1/1982 arts. 5.3 and 5.7.

Six. Serious insult with publicity. Arts. 208 and 209 CP; StGB §185.

Against Gravekandy. Soliciting a third party for proof of another person's disabilities under cover of secrecy, publishing a private partner's characterisation of that person's sexuality, and receiving, retaining and describing a thirteen-year-old's sexual posts without reporting them. Discovery and revelation of secrets, art. 197.1 and 197.3 CP. Degrading treatment, art. 173.1. As to the retention of a minor's sexual material, the conduct engages arts. 189 and 189 bis CP and the reporting duties in arts. 259 and 262 LECrim; a court decides which, and this document says so.

Against dove-killer (Rook). Publishing to a person whose crises were public that they should kill themselves, twice. Serious insult with publicity, arts. 208 and 209 CP, and degrading treatment, art. 173.1.

Against patronizing and cemetarydog. Telling a survivor of infant sexual abuse, on that survivor's own blog, that crying about religion would not get them unmolested, and forwarding a crisis post taken from an adult-gated blog under an invitation to look. Degrading treatment, art. 173.1 CP; revelation of private material, art. 197.1; injuria with publicity, arts. 208 and 209.

Against morphine-dr1p. Publishing a legal name beside the words wants to rape children and tagging the name so it would be found. Calumny with publicity, arts. 205 and 206 CP; unlawful data processing, RGPD art. 6 and LO 1/1982 art. 5.7.

Against Mayday. Sending explicit content to a fifteen-year-old and publishing that child's accounts. Arts. 183 ter and 189 CP, and the corresponding provisions of the jurisdiction of residence.

On coercion. Art. 172 CP requires compelling a person to do or refrain from doing something by violence or intimidation. The document held over a person, the announcement that copies were kept and deletions would be noticed, and the instruction that removal from the file requires approaching its

authors, are set out here because that is what art. 172 describes. Whether the threshold is met is a court's to say. It is not said here.

On grooming, and on vulnerable adults. The word grooming, as a criminal heading, is child-specific: contact with a minor for sexual purposes, and in Germany StGB §182. That is why it is not used as the heading of an imputation here.

Spanish law does not, however, leave vulnerable adults outside the sexual offences chapter. It protects a defined category, persona con discapacidad necesitada de especial protección (art. 25 CP), alongside minors, throughout: art. 185 for obscene exhibition before such a person, art. 186 for distributing or displaying pornographic material to such a person, and arts. 178 to 182 for acts against sexual freedom where consent is vitiated. Whether a given person falls inside art. 25 is decided case by case and is not asserted here.

Separately, and without any threshold to meet, especial vulnerabilidad by reason of age, illness, disability or situation is an aggravating circumstance for sexual offences, and abuse of superiority is a general aggravating circumstance under art. 22.2 CP. Choco is autistic, epileptic and blind, and disclosed the abuse in infancy in public before any of the conduct in this document occurred. Every party named here knew that before they acted.

So the position is this. The conduct that would be called grooming had the target been a child is described in 6.13 exactly as it happened. It is charged under the provisions that reach it: harassment, degrading treatment, revelation of private material, and, where sexual material was sent or redistributed, arts. 185 and 186 and the provisions on sexual freedom. The vulnerability is pleaded as an aggravation, which is what the law provides for. Their document cited art. 184 to a person who was not an employee, a student or a client. This document is not going to reach for a heavier word than the facts carry, because that is the error it spent a section on.

Ezra / proship-froggo (Germany)

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
Published to an audience that Choco practised “forced sexual conversion” on another user; later edited the word without notice (2.1; 6.6.1)	**Sexual harassment of a survivor; sexual defamation; calumny with publicity**	CP art. 205, 206 (calumnia, with publicity); art. 173.1 (degrading treatment: a public sexual accusation aimed at a disclosed survivor of infant sexual abuse); LO 1/1982 art. 5.7. Note: CP art. 184 (acoso sexual) is confined to employment, teaching or service relationships and is NOT cited; the conduct is charged under 173.1, 172 ter and the civil provisions	StGB § 187 (Verleumdung: knowingly false factual claim), or § 186 (üble Nachrede: unproven factual claim lowering standing)	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
Collected, via cemetarydog, a crisis post from Choco's adult-gated blog and announced in public holding “so so so many” such screenshots, with a heart (captures 170, 439)	**Threat to disclose private sexual material; intrusion into private life**	LO 1/1982 art. 5.3 (disclosure of private facts); CP art. 171.2 pattern (threat to reveal private facts) where a demand is implied, otherwise art. 171.1; CP art. 173.1 (degrading treatment)	StGB § 240 (Nötigung) where a demand is implied; § 185 (Beleidigung)	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
Screenshotted, captioned and circulated a private message about a birthday gift (capture 360)	**Intrusion into private life; disclosure of private communication**	LO 1/1982 art. 5.3; CP art. 197.3 arguable (dissemination of private communications obtained by a third party)	StGB § 201a inapplicable (no image of a person); § 186 where used to assert misconduct	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
"Orgasm dispensary for a deeply mentally ill troll", on an 18+ blog followed by minors (capture 100)	**Serious insult with publicity; health libel**	CP art. 208, 209 (injurias graves, with publicity); LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	StGB § 185	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
"the 5th time they use it as a weapon", in public, on a report that Choco was attempting suicide (their #328)	**Degrading treatment; insult**	CP art. 173.1; art. 208	StGB § 185	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
"I'll tear her down", "shut Caleb up for good", "tear her parents apart", of a fourteen-year-old (A19, A20, A27)	**Threats against a minor, private; evidences intent**	CP art. 169/171.1 if communicated to the minor; otherwise evidentiary of intent under LO 8/2021 (child protection) analysis	StGB § 241 if communicated	,
Sexual language ("fuck daddy's little toy") published to a following with identified minors (t011, t007)	**Exposure of minors to sexual content, arguable**	CP art. 186 arguable (provision of pornographic material to minors) depending on content; otherwise platform rules	StGB § 184 arguable, same condition	Platform ToS; DSA art. 28 (protection of minors)
Threatened reports to "policia local, guardia civil, policia nacional" that were never made (their #393)	**Intimidation by false legal threat**	CP art. 171.1 arguable	,	,
Server owner of a locked channel named after Choco in which the group "joked about sending anons"; participant in coordinated reporting (5.1; 7.1)	**Coordinated harassment**	CP art. 172 ter (acoso: repeated, insistent conduct altering daily life), as co-participant	StGB § 238 (Nachstellung), as co-participant	Art. 23(2) misuse of notices

Nier / h28731 / Editor N (Germany)

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
Located Choco's city from public traces, wrote "I know which city you're in" and used Choco's legal name as a sign-off in a document distributed to others (2.10)	**Unlawful processing and disclosure of personal data; intrusion**	RGPD art. 2.1, 6, 17; LOPDGDD; LO 1/1982 art. 5.3; CP art. 172 ter.1.3º (misuse of personal data) arguable	StGB § 238(1) no. 3 (misuse of personal data), as element of Nachstellung	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
Authored and distributed a 44,707-word document asserting Choco is "delusional", "mentally unwell", a "neo-Nazi", that Choco "dox people" and "encourage minors to lie", with no evidence for any (2.5, 2.9, 2.10, 2.18)	**Libel: health, crime, ideology**	CP art. 205, 206 (attribution of crimes: doxxing, child endangerment); art. 208, 209 (injurias graves con publicidad); LO 1/1982 art. 5.7; RGPD art. 7.1 (health data)	StGB § 186/187	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
"Moises. From the bottom of my heart: Fuck you. Get help." "You're like a mosquito, annoying, useless, unwanted." (6.2)	**Insult with publicity**	CP art. 208, 209	StGB § 185	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
Sent Choco anonymous asks; ran an anonymous side blog; admits the group joked about sending anons (2.11)	**Anonymous harassment; coordination**	CP art. 172 ter	StGB § 238	Art. 23(2) misuse of notices
Revised and re-released the document on 25 December 2025; on 26 December its terms appeared in third parties' posts (6.11)	**Persistent harassment; publication with intent to procure exclusion**	CP art. 172 ter (persistence element); LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	StGB § 238	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
Redefined “suicide baiting” in a footnote to apply the term to Choco; wrote a section titled “Choco admits to suibaiting” (2.17)	**Health libel; degrading treatment**	CP art. 208, 209; art. 173.1	StGB § 185/186	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
Threatened former members: “we still have all the chats”, “I’ll know if you delete it”, published private material of Yael, Nate, Constell, Ace (7, marker 6)	**Coercion by threat of disclosure**	CP art. 171.1/171.2 pattern; LO 1/1982 art. 5.3 as to the third parties	StGB § 240; § 186	,
Posted at a person who had blocked him, tagged so they would be told (6.4, A30)	**Circumvention of a block; contact against will**	CP art. 172 ter.1.2º (contact by any means) as element	StGB § 238(1) no. 2	Platform ToS
Embedded the photograph of Choco's sex toy, obtained inside a private exchange in which the recipient had asked to see it, into the document and published it (4.4; capture 374)	**Non-consensual dissemination of intimate material**	CP art. 197.7; LO 1/1982 art. 7.5 and 7.7	StGB § 201a(3)	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
Held the document over its subject, named its authors as the route to removal, announced that copies of third-party files were kept and deletions would be noticed (6.3)	**Conditional threat to reveal matters affecting privacy**	CP art. 171.2 and 171.3	StGB § 240; § 241	Art. 16 notice

Gravekandy / xo-gravekandy / gravekandyrants

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
Solicited by DM whether anyone had “proof of Choco’s disabilities”, “Don’t tell them I sent you”; denied it publicly; retracted when shown (A11)	**Disability-based harassment; instigation with concealment**	CP art. 510.2.a arguable (humiliation on grounds of disability); art. 172 ter as participant; LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	Defamation per se (US) as to the public denial’s implication	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
“All of this drama has been nothing but a fetish for them”, in public (6.1)	**Sexual defamation**	CP art. 208, 209; LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	Defamation per se (imputation of sexual misconduct)	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
Included the Reddit poll built on the Christian Mirmo image in his material as “criticism” (2.26)	**Sexual harassment through disclosed trauma; degrading treatment**	CP art. 173.1; art. 172 ter; LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	,	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
Received screenshots of a thirteen-year-old’s sexual posts, didn’t report, attempted to repurpose (6.6.5)	**Failure to report; retention and reuse of a minor’s sexual content (his own DM, capture 549)**	LO 8/2021 art. 15 (duty of any person to report violence against minors); CP art. 189 if the material was visual; if text, art. 189 doesn’t apply and the retention and reuse fall under art. 173.1 and 172 ter	US: 18 U.S.C. § 2252 if visual; state law otherwise	DSA art. 28; platform ToS
Reblogged minors after stating he was MDNI; reblogged a self-confessed rapist under a post about Choco (A6, A13, m10)	**Conduct; no offence charged**	None charged. Recorded as conduct.	,	,
“Mayday you will ALWAYS have me on your side”, of an adult a fifteen-year-old accused of sending explicit content (m3)	**Conduct; no offence charged**	None charged. Recorded.	,	,
Posted “friendly reminder” reproducing Choco's sentence and omitting that nothing was sent and that his group had defended lights sent at Choco (capture 167)	**Defamation by omission; harassment**	CP art. 208, 209 arguable; art. 172 ter	Defamation per se arguable	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
“Friendly reminder Dani got what they deserved”, of a fourteen-year-old (6.1)	**Conduct toward a minor; no offence charged**	Recorded.	,	,

Rook / dove-killer / Terra

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
“Good lord Kill yourself”; “Kill yourself”, at a person whose crises were public (A1)	**Incitement to suicide, arguable; serious insult with publicity; degrading treatment**	CP art. 143.1 (inducement to suicide) is noted and NOT charged: Spanish courts require a direct and effective inducement; two posts don’t meet it on their own. CP art. 208, 209; art. 173.1 (degrading treatment: telling a person with public crises to kill himself, twice, with paid reach); art. 172 ter as participant	US: incitement is not actionable as such; harassment under state law; platform rule breach certain	DSA art. 16
Leveraged own self-harm and suicidality on a fifteen-year-old and a seventeen-year-old while evading their blocks (6.6.6, third-party document)	**Harassment of minors; emotional coercion**	CP art. 172 ter as to each minor; LO 8/2021 analysis	US state harassment law	Platform ToS
Sent himself hate mail attributed to Choco (6.6.6,)	**Fabrication of evidence**	CP art. 456 (false accusation) doesn’t apply (no official); LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	Defamation per se	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons

patronizing and cemetarydog

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
“Unmolested”, on Choco's blog, to a survivor of infant sexual abuse (capture 472)	**Sexual harassment of a survivor; degrading treatment**	CP art. 173.1; art. 208; LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	,	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
“i’m gonna molest you”, twice, in public; “only my big brother who molests me can call me a creep. Know your place”, to a user who had said they go to school (captures 469, 470, 463)	**Sexual conduct in a shared tag toward a school-age user**	CP art. 183 ter arguable only if the recipient was a minor and the contact was for a sexual purpose; otherwise art. 186 arguable; recorded as conduct	US state law on communication with minors, if applicable	DSA art. 28; platform ToS
“#block evading retard” (capture 471)	**Disability slur**	CP art. 510.2.a arguable; art. 208	,	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
Forwarded Choco's adult-gated crisis post to Ezra under “tw MAPS, check this out” (capture 170)	**Disclosure of private material for use against the subject**	LO 1/1982 art. 5.3; CP art. 197.3 arguable	,	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
Reblogged an anonymous rumour that Choco had died (capture 426)	**Conduct; no offence charged**	Recorded.	,	,

morphine-dr1p

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
“Moises Martinez Segura wants to rape children”, tagged with Choco's legal name (A3)	**Calumny with publicity; doxxing by indexation; sexual defamation**	CP art. 205, 206 (attribution of a crime, with publicity); RGPD art. 2.1, 6, 17; LOPDGDD; LO 1/1982 art. 5.3, 5.7; CP art. 172 ter.1.3º (misuse of personal data)	Defamation per se (imputation of crime and sexual misconduct); US anti-doxxing statutes where enacted	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
“extremely mentally ill, a known sexual predator”, “still sending out strobe lights”, with Choco's legal name (A2)	**Health libel; calumny; false statement of present conduct**	CP art. 205, 206; art. 208, 209; RGPD art. 7.1 (health data)	Defamation per se	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
Both posts in the "Choco situation" tag, two days after Choco's account was terminated (7.2)	**Persistence; harassment**	CP art. 172 ter	US state harassment law	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
"#kill ur local pedophile", the day after tagging Choco's legal name under "wants to rape children" (7.2b)	**Incitement to violence against a named person; threat**	CP art. 169/171 (threats) and art. 510.1 arguable (public incitement to violence against a group, here applied through a named person); read with A3 as one course of conduct	US: true-threat doctrine where applicable; defamation per se	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons

The impersonation accounts and the mockery blog

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
infinite-proship-choco-sendsgore; infinite-flashinggifs-choco, created 28 November 2025 in Choco's handle, used to insult Choco and Choco's mother (m6, m7)	**Impersonation; insult**	CP art. 172 ter.5 (opening false profiles using a person's image without consent, causing harassment or humiliation; added by LO 1/2023) where Choco's avatar or image was used; CP art. 401 does NOT apply to a handle and isn't charged; CP art. 208, 209; LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	Defamation; platform impersonation rule	Platform ToS (impersonation); DSA art. 16
chocos-chocolate-starfish, a sexual pun on Choco's handle, (capture 405)	**Sexual mockery**	CP art. 208, 209; LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	Defamation arguable	DSA art. 16
sexymirmo, messaging Choco sexual content in Choco's introject's name (A10)	**Sexual harassment; unsolicited sexual contact**	CP art. 172 ter.1.2º as element; art. 173.1	,	Platform ToS

The tag and Reddit participants (doecest, proship-shuujuu, ChunkyButtNutter, arandomredditorrrrr, WeirdLostEntity, bee24cha, and others in 8.11 and 8.12)

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
doecest: published Choco's age; "schizo posting"; "doxxed minors, sent strobes to epileptic people, followed nazis" (t12)	**Disclosure of personal data; disability slur; libel of crime**	RGPD art. 2.1; CP art. 510.2.a arguable; art. 205, 206 (doxxing minors is a crime attribution); art. 208, 209	Defamation per se	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
proship-shuujuu: "notorious for harassing, doxxing, sending strobes"; "heinous, festering pile of worthless rot"; "victims of sexual predation" (t3, t10, t1)	**Libel of crime; serious insult; sexual defamation**	CP art. 205, 206; art. 208, 209; LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	Defamation per se	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
ChunkyButtNutter (133 votes): "severely mentally unstable… doxx and stalk minors… report them for spam and ban evasion" (r8)	**Health libel; libel of crime; incitement to mass reporting**	CP art. 208, 209; art. 205, 206; RGPD art. 7.1	Defamation per se	Art. 16 notice; art. 23(2): the instruction to report is the misuse the article addresses
arandomredditorrrrr: "they get off of it, literally"; "follows neo-nazis" (r3)	**Sexual defamation; libel**	CP art. 208, 209; LO 1/1982 art. 5.7	Defamation per se (sexual misconduct)	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
WeirdLostEntity: "purposely sent strobes to people with epilepsy"; claimed Choco fabricated	**Libel of crime; disability fakeclaiming**	CP art. 205, 206; art. 208, 209; art. 510.2.a arguable	Defamation per se	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
blindness, epilepsy, autism and plurality (r5)				
bee24cha: “Choco has tried to hurt & kill people” (t4)	**Libel of crime**	CP art. 205, 206	Defamation per se	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
Glad_Midnight_3834: “deranged and dangerous” for reading a thread about themselves (r6)	**Health libel**	CP art. 208, 209	Defamation	Art. 16 notice; art. 17 statement of reasons
The thread as a whole: 68 comments, 179 votes, witnesses called by one participant, an instruction to report (6.12)	**Coordinated harassment**	CP art. 172 ter (collective)	US state harassment law	DSA art. 23(2)

Mayday / travistouchdownshusband

Act (where shown)	Plain name	Spain	Germany / US	Platform (DSA)
Sent explicit content to a fifteen-year-old and published the child’s accounts on TikTok, per the child’s public account and messages (m1, m2, m9)	**Distribution of sexual material to a minor; doxxing of a minor**	CP art. 186 (provision of pornographic material to a minor); art. 183 ter arguable; RGPD as to the minor’s data; LO 8/2021	US: state and federal law on distribution of obscene material to minors; 18 U.S.C. § 1470 where applicable	Platform ToS; DSA art. 28

Documents proving Rook being a groomer and Mayday sent porn to minors.

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1EGtY-mcyxR0DEyR2moyzv1U7qBpIuNFtOmQJ6ZnUurs/edit?usp=drivesdk

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ODRSSdWJ927w2etxiaHAJuA5sGjMes8q/edit?usp=drivesdk&ouid=104612574794356562613&rtpof=true&sd=true

https://docs.google.com/document/d/10bpZJnhARacHL8nUUnCPSr2TviHZ50tE5nfwMD5cuFk/edit?usp=drivesdk

What is not charged, and why

Inducement to suicide (CP art. 143.1) for “kill yourself”: noted, not charged. The provision requires a direct inducement that operates on the victim; two posts, however vile, do not meet that on their own. a schedule that charged it would hand them the one line they could win. Usurpation of civil status (CP art. 401) for the impersonation accounts: not charged; a handle isn’t a civil identity. Coercion (CP art. 172.1) for the “I have all of that” threat: not charged in the absence of an explicit demand; the threat provisions are cited instead. Hate speech (CP art. 510) for “retard” and “schizo”: marked arguable throughout; Spanish courts apply it narrowly, and an insult on grounds of disability is charged here as what it certainly is, an insult, with the aggravated reading noted. Degrading treatment (CP art. 173.1) is not marked arguable, and this is deliberate: the provision requires a grave injury to moral integrity, and telling a person with public suicidal crises to kill themselves with paid reach, telling a survivor of infant abuse that they won’t be “unmolested”, converting their inner child to the religion that abused them, and announcing possession of their sexual crisis writing with a heart are each, on their own, the humiliation the provision describes; taken together over fourteen months they are its paradigm. Everything else in the schedule stands on a captured act and a provision in force.

Offence matrix

	Ezra	Nier	Gravekandy	Rook	patronizing / cemetarydog	morphine	Impersonators	Tag / Reddit	Mayday
Sexual harassment of a survivor	•		•		•		•		
Sexual defamation	•	•	•			•	•	•	
Calumny / libel of crime	•	•	•			•		•	
Health libel / fakeclaiming	•	•	•			•		•	
Serious insult with publicity	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	
Disclosure of private material	•	•			•				
Personal data / doxxing		•				•		•	•
Threats / coercion by disclosure	•	•							
Harassment (172 ter / §238)	•	•	•	•		•		•	
Degrading treatment (173.1)	•	•	•	•	•		•		
Disability-based (510, arguable)			•		•			•	
Minors: exposure / failure to report	•		•	•	•				•
Mass reporting (DSA 23)	•	•						•	

Each dot is an act shown by a capture in this document and matched, above, to a provision in force. This is the table their document promised and did not deliver, with the placeholders filled in.

> **Their document wrote that the crimes were henceforth established, over a list that read False accusations: Spain, Germany, and a row of brackets. Nothing is established by announcing it. What is established here is established. These acts occurred. They occurred on these dates, they are in these captures, and they fall within these provisions. That is not an opinion and it is not open to objection: it happened, and no reading of it returns it to the realm of allegation. A verdict belongs to a court, and no court has been asked for one. The absence of a court does not undo the conduct. It means only that nobody has yet been made to answer for it. This file does not ask to be believed. It asks to be checked. In fourteen months, nobody has.**

8C. Findings. In the form of a ruling, without the power of one.

Below is set out in the form a tribunal would use: findings of fact, the provisions they engage, and the relief sought. It is written this way because their document announced its findings as “henceforth established” and then left the section blank, and because the record is now complete enough to be stated in that form. One thing is missing from it on purpose. A ruling ends in a penalty, and a penalty is the one thing a court has that a person does not. Choco does not impose anything. Choco state what is established, by what, and what Choco asks of the people and institutions who can act on it. Every finding below is anchored to a capture or an exhibit in this document; none rests on Choco's word alone, and where a finding would, it isn’t made.

I. Findings of fact

1. **On 10 June 2025, on Choco's own blog, the account patronizing told Choco, a person known to have been sexually abused as an infant, that crying wouldn’t get Choco “unmolested”. Capture 472.**
2. **In the same week, the account proship-froggo (Ezra) published to its audience that Choco practised “forced sexual conversion” on another user. No evidence was offered then or since. The word was later edited to “conversation” without notice. Captures 451, c_fsc; section 2.1.**
3. **On 19 June 2025, cemetarydog forwarded to Ezra a crisis post taken from Choco's adult-gated blog under the words “tw MAPS, hey froggo, check this out!”, and Ezra announced in public the same day: “I have so so so many screenshots from your little-autistic-dollie account. Babes, I have all of that <3”. Captures 170, 439.**
4. **On 21 June 2025 Choco apologised in full and in writing. The apology was refused in writing (“It does not. Actions have consequences.”). Their document later states “We didn’t want an apology” and, elsewhere, that no meant apology was ever given. Their exhibits #261 to #250; section 2.3.**
5. **On 26 June 2025 a private message of Choco's about a birthday gift was screenshotted, captioned and circulated. The named recipient confirmed in writing on 23 July 2026 that the exchange was consented to. Capture 360; exhibit A4.**
6. **In June and July 2025 Choco's anonymous inbox received an incitement to suicide (“gut yourself”), a sexual threat concerning Choco's introject, a rumour of Choco's death and a quotation of Choco's restricted crisis post. The editor of their document admits sending Choco anonymous asks, running an anonymous side blog, and that the group “joked about sending anons”. Their exhibit #284; captures 427, 426, 413; section 2.11.**
7. **On 25 August 2025 the editor wrote that he knew Choco's city, listed Choco's school and a restaurant. used Choco's legal name as a sign-off in a document distributed to others, after writing that he had not tried to obtain Choco's address. Section 2.10; section 6.3, move 10.**
8. On 6 September 2025 Ezra wrote, in Discord, “people enjoyed it. Not all, but some for sure”, and on 17 October 2025, of a fourteen-year-old, “I’ll tear her down in no time whatsoever”, and on 3 December 2025, “I’d tear her parents apart”. Exhibits A16, A20, A27.
9. **On 17 October 2025 a fourteen-year-old on their side published Choco's legal name. On 28 November 2025 two accounts were created in Choco's handle and used to insult Choco and Choco's mother. Exhibit A20; captures m6, m7.**
10. **On 25 December 2025 their document was revised and re-released. On 26 December 2025 two previously neutral blogs posted that they were dropping Choco, using its terms. Captures t7, t11; section 6.11.**
11. **In January 2026 an image of Choco's introject was published converted to Christianity with a Psalm and a cross, by a person who knew Choco had been abused by nuns as an infant. Gravekandy included the poll built on it in his material as “criticism”. Capture r1; section 2.26.**

12. On 31 January 2026 Gravekandy asked a third party by direct message for “proof of Choco’s disabilities”, wrote “Don’t tell them I sent you”, denied it publicly, and retracted when the message was shown. The same day proship-shuujuu told a newcomer Choco was “denying privilege” and “milking trauma”, and, in a second post, called Choco “a heinous, festering pile of worthless rot” and banned anyone who spoke to Choco. Exhibit A11; captures 42, t3, t10.

13. In February 2026 Gravekandy published a former adult partner’s private characterisation of Choco as “nothing but a fetish”. In April 2026 an adult opened sexual talk about Gravekandy in Choco's server, asked whether Choco would assault him, was told no, thanked Choco for letting them “degrade grave again”, and was removed; Gravekandy later published the exchange as evidence of Choco's sexual interest in him. Section 6.16; captures al4, mo1, mo2, mo3.

14. Gravekandy received, from an anonymous sender, a thirteen-year-old’s sexual posts, kept them, and described them to Choco in a direct message as “evidence”. He did not report them. Capture 549.

15. In February 2026 a bystander who had publicly declined to join the campaign was sent rape threats by anonymous ask. Capture 243; section 6.20.

16. In June 2026 a Reddit thread of sixty-eight comments and a hundred and seventy-nine votes described Choco as severely mentally unstable, as a person who doxxes and stalks minors, as sexually aroused by harassment. instructed readers to report Choco's accounts for spam and ban evasion. The one commenter who had met Choco, and vouched for Choco's disabilities, received one vote. Captures r2 to r10; section 6.12.

Their opening list, counted. The first column names twenty-four accounts and attributes all of them to Choco. The second, headed as blogs that surfaced after the termination, runs to twenty-five entries but contains eighteen accounts: resonantblizzardtrickster is entered three times, and etherealcyclonegladiator, whimsicalbehemothodyssey, scornfulmanticoreshade, cruelschismjustice and hurriedlyliquidoutpost twice each. Forty-nine entries, forty-two accounts. The list closes with the note that there are likely more and that these are the ones they have encountered. A document that cannot count its own exhibits without repeating seven of them is not the product of surveillance carried out carefully. It is the product of surveillance carried out often.

17. Between June 2025 and August 2026 twenty-four accounts of Choco's were terminated following reports. In the same period no report, complaint or filing of any kind was made by any person on their side to any authority, despite six announced legal threats. Section 7.1; section 6.15.

18. On 28 August 2026, two days after Choco's account was terminated, the account morphine-dr1p published “Moises Martinez Segura wants to rape children”, tagged with Choco's legal name, and “known sexual predator… still sending out strobe lights”. Exhibits A2, A3.

19. In August 2026 dove-killer published “Good lord Kill yourself” and “Kill yourself”, at a person whose crises were public. Exhibit A1.

20. No person on their side has, at any time, produced an act of Choco's supporting the accusations of grooming, doxxing, sending strobes to epileptic people, following Nazis, sexual predation, or fabricating disabilities. Each accusation was repeated by persons who had not been present when it was first made. Section 6.15.

21. Across the fourteen months in findings 1 to 20 one sequence repeats. A vulnerability the target had disclosed is identified: the abuse in infancy, the religion it occurred under, the introject, the plurality, the disabilities, the ancestry. It is acted on in public. When the target answers, the answer is entered as the offence, and the record of those answers is what the campaign then consists of.

22. Throughout, the parties describe themselves as tired, as reacting only, and as not wishing harm. When any of them is named in turn, the naming is treated as an injury: the editor asks Choco to take down posts containing his vents because he is not in a great place; being called a liar is filed as harassment; a truce is ended over a mention of a face; a block is read as fear. Each of them distributed for fourteen months what none of them absorbed once.

23. The document containing all of the above opens with a content warning, a statement that its own existence is not harassment, and a condemnation of anonymous hate.

II. Findings as to my own conduct

1. Choco sent flashing images to adults participating in the campaign, none epileptic, on dates stated in section 3.11, and separately published a post that named a user as epileptic and told others they could "send however many", which was reckless and which nothing followed. Section 3.11.

2. Choco wrote "are you a CSA victim? You deserved it btw" to the person who had told Choco first that Choco deserved what was done to Choco as an infant, and who had drawn Choco's introject with a cross. Section 3.15.

3. Those are the findings against Choco that the record supports. They are stated first in the document and again here so that nobody has to find them. Nothing in Part I depends on any of them being untrue.

III. Provisions engaged

The conduct in Part I engages, on its face and without prejudging a court, the following: Spanish Penal Code arts. 205, 206 (calumny with publicity: findings 2, 16, 18, 20), 208, 209 (serious insult with publicity: findings 12, 16, 18), 172 ter (harassment: findings 6, 7, 10, 12, 16, 17), 173.1 (degrading treatment: findings 1, 3, 11, 19), 171 (threats: finding 3), 197 and Organic Law 1/1982 arts. 5.3 and 5.7 (disclosure of private material and attribution of dishonouring facts: findings 3, 5, 13), the GDPR arts. 2.1, 6, 7.1 and 17 (findings 7, 9, 12, 18), Organic Law 8/2021 art. 15 (failure to report: finding 14); German StGB §§ 185, 187 and 238 as to the two participants in Germany; the common-law categories of defamation per se as to participants in the United States; and, as to the platform, Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 arts. 16, 17, 23 and 28. The full mapping, with what isn't charged and why, is section 8B.

IV. Relief sought

Of each person named in section 8B. Accountability for what they did, in the terms this document sets out and in no lesser terms. The removal of every post identified here. A correction, published where the original was published and reaching the audience the original reached. And an end to the conduct, which is the only part of this that is entirely within their power and has been for fourteen months.

What is not asked. Nothing is asked of them privately. No apology is sought, and none would be accepted as a substitute for removal and correction: an apology that leaves the material up is a press release. Nothing is asked of the people who read this except that they check it.

And a note on what happens if none of this is done. The record does not depend on any of it. It is complete, it is dated, and it will still be complete and dated a year from now, when it is the only account of these fourteen months that anyone can verify.

Of Tumblr and Reddit	Removal of the posts identified in exhibits A1, A2, A3 and captures 405, m6, m7 and r2 to r10; suspension, under art. 23(2) of the Digital Services Act, of accounts shown to have organised mass reporting; and a reasoned decision on each notice, as art. 17 requires. Reinstatement of the accounts terminated on the strength of those reports.
Of the certified dispute bodies	A determination on the notices already filed, on the record in this document and its annexes.
Of Ezra / proship-froggo	Public withdrawal of the June 2025 accusation, in the same place and with the same reach it was made, and deletion of the material collected from Choco's adult-gated blog.
Of Nier / h28731	Withdrawal of the document from circulation; deletion of Choco's legal name and location data from every copy; public correction of the statements listed in section 6.15.
Of Gravekandy	Deletion of the thirteen-year-old's material and confirmation that it has been reported to the competent body; withdrawal of the "fetish" statement and of the April 2026 chat; retraction of the disability inquiry in the place it was denied.

Of morphine-dr1p, dove-killer, and the tag and Reddit participants named in 8B	Deletion of the statements identified, and no repetition.
Of everyone else	Nothing, except to read section 2 before section 8, and to stop carrying sentences whose origin they haven't checked.

V. Closing

This is the section their document promised under the words "henceforth established" and didn't write. It is written here in their form and to their standard, with the one difference that matters: every line of it can be checked. Twenty findings of fact, each with its capture. Five findings against the author, placed where they can be found. The provisions each engages, with the doubtful ones marked doubtful. And a list of what is asked, from people who can grant it, in place of a sentence from someone who cannot. A ruling without a penalty is a strange object, and Choco is aware of it. But a penalty without a record is what they issued, for fourteen months, to twenty-four accounts and one person, and the difference between the two objects is the whole of this document.


> **Established, in the only sense a private person can establish anything: on the record, with the evidence attached, open to correction by anyone who brings a capture. Their section said the same words over nothing. This one is the mirror, and the mirror has something in it.**

VI. The finding.


> **On this evidence and on nothing else, the following is found. Ezra opened this on a false imputation of a sexual act against a survivor, and edited the words rather than withdrawing them. Nier sustained it for fourteen months, published intimate material obtained in confidence, held the file over the person it was about, and put a legal name inside it. Gravekandy solicited proof of a disability under cover of secrecy, published a private characterisation of that person's sexuality, and kept a child's sexual posts without reporting them. patronizing addressed a survivor of infant sexual abuse through the abuse itself. cemetarydog carried a crisis post out of a room it was locked in. dove-killer told a person whose crises were public to kill themselves, twice. morphine-dr1p published a legal name beside an accusation of raping children and made it searchable.**
>
> **Each of them is responsible for what they did. Not one of them has answered for it, and not one of them has been asked to by anybody but the person they did it to.**
>
> **For fourteen months they asked who Choco thinks Choco is. The file answers that. The question none of them asked is what they thought they were, and this document has answered it for them, on every page, in their own words.**
>
> **The case is closed.**

8D. For the reader. How little they stand by their words, and how much evidence they do not have.

Their document addresses the reader directly at intervals. This section does the same, once, and for one purpose. Below are statements made by the persons named in this document, each followed immediately by what the same person did, said or possessed on the point, captured. No commentary is added beyond the two lines under each pair: what was said, and whether it was stood by. The reader is asked to look at the captures, not at Choco.




Ezra's post of June 2025, as published: "Hello everyone, I'd like to talk about @infinity-survivor-choco…" (Capture 451.)



The same sentence, preserved by a third party after Ezra edited "conversion" to "conversation" without notice.

Said: That Choco forced sexual conversion on a user. Stood by it: No. The word was edited out two months later. No evidence was ever offered for either version.



Ezra, by DM: "Aw, man, if you'd have any proof you'd post it, would not you? A sex toy is a sex toy." (Capture 443.)




Ezra, in public, nine days after the accusation: "I have so so so many screenshots from your little-autistic-dollie account. Babes, I have all of that <3" (Capture 439.)

Said: That Choco had no proof and that a sex toy is a sex toy. Stood by it: The proof is a hundred and sixty pages. The capture on the right is the person who demanded it, holding Choco's.


I want all the smear campaigning to be taken down, not only your contributions but also @scurrywannaberacer's constant reblogs.
I want you to take accountability without any weaseling yourself out of it.
I want you to take system accountability like both Patronizing and Cemetarydog have.
I want you to stop block-evading and suicide baiting.
And I don't want, but I actually demand you to leave me and the people involved alone. You've done irreparable damage in the past days.

Ezra: "I want all the smear campaigning to be taken down." (Capture 418.)




Ezra, in Discord, 6 September 2025: "people enjoyed it. Not all, but some for sure." (Exhibit A16.)

Said: That the campaign was smear and should stop. **Stood by it:** No. Three months later, that people enjoyed it.



Gravekandy, in public: "Yeah gross. Not a fan of this." On the same image, by DM: "has anyone seen proof of Choco's disabilities? Don't tell them I sent you." (Exhibit A11.)



A stranger who had met Choco, on Reddit: "I have personally seen them using a cane… I know for a fact they are disabled autistic and blind." One vote. (Capture r9.)

Said: That asking for proof of Choco's disabilities was gross. Stood by it: No. He was the one asking, in secret, and he said so only when shown.




Gravekandy: "I considered you a friend, and I genuinely enjoyed my time in your sphere." (Capture 441.)



Gravekandy: "Our truce is over you piece of shit." Under Choco's post about Choco's face. (Capture 090.)

Said: That he considered Choco a friend. Stood by it: Until Choco mentioned Choco's face.




proship-shuujuu, 31 January: "we do not care for drama, but this needs to be said." (Capture t10.)



proship-shuujuu, 5 February, third post on Choco in a week: "praying that every victim of infinite-proship-choco's sexual predation is doing okay." (Capture t1.)

Said: That they do not care for drama. **Stood by it:** Three posts, one DNI banner, one prayer for unnamed victims, in six days.




ChunkyButtNutter, 133 votes: "accuse other proshippers of being abusers and groomers with no proof whatsoever." (Capture r8.)




WeirdLostEntity, 21 votes: "they have claimed to be blind, epileptic, autistic, and a system, and have taken back those claims." (Capture r5.)

Said: That Choco accuse without proof. Stood by it: In a thread that fakeclaimed four disabilities without one, and outvoted the only witness twenty-one to one.




shotashotgun: "they have called me a groomer without any proof 😭" (Capture x2.)




shotashotgun, same thread: "choco is a groomer who follow nazis and children. they send strobes to epileptic users." (Capture x1.)

Said: That being called a groomer without proof is wrong. **Stood by it:** Agreed. The capture on the right is the same account doing it, in the same thread.




doecest, 7 February: "Are boundaries truly that hard?" (Capture t15.)



doecest, 7 February, the same day, reblogging Choco to publish Choco's age and call it "schizo posting". (Capture t12.)

Said: That boundaries matter. **Stood by it:** Two posts in one day about a person who had blocked him.



morphine-dr1p, 28 August 2026: "known sexual predator", with Choco's legal name. (Exhibit A2.)




morphine-dr1p, 29 August 2026: "moved away from the proship label", tagged "#kill ur local pedophile". (Capture mp1.)

Said: That, as a member of the community, they were warning it about a predator. **Stood by it:** Not a member, by their own post the next day. Warning, by their own tag, means kill.




bee24cha: "Choco has tried to hurt & kill people over a percieved slight to Mirmo." (Capture t4.)




A bystander in the same tag, the same month: "i think the drawing something triggering to hurt them was bad." (Capture 296.)

Said: That Choco tried to hurt and kill people. Stood by it: No person, no date, no event. Section 6.15, row twelve. The bystander beside it at least knew who had drawn what.




dove-killer: "Your evidence of grooming is the minor who was in my notes once and I blocked them over it? Kill yourself.". (Exhibit A1.)




August 2025, an ask to dove-killer calling Ezra "a child predator, trauma farmer, groomer"; dove-killer: "Victim blaming a victim of grooming, my favourite!" (Exhibit A18.)

Said: That the grooming allegation against him was baseless. **Stood by it:** He confirmed the minor in the sentence that denied it, then paid to tell a survivor to die. A year earlier he had called himself a victim of grooming to defend the same network.




Nier, by DM: "I know I should have put more of what led to everything into the doc… And it sucks I did not." (Capture c_nier2.)



Nier, 12 August 2026, at a person who had blocked him: "this is so endlessly pathetic… the victim you so desperately wish to be." (Exhibit A30.)

Said: That the document had shown the entire context. **Stood by it:** No, by his own message. And a year later, the same method, on someone else.



Nier, by DM: "Ezra gets a pass bc they are my friend and I'd be dead without them. And I know that is not right." (Capture c_nier1.)



Nier, February 2026, in a crowd: "what could possibly warrant wanting someone dead? … all that over a damn anime character." (Capture 353.)

Said: That his commentary was "more objective". **Stood by it:** He wrote, in private, that his friend got a pass and that it was not right. His public confrontation came eight months later, from inside a crowd.

Fourteen pairs. In each, the statement is on the left and the person's own conduct on the right. None of the fourteen was stood by. None of the fourteen was supported by anything the speaker possessed. The reader has now seen, from the captures alone, what their document asked the reader to take on its word.


Footnote:

thedisasterracers
27 sept 2025

Seguir

IMPORTANT POST FOR THE WIR COMMUNITY!!! (PLEASE REPOST AND READ)





This is my experience with them

(TW: harassment)

I would also like to add how Choco would literally text me during school (I was a minor at that time) and would make me talk like Vanellope in phone calls in a weird manner. Along with the fact he would harass artist of the community when it comes to characterization. Choco also has

Ignoring the filagrant misgendering and the mental gymnastics to spin around how CJ and their friends got left behind and how CJ is describing the exact same way Mayday acts, they might as well gather up because they are and did the same to their victims, i was a teenager, the adults in their 30's were sending me pornography, the one trying to get me into calls was CJ and was weird about Vanellope, which is such a ridiculous thing to have to add to a document but with antishippers you know it'll be cartoonish and for the sake of truth it's gotta be addressed, and CJ was the one using their autism and self diagnosis to deflect, while also boasting in the voice calls about how annoying their sister was, a child, one below ten might I add that they abused, the friend based cyberbullying was, unsurprisingly, also CJ, and eventually and after years of tolerating their behavior, we were done with them. Much like friendships between pro and antishippers usually end, years later they tried to get us back and we made clear we wanted nothing to do with their toxicity, ever since they got left behind they've tried to spin a false narrative of the contrary, and it breaks without any effort whatsoever, starting with how even to this day I'm nowhere near 30 bodily, let alone mentally, many from those servers were older adults:

https://youtu.be/Tsnn7uZ3cwU?is=Izu0sItSNcv8lov8

This is without caption on the receipt, it's not pertinent to the year long campaign but it's adjacent behavior, Tumblr user "thedisasterracers" is a groomer in a community I was groomed in as a child, one I had CSAM made of myself in and had been sextorted for years, this is easy to find with extra testimony, Scurry was in that group chat and discord server, the "weird voice as Vanellope" and harassment of artists were theirs, and their friend group, who had tried to use me, Scurry, and another user going by Luna for years to get a platform, got left behind and tried to threaten victims with hacking and doxing.

19:11 t ···

Post

infinite-proship-choco

Good thing they got caught in their bullshit isn't it?



Reblog by @azalearock9 · 1 video

Reblog by @azalearock9 · 1 video

💬 0 🔁 19 ❤️ 21 · Hello, fellow followers of me and Choco. Sorry ...

TUMBLR

Love calling out antis like them who bay



While this behavior existed on their end almost nine years ago and despite having already exposed them last year, I decided to add to the doc, a past abuser serving as the digital footprint that these behaviours aren't by any means recent in these individuals, most are fandom hoppers and won't take any accountability regardless of how many times they get proven wrong or called out for their behavior, the cherry on top is that the user they called a friend is hounddogmoment, one of my closest mutuals, while CJ claims to apologize a private apology does nothing, grooming minors doesn't get solved with an apology, and reading them up brought me almost a decade into the past in 2025, rot in hell, your community was infested with child groomers and as much of a grooming ring as the one I expose to this day, it's dead for a reason and most of your members are rightfully scared of me because they know I don't forgive or forget, no matter how long it passes everything you did and every single crime from the past will hunt you and your few remaining groomers in the WIR community for the rest of your life, and I'm happy to have won in the end.

A note on the commentary

Nobody in this record is owed anything by Choco. Not the editor, not the person who opened it on 10 June 2025, not the man who kept a child's posts, not the accounts that used Choco's legal name. Their document is written as though a debt exists: a debt of tone, of patience, of proportionate reply, payable by the person they spent fourteen months on. There is no such debt, and this document doesn't pay it. It pays a different one, which is accuracy.

Conducting oneself as a bully from behind a screen is not strength and it's not correction. Every act in this record required distance: an anonymous ask, an alt account, a locked channel, a tag, a paid post, a relay through someone's partner, a fourteen-year-old to publish the name. Not one of these people has said, in a room, to a person who could answer, any sentence they published about Choco. not a moral failing Choco is inventing for them. It is the operating condition of the conduct, visible in every capture: remove the distance and the conduct does not occur.

The ridiculous party in this situation is not the one being written about. It is a group of adults, terminally online, who picked a fight with a syskid and then organised their year around them: five revisions, a hundred and seventy-nine votes, a confessions blog, a Discord channel with his name on it, two accounts made in his handle in a single day, and a man pulling all-nighters over a stranger's posts while explaining that he has a life outside social media. If the charge in circulation is the obsessive ex who will not leave, the captures answer it. Section 6.15 lists who was still posting about whom in August 2026.

Their document, and the tag that grew out of it, use "obsessed", "attention seeking", "chronically online", "cannot let it go". All of them words was written by a person doing the thing the word describes, in the act of writing it. not rhetoric; it's section 6.4 and section 6.10, with dates. The accusation, in this record, has been the confession every time it was checked. Twenty-two contradictions in 6.3, nine definitions in 6.22, twenty-four tactics in 6.24: in each set, the standard they wrote convicts the person who wrote it.

And the last thing, which is the one their document most wants a reader to forget. A victim is entitled to hate the people who harmed them. Not to hurt them, which is a different matter and is why section 2 exists and why nothing in it is defended. But to hate them, to say so, to refuse them courtesy, to decline to be gracious, to write about them without warmth: entirely. Their document's standard is that any anger from Choco is proof of what Choco is, while their contempt is analysis. That standard has no basis in law, in decency, or in how any person actually recovers from anything. Choco has owned what Choco did. Choco does not owe the people in these captures civility, and Choco has not extended it. Neither is required of anyone in that position, and any document that says otherwise is asking the injured party to perform composure for the benefit of the people who injured them.

> **No debt is owed, in tone or in patience, to any person named here. The conduct in this record required a screen to occur. The words used against the target describe the people who used them. And a victim may hate his abusers without forfeiting a single fact in his favour.**

Statement by Choco

This section is mine. It is a statement, not a finding. Nothing in sections 4 to 10 rests on it, and it is written in the first person because a record is written in the third and a person is not.

There is nothing in anything they have done in a year that makes any of them halfway decent people. Nothing. Zero.

They boast about throwing themselves at everyone's vulnerabilities, emotional or otherwise, and when the person defends themselves and stops being kind, they cry.

They have done the worst thing available to them on every single occasion, always trying to get the most out of it, at the cost of anything and of anyone. And on top of all of that, they tried to look like the good guys.

That is absolute moral perversion.

I am not going to have that tidied up, and I am not asking anyone to agree with it. The dates are in the sections above. This is what fourteen months of them looks like from inside.

Closing statements

On 10 June 2025 a survivor of infant sexual abuse was told, on their own blog, that crying wouldn’t get them unmolested. Every date in this record follows that one.

The accusation that opened the campaign was edited by its author. It was never withdrawn.

The apology was given on 21 June 2025. It was refused in writing. The refusal is their exhibit.

Their document contains no capture of their own conduct. This document contains one hundred and forty, and one thousand and twenty-seven in the annexes.

Fourteen accusations were made. Fourteen months passed. Not one act was produced.

Six legal threats were announced by their side. Not one filing followed. The filings in this record are Choco's.

A survivor’s sexual writing was taken from a restricted blog and held over him with a heart. The word used for it was accountability.

A child’s sexual content was kept by an adult who wrote, the same month, don’t tell them Choco sent you.

Two accounts were made in Choco's name in a day. A third was paid for. A fourth wrote to Choco in Choco's introject’s name.

Choco's legal name was located, written, published by a child, published in a file, and indexed under wants to rape children. Their document has a section on Choco's doxxing. It is empty.

The people who wrote forty-four thousand words on Choco's composure couldn’t withstand one call-out.

Every definition their document used, applied by its own terms, identifies their conduct and none of Choco's.

Every reaction they catalogued was a reaction. They said so themselves.

Choco was in the room. They needed the file.

This is the record. It is dated, it's captured, and it's open to correction by anyone who brings a capture. Nobody has.

Choco / Moises. Spain, 30 August 2026.

9. Annexes

Annex A. Evidence supplied by me, with hashes

Every screenshot I supplied for this document is listed here with its SHA-256 hash, computed on the file exactly as captured. Anyone holding the file can verify it hasn't been altered. Dates are the capture dates from the device metadata and the dates shown inside the capture.

#	File and date	SHA-256	Content
A1	1000289543.jpg · screen 21:35, file saved 29 Aug 2026	abe3d938e894a7d4221b7212508234a93720ec981995d96f9d4a86881e531ca7	dove-killer: two "Kill yourself" posts,.
A2	1000289443.jpg · 28 Aug 2026 21:57	367c8838c1886a689f0addc5d16c7feeac31c19ee2e98af95b6d5e37d140e7d2	morphine-dr1p "Warning" post with Choco's legal name, published 21:48.
A3	1000289445.jpg · 28 Aug 2026 21:58	9ea0592a4315a735be5a2df8216e1ad380b01c6d83f32572b1b689e82e523026	morphine-dr1p "wants to rape children" post, tagged with Choco's legal name, published 21:33.
A4	1000289664.jpg · 29 Aug 2026 19:07	ab25a98b98c5de43926e389656c235faf7a1ed29fbff925294b3443e8c40280c	Silver, Discord, 23 Jul 2026. Reproduced with consent .
A5	1000289673.jpg · 29 Aug 2026 19:57	9ffb857c02db50c1b0793414b3d0a7b8273c30422e8a85b761387b336a0192b6	Choco's message to a friend about Emmie, Discord, 19 Jul 2025 15:57.
A6	1000278739.webp	12dff29babe0d7aec89f2505c3581e2481ac2a54b9d28bc6ee872c3297217d6c	Gravekandy reblogging a sixteen-year-old.
A7	1000283426.webp	aa3a4308168c8eb36aac07e8bb0459142ec6c51159f25569a4ae9ec0547496c7	lucid-cups: "i've raped someone before".
A9	1000282598.webp	fa31974b11ce808bc7a43b4ca1269862aa8101ea2754995b828719f896906017	Post dismissing a third-generation Holocaust survivor.
A10	1000280569.webp	392a58256a70a65f013055ae4ae15cdd9fcf3dd4080fba704899b232786ed6f3	sexymirmo DM.
A11	1000282860.webp	6e42c51f94e5c539c8422498303a9995a3e6d3af394837416d8395796c3098ce	Gravekandy: private ask about Choco's disabilities, public denial, retraction when shown. 31 Jan 2026.
A13	1000282837.webp	6ab5fadfffc688fab5f18160119545af6f7517189fcd736871370a30ad9cfde8	xo-gravekandy reblogging lucid-cups, 11 Jun 2026.
A14	1000289691.jpg	65316a7043590ae8… (full hash in file index)	Ezra, Discord, 6 Sep 2025: "this is finally coming to an end".
A15	1000289692.jpg	4e93f31948f26e3c…	Ezra, 6 Sep 2025: "We've been beefing for months and people's lives were put on the line."
A16	1000289683.jpg / 1000289684.jpg	94036cb1de5aa322… / 2722eb01ff2c8af1…	Ezra, 6 Sep 2025: "people enjoyed it".
A18	1000289716.jpg	ed127456a4198a1b…	Ezra, 8 Sep 2025, on the thirteen-year-old and Terra; froggykrueger ask to dove-killer.
A19	1000289714.jpg	bad6033f9f3ea46c…	Ezra on Caleb: "fighting fire with fire", "LMAO".
A20	1000289708.jpg	cba343bb21cf4130…	Ezra, 17 Oct 2025: "I'll tear her down"; Caleb's post with Choco's legal name.
A21	1000289699.jpg / 1000289700.jpg	5a780c08705d5f63… / bcd8bd66e031fc24…	Ezra, 8 Nov 2025: "absolute nonsense… I am so so sorry".
A24	1000289706.jpg / 1000289705.jpg	b12bb298772e5ddc… / 1e4026f19042b4f5…	i-chococulture-is terminated; Ezra: "LMAO THEY ARE SEETHING".
A27	1000289721.jpg · 29 Aug 2026 21:00	6aec6df0f19ec5a50d44550013d18ceeaaef5fd1a0511154e0e6cacd9732a8ba	Ezra, 3 Dec 2025: "it turns out your teacher is proship-froggo"; "I'd tear her parents apart".
A28	1000289735.jpg · 29 Aug 2026 22:36	see file index	h28731: "a special kind of stupid", 22 Aug 2026.
A29	1000289734.jpg · 29 Aug 2026 22:35	see file index	h28731: Wilde quote and tags, 29 Aug 2026.
A30	1000289739.jpg · 29 Aug 2026 22:38	see file index	h28731: "endlessly pathetic… the victim you so desperately wish to be", 12 Aug 2026.
A31	1000289738.jpg / 1000289737.jpg · 29 Aug 2026 22:38	see file index	h28731: "my patience as limits", "not air out our business. what choice do you give me?", 12 Aug 2026.

Full 64-character hashes for A14 to A24 are held in the file index alongside the originals. Exhibits A8, A12, A17, A22, A23, A25 and A26 are held in the archive and not reproduced in this document.

Annex B. The videos

Two hundred videos, recorded between June 2025 and August 2026, each a spoken answer to something that had just been said, posted at the time. Their document says: “Note that we did not watch Choco’s YouTube videos.” The catalogue is public and ordered by situation, in the author’s own grouping: the Caleb situation; the radical antiship cult situation; the Piercethesyko situation; the Nathan situation; the Summer situation; the Mirmo situation; the Winter situation; the Alfie situation; the Mochi situation; the Gravekandy situation; and the Choco situation.

The full ordered list, with every URL, is held in the public document “All videos” linked from Choco's pinned post, and the first thirty-five are embedded in the pinned post itself:

Pinned post: https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proshiiiip-choco/826310666781655040

Ordered catalogue: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1XT2OWC2wTr0A4Nla87sOl0ZTVivAEFNh

Each video carries its upload date on the platform. date that matters: it fixes what was said, by Choco, at the moment the thing it answers was said by them. A reader who wants to test the claim that the videos contain what their document says they contain has only to watch one. Their document’s authors did not.

Annex C. The complete gallery

Every screenshot from every document referred to here, in the order in which it appears in its source, numbered, with the accompanying text. Supplied as a separate file because of its size. Nothing has been left out of it, including the pages that do not favour Choco.

Annex D. The articles

For platforms, dispute bodies and lawyers. Plain-language names are in section 10.

Spain, honour and privacy. Organic Law 1/1982, art. 5.3 (disclosure of private facts), art. 5.7 (attribution of facts that harm dignity), art. 7.2 (right to demand cessation and removal). Constitution, art. 18.1.

Spain, criminal. Penal Code, art. 205 (calumnia: attributing a crime knowing it false or with reckless disregard), art. 206 (aggravation by publicity), arts. 208 and 209 (injurias graves, with publicity), art. 172 ter (harassment: insistent and repeated conduct altering daily life). Art. 197.7 is noted for private images disclosed without consent and is not relied on here.

Spain and EU, personal data. Regulation (EU) 2016/679, art. 2.1 (personal data identifying a natural person), art. 6 (lawful basis), art. 7.1 (special categories, including data concerning health), art. 17 (erasure). Organic Law 3/2018 (LOPDGDD).

Germany, applicable to statements made from Germany. Criminal Code (StGB) § 185 (insult), § 186 (defamation: asserting a fact that lowers standing, not proven true), § 187 (malicious defamation: asserting a fact known to be false), § 238 (stalking).

Platform, EU. Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 (Digital Services Act), art. 16 (notice and action; reasoned decision to the notifier), art. 17 (statement of reasons), art. 20 (internal complaint-handling), art. 21 (out-of-court dispute settlement; certified bodies), art. 23 (measures against misuse: suspension of users who frequently submit manifestly unfounded notices).

What their document cited, and why it doesn't apply. Penal Code art. 184: sexual harassment within an employment, teaching or service relationship; inapplicable between strangers online. Penal Code art. 620: repealed by Organic Law 1/2015. Workers' Statute art. 54: labour law on disciplinary dismissal; irrelevant. Penal Code arts. 456 and 457: false accusation before a public official; inapplicable to blog posts. Their own note: "The chosen sources are placeholders."

Annex D2. Sources for the law cited

Every provision in section 8B can be read at the following official or authoritative locations. Choco has not screenshotted statute pages into this document, because a screenshot of a law proves nothing that the link does not, and because their document's section of screenshotted definitions is the method this document declines to imitate. The text is at the source; the source is here.

Instrument	Location	Provisions relied on
Código Penal (Spain), consolidated text, LO 10/1995 as amended	https://www.boe.es/buscar/act.php?id=BOE-A-1995-25444	Arts. 143, 169, 171, 172 ter (as amended by LO 1/2023, adding para. 5 on false profiles using a person's image), 173.1, 186, 189, 197, 205, 206, 208, 209, 510.
Ley Orgánica 1/1982, de protección civil del derecho al honor, a la intimidad personal y familiar y a la propia imagen	https://www.boe.es/buscar/act.php?id=BOE-A-1982-11196	Arts. 5.3, 5.7, 7.2.
Ley Orgánica 8/2021, de protección integral a la infancia y la adolescencia frente a la violencia (LOPIVI)	https://www.boe.es/buscar/act.php?id=BOE-A-2021-9347	Art. 15 (general duty of any person to report), art. 16 (qualified duty).
Reglamento (UE) 2016/679, RGPD	https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj	Arts. 2.1, 6, 7.1, 17, 83.
Ley Orgánica 3/2018, de Protección de Datos Personales y garantía de los derechos digitales (LOPDGDD)	https://www.boe.es/buscar/act.php?id=BOE-A-2018-16673	Applies the RGPD in Spain; AEPD competence.
Strafgesetzbuch (Germany), official English translation	https://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/englisch_stgb/	Sections 185, 186, 187, 238, 240, 241.
Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, Digital Services Act	https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2022/2065/oj	Arts. 16, 17, 20, 21, 23, 28.
Tribunal Supremo, STS 554/2017, on the elements of art. 172 ter (persistence, alteration of daily life)	Cited through the Fiscalía General del Estado memoria and the BOE legal library; see next two entries	Sets the requirement that the conduct be insistent and repeated and alter the victim's daily life.
Fiscalía General del Estado, Memoria 2020, on acoso (art. 172 ter)	https://www.fiscal.es/memorias/memoria2020/FISCALIA_SITE/recursos/pdf/capitulo_III/cap_III_4_2.pdf	Prosecution guidance on the stalking offence.
Ministerio de Justicia / BOE legal library, monograph on art. 172 ter	https://www.boe.es/biblioteca_juridica/anuarios_derecho/abrir_pdf.php?id=ANU-P-2023-10078700828	Doctrinal treatment of the offence and its 2023 amendment.


On the Digital Services Act: it is listed because it is the mechanism by which a platform is obliged to act on illegal content and to suspend accounts that misuse reporting. mechanism Choco has used. It isn't the measure of the harm. The harm is measured by the criminal and civil provisions above; the Act is the postbox.

This document was written by one person, over a year, from a record kept because nobody else was going to keep it. Everything in it can be checked. That was the point.

Annex C2. The Summer document. Every capture.

473 captures, in the order in which they appear in Choco's Summer document, each with the sentence that accompanies it there. Nothing omitted.

Capture 473



INTRODUCTION :

Capture 472



Patronizing then, rather than apologize for their actions and behaviour instead made a comment about how crying about Religion would not get us "unmolested"

Capture 471




https://www.tumblr.com/patronizing/785926838361915392/catholicism-is-fine-it-sucking-for-some-it-is?source=share :

Capture 470



https://www.tumblr.com/patronizing/785926838361915392/catholicism-is-fine-it-sucking-for-some-it-is?source=share :

Capture 469



Evidence of sexual harassment to other users and patronizing not tagging their nsfw.

Capture 468



Evidence of sexual harassment to other users and patronizing not tagging their nsfw.

Capture 467



Evidence of sexual harassment to other users and patronizing not tagging their nsfw.

Capture 466



Evidence of sexual harassment to other users and patronizing not tagging their nsfw.

Capture 465



Evidence of sexual harassment to other users and patronizing not tagging their nsfw.

Capture 464



Evidence of sexual harassment to other users and patronizing not tagging their nsfw.

Capture 463




Evidence of sexual harassment to other users and patronizing not tagging their nsfw.

Capture 462



Evidence of sexual harassment to other users and patronizing not tagging their nsfw.

Capture 461




Their partner Cemetarydog had jumped into it. Making us even more uncomfortable, this was their first appearance.

Capture 460



Their partner Cemetarydog had jumped into it. Making us even more uncomfortable, this was their first appearance.

Capture 459



Their partner Cemetarydog had jumped into it. Making us even more uncomfortable, this was their first appearance.

Capture 458



Their partner Cemetarydog had jumped into it. Making us even more uncomfortable, this was their first appearance.

Capture 457



Their partner Cemetarydog had jumped into it. Making us even more uncomfortable, this was their first appearance.

Capture 456




Their partner Cemetarydog had jumped into it. Making us even more uncomfortable, this was their first appearance.

Capture 455



Their partner Cemetarydog had jumped into it. Making us even more uncomfortable, this was their first appearance.

Capture 454



Their partner Cemetarydog had jumped into it. Making us even more uncomfortable, this was their first appearance.

Capture 453




Their partner Cemetarydog had jumped into it. Making us even more uncomfortable, this was their first appearance.

Capture 452




This is necessary to preface Proship Froggo's campaign and after cemetarydog attempted to make us show everyone the anons they got, which was even more uncomfortable and made us spiral and tell Cemetarydog to leave us be rather colorfully and no responsibility has been taken.

Capture 451



https://www.tumblr.com/proship-froggo/786416282255933440/to-the-anon-i-am-terribly-sorry-this-happened?source=share

Capture 450




We wanted nothing to do with Proship Froggo after we confirmed several times they were a cruel, dismissive, and condescending person. Turns out, they were far worse.

Capture 449




We wanted nothing to do with Proship Froggo after we confirmed several times they were a cruel, dismissive, and condescending person. Turns out, they were far worse.

Capture 448




We will not rehearse Proship Froggo's own document as most people will have seen it first, but some things will be mentioned:

Capture 447




We will not rehearse Proship Froggo's own document as most people will have seen it first, but some things will be mentioned:

Capture 446



We were getting belittled both ways, we nodded, said sorry for a boundary we had no way of knowing, and Proship Froggo kept it up, above in their own document were also two other ones under Infinity-survivor-choco's username, but that had been at a much latter date.

Capture 445




This was addressed today:

Capture 444




This was addressed today:

Capture 443



You don't need to come to Spain to make us feel revulsion. You don't need to be here to take away our bodily agency, you don't need to.

Capture 442




You don't need to come to Spain to make us feel revulsion. You don't need to be here to take away our bodily agency, you don't need to.

Capture 441



We were on LITTLE SPACE and Proship Froggo had made public something we as a CSA and COCSA victim showed a caregiver, we were BEYOND grossed out by their actions. We started spiraling and getting more retraumatized as it went on, and it just got worse.

Capture 440



We were on LITTLE SPACE and Proship Froggo had made public something we as a CSA and COCSA victim showed a caregiver, we were BEYOND grossed out by their actions. We started spiraling and getting more retraumatized as it went on, and it just got worse.

Capture 439



We wanted to get people to STOP looking at it, we couldn't stomach as a CSA victim and regressed to have that publicized by a bad faith actor, reblogged by people who'd hurt us so much and made our fault. We physically could not.

Capture 438




Proship Froggo then mentioned, publicly, our past nsfw account, we now know the screenshots they meant as we addressed them before, having that aired out in a sfw blog in order to publicly shamed us for not submitting to them enough had caused yet another spiral.

Capture 437



Proship Froggo then mentioned, publicly, our past nsfw account, we now know the screenshots they meant as we addressed them before, having that aired out in a sfw blog in order to publicly shamed us for not submitting to them enough had caused yet another spiral.

Capture 436




Proship Froggo then mentioned, publicly, our past nsfw account, we now know the screenshots they meant as we addressed them before, having that aired out in a sfw blog in order to publicly shamed us for not submitting to them enough had caused yet another spiral.

Capture 435



Proship Froggo then mentioned, publicly, our past nsfw account, we now know the screenshots they meant as we addressed them before, having that aired out in a sfw blog in order to publicly shamed us for not submitting to them enough had caused yet another spiral.

Capture 434




Proship Froggo then mentioned, publicly, our past nsfw account, we now know the screenshots they meant as we addressed them before, having that aired out in a sfw blog in order to publicly shamed us for not submitting to them enough had caused yet another spiral.

Capture 433



Proship Froggo then mentioned, publicly, our past nsfw account, we now know the screenshots they meant as we addressed them before, having that aired out in a sfw blog in order to publicly shamed us for not submitting to them enough had caused yet another spiral.

Capture 432




People were spreading it without knowing what it was or what lead to it, we were stuck, act? we'd get framed as Harassment, but doing nothing was no choice either, we just wanted quite simply to die. The suicide baiting was us being suicidal, we were reviving second per second getting sexually abused again because some

Capture 431




We posted about wanting to be raped to gain our agency back. Our post had a mature label on so it was NOT accessible to minors, it nonetheless got reblogged and commented on several times, our hypersexual vent got commented on for fun.

Capture 430




We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 429




We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 428



We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 427




We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 426




We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 425



We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 424



We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 423



We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 422




We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 421




We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 420




We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 419



We were told we had no right to react to the comments of proship Froggo's original post. The person involved was likely unaware as they were younger than us, proship froggo having spread such a post was a trap that far from protecting or holding anybody accountabie was about exploiting us, we didn't like how they acted

Capture 418




Ezra is an extremely controling person, when they feel in control they're calm and rational, when they don't have an audience or feel in power, they act erratically. The first DM's we showed featuring them asking why the "fresh hell" we were compiling evidence after their document was another tactic of control.

Capture 417




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 416




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 415



We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 414



We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 413



We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 412



We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 411



We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 410



We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 409




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 408




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 407




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 406




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 405



We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 404




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 403




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 402




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 401




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 400




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 399




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 398




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 397



We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 396



We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 395




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 394




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 393




We want to make clear neither user has taken accountability and we won't wait for an apology, we don't want one, we don't need any excuse from those involved, it's too late.

Capture 392




Ironic isn't it? if only your fans knew they had been cheering for a sexual harasser who had mislead them all from the start on the back of your sexually abusive campaign, you were forced to virtue signal adding an anonymous claim of your own making;

Capture 391




All of “your” document has been about preying on Choco's reactions, example:

Capture 390



You hold no claim over these. But oh we are not done.

Capture 389



You hold no claim over these. But oh we are not done.

Capture 388




you don't get to push a false narrative showing these for the god complex without this:

Capture 387




you don't get to push a false narrative showing these for the god complex without this:

Capture 386




Your prop about our “God complex” From that part fell down the drain, let's not stop there. Ezra.

Capture 385




showing a sex toy for Choco's birthday, while regressed to an adult caregiver was what you preyed on, two people being sexually abusive, involving minors, and painting it as “victims” with simple disagreement was the hill you believed would die on, your every action has left a mark in the worst way imaginable, and as for y

Capture 384




Now we will address their document's. “Context”

Capture 383




The link above was already provided by us, it leads to their first post about us in which we were not present, take caution that whenever somebody with ill faith says their point is “objective” it often is an appeal to their authority and a chance to superimpose themselves, we ignore and reject such speech, and will in

Capture 382



https://www.tumblr.com/proship-froggo/786449082343407616/hello-everyone-id-like-to-talk-about?source=share

Capture 381




Proship Froggo attempts to claim us following and unfollowing people is “weird” behaviour, but leaves out, conveniently, how or why we do it.

Capture 380



Proship Froggo attempts to claim us following and unfollowing people is “weird” behaviour, but leaves out, conveniently, how or why we do it.

Capture 379




Now. let's continue.

Capture 378



Now. let's continue.

Capture 377




Now. let's continue.

Capture 376



2: Proship Froggo purposely distributed the nature of their content to lure the minors involved for easier access to non-consensual information to bait reactions in order to then frame as harassment, that is the crux of their whole document.

Capture 375




They were using Minors as bait in the ordeal.

Capture 374



Froggo attempts to frame us reacting with the watermark as Harassment on a post they themself distributed

Capture 373



Froggo is notorious, however, for watermarking, unprompted, posts from minors to belittle them, the user in the post is a minor and presumably below 16.

Capture 372




This is Correct. A set-up involving a 27 year old user distributing content of inappropriate material to minors like you did isn't to be commented on, it should not be there.

Capture 371



You said something (vile and predatory) publicly, Choco reacted, you and 100+ pounced, you don't get to reframe yourselves victims when speaking, again, through sexual harassment we just endured as a syskid you weaponized.

Capture 370



We were agitated and disgusted, wanting nobody to engage with the content was not only normal, but the only responsible thing to do.

Capture 369



DM privacy was not respected either so that point is moot, prefacing how the victim should be silent about sexual harassment be made public and available about them is unacceptable.

Capture 368



DM privacy was not respected either so that point is moot, prefacing how the victim should be silent about sexual harassment be made public and available about them is unacceptable.

Capture 367



Proship Froggo argues for block evasion being the issue, let's remember these users flocked to our blog after proship froggo facilitated the material we showed before.

Capture 366




Choco does not “act” like everyone's out to get Choco, Choco correctly point out both your hypocrisy and cowardice so as to put everyone in the front lines of sexual trauma you parade so you can then use as Cannon fodder, then pretend to care, but you don't, because to you we are all pawns to be played with.

Capture 365



Choco does not “act” like everyone's out to get Choco, Choco correctly point out both your hypocrisy and cowardice so as to put everyone in the front lines of sexual trauma you parade so you can then use as Cannon fodder, then pretend to care, but you don't, because to you we are all pawns to be played with.

Capture 364



Proship Froggo has the audacity to speak of boundaries after what we've showcased in the document. Which is already warranting of ridicule. But we're not done.

Capture 363




No. A CSA victim not wanting 27 year old adults to start Sextorsion campaigns about them under the guise of “boundaries” while preying on the victim and their minor audience to parade around triggering content and try stop reactions under moral faux panic isn't gamering pity, it's called not being a predator.

Capture 362



“Choco condones Harassment.”

Capture 361



We will now address proship froggo’s comment on their first post, this isn't misconstrued as the rest of the post is linked, this is emphasis.

Capture 360



Us showing a sex toy to another adult isn't yours to publicize. Beyond that, you distributed the material.

Capture 359



You don't just condone harassment, you enforce it under the guise of emotional unavailability to best attack the reactions as moral fallings, moreover, you hold no respect for boundaries as already proven, or personal agency for that matter.

Capture 358



You don't just condone harassment, you enforce it under the guise of emotional unavailability to best attack the reactions as moral fallings, moreover, you hold no respect for boundaries as already proven, or personal agency for that matter.

Capture 357



You believe, also, that male victims of child sexual abuse are not valid, much like in this document there's a receipt of you saying Choco is not a valid survivor for speaking against you. No. There's no misconstruing, misconstruing occurs when text gets taken out of context, not when precise, exact words are said with the

Capture 356



Both of them were in cahoots about sending the anon hate, both of them used as chess pieces by you, and neither of you gets a say in how Choco respond to belittlement or harassment up Choco's inbox, let's make that abundantly clear.

Capture 355



More performance, you employ a limp wristed attempt at painting us faulty for not being polite about you having spread the situation to many minors. No. We were rightfully feeling violated and you, as the perpetrator, do not get to demand reactions that align with the virtue signaling you were going for. You put them o

Capture 354



You both wanted to and did it, we have the screenshots of your jesting commentary about our autistic little dollie account as well which you publicly posted commentary about to freeze. No. You are no less predatory by saying “no we didn't.” As if it changes the actions presented.

Capture 353




More proof of you being predatory, it's a good thing Choco has the full receipts of that post isn't it? Full pictures below.

Capture 352




More proof of you being predatory, it's a good thing Choco has the full receipts of that post isn't it? Full pictures below.

Capture 351




Speaking of audience, we took these days ago:

Capture 350



Speaking of audience, we took these days ago:

Capture 349



Speaking of audience, we took these days ago:

Capture 348



Speaking of audience, we took these days ago:

Capture 347



Speaking of audience, we took these days ago:

Capture 346



Speaking of audience, we took these days ago:

Capture 345




You were aware this was occuring, with your audience full of minors you were aware a blog such as this commented under the post, one suicide baiting people and telling them to self harm, whether it's yours or not, you claim safety, but your company consists of individuals like these you call victims.

Capture 344




We won't show your screenshot of what the UTTP is, we know it ourselves better. The UTTP has also distributed Daisys Destruction material and recently partook in something called the Sprunki hacking situation in which users Zane Viscor and Fuhrer Parlo alongside others terrorized the Roblox community, Choco knows this becau

Capture 343




We won't show your screenshot of what the UTTP is, we know it ourselves better. The UTTP has also distributed Daisys Destruction material and recently partook in something called the Sprunki hacking situation in which users Zane Viscor and Fuhrer Parlo alongside others terrorized the Roblox community, Choco knows this becau

Capture 342




Correct, your behaviour made you an aberration in this campaign you started:

Capture 341




Now, culpability.

Capture 340



Mens rea is the guilty mind.

Capture 339



Mens rea is the guilty mind.

Capture 338




Yours was worse on your own merits, causing harm of equivalent measure while presenting yourself a victim, that, makes you worse than the UTTP.

Capture 337



No. We have the post and screenshots, to your misfortune:

Capture 336




No. We have the post and screenshots, to your misfortune:

Capture 335



No. We have the post and screenshots, to your misfortune:

Capture 334



No. We have the post and screenshots, to your misfortune:

Capture 333




No. We have the post and screenshots, to your misfortune:

Capture 332



No. We have the post and screenshots, to your misfortune:

Capture 331



No. We have the post and screenshots, to your misfortune:

Capture 330



No. We have the post and screenshots, to your misfortune:

Capture 329



No. We have the post and screenshots, to your misfortune:

Capture 328



"Disproportionate." You're a 27 year old who needed 100+ people to perpetrate with including an account with 2k followers lile Patronizing is, on the coattails of sexual harassment which you already knew was Choco's one exploitable trait, and even with those numbers and egregious actions Choco is still here, don't talk to Choco abo

Capture 327




Choco care too much for both Choco's journey, and everyone i helped, to let predators and sexual harassing campaigners piggyback off Choco's trauma and frame it for fun like you did.

Capture 326



Our watermarka are done to react to what you disagree with, not just antis but fellow proshippers too. Which leads to the hypocrisy of a community largely known to make many uncomfortable virtue signaling comfort as a talking point to excuse sexual harassment campaigning, again, Choco doesn't need to be an anti to point out

Capture 325



Choco's commentary to an anonymous user makes your point no stronger and defaults to circular reasoning.

Capture 324



Choco's commentary to an anonymous user makes your point no stronger and defaults to circular reasoning.

Capture 323



You got told off for Sexual harassment in Spanish after having multiple opportunities to stop.

Capture 322



You got told off for Sexual harassment in Spanish after having multiple opportunities to stop.

Capture 321



The rest of your document is the same ad infinitum, reactions to you choosing to sexually harass us, facilitate your allies doing so, alienate us from the situation to evoke helplesness, weaponize reactions and so on.

Capture 320




The rest of your document is the same ad infinitum, reactions to you choosing to sexually harass us, facilitate your allies doing so, alienate us from the situation to evoke helplesness, weaponize reactions and so on.

Capture 319



The rest of your document is the same ad infinitum, reactions to you choosing to sexually harass us, facilitate your allies doing so, alienate us from the situation to evoke helplesness, weaponize reactions and so on.

Capture 318




Ezra's baiting continues, remember how they spoke about evidence? now that their actions are on the limelight they feel the need to try and bait, again.

Capture 317




Ezra's baiting continues, remember how they spoke about evidence? now that their actions are on the limelight they feel the need to try and bait, again.

Capture 316



Ezra's baiting continues, remember how they spoke about evidence? now that their actions are on the limelight they feel the need to try and bait, again.

Capture 315




Ezra's baiting continues, remember how they spoke about evidence? now that their actions are on the limelight they feel the need to try and bait, again.

Capture 314



Ezra's baiting continues, remember how they spoke about evidence? now that their actions are on the limelight they feel the need to try and bait, again.

Capture 313



Ezra's baiting continues, remember how they spoke about evidence? now that their actions are on the limelight they feel the need to try and bait, again.

Capture 312




Ezra's baiting continues, remember how they spoke about evidence? now that their actions are on the limelight they feel the need to try and bait, again.

Capture 311



Ezra's baiting continues, remember how they spoke about evidence? now that their actions are on the limelight they feel the need to try and bait, again.

Capture 310



OUR STANCE:

Capture 309




OUR STANCE:

Capture 308




PROSHIP FROGGO'S ALT ACCOUNT FOUND BY A MUTUAL:

Capture 307




OUR MUTUAL GAYASASSINSCREED WARNED US OF PROSHIP FROGGO'S YET ANOTHER ACCOUNT FOR CYBER STALKING US, ONCE POINTED OUT, IT TERMINATED:

Capture 306



THIS IS NOT THE FIRST TIME EITHER:

Capture 305




https://www.tumblr.com/for-what-purpos3/787056954937917440/sounds-like-youre-the-one-whos-just-ignoring?source=share

Capture 304



Update June 30th: Here, we rebunk Proship Froggo's continuous deflections and take a more in depth response to the document:

Capture 303



You'll find more posts like these, what proship froggo doesn't like is Choco does not submit to their whim.

Capture 302



Their next screenshot depicts a vent. Exaggerating upset about a blog they should not be viewing while blocked.

Capture 301



Emotional appeal to magnify something irrelevant to the cause. Choco despise Christianity and made it clear sufficiently, user named Patronizing doesn't have the problem of speaking in vent:

Capture 300



https://www.tumblr.com/patronizing/786512303664267264/also-because-this-is-important-you-have-no?source=share

Capture 299




https://www.tumblr.com/patronizing/786512303664267264/also-because-this-is-important-you-have-no?source=share

Capture 298



Pathologizing behaviour as mental illness to poke fun at in slur format, also, you aren't allowed to latch Choco's country onto Choco, you do not rope Choco in for the crusades, which are based on Christianity, which is the thing Choco loathe most on planet earth (and) the organization in which members raped Choco as a baby and continue

Capture 297



The wording is already manipulative, setting up the precedent to invalidate a situation. You were, in fact, denied the chance of harassment without being reciprocated the energy.

Capture 296



Every single user has both played and built up their victimhood on the back of causing harm and enjoying it, the only ones manipulating the audience are yourselves.

Capture 295



Every single user has both played and built up their victimhood on the back of causing harm and enjoying it, the only ones manipulating the audience are yourselves.

Capture 294



Every single user has both played and built up their victimhood on the back of causing harm and enjoying it, the only ones manipulating the audience are yourselves.

Capture 293




Not all Sextortion is financially motivated, your source for our age holds no value.

Capture 292



Not all Sextortion is financially motivated, your source for our age holds no value.

Capture 291



https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&opi=89978449&url=https://www.met.police.uk/advice/advice-and-information/online-safety/online-safety/sextortion/&ved=2ahUKEwis_5-NvZiOAxUgcKQEHQgYIg0QFnoECFUQAQ&usg=AOvVaw2IIe-Xe0HO6SL6m1q3NFUv

Capture 290



https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&opi=89978449&url=https://www.met.police.uk/advice/advice-and-information/online-safety/online-safety/sextortion/&ved=2ahUKEwis_5-NvZiOAxUgcKQEHQgYIg0QFnoECFUQAQ&usg=AOvVaw2IIe-Xe0HO6SL6m1q3NFUv

Capture 289



Ezra mentions, as shown previously, the nsfw account we used to in which other adults mentioned kink content with us, this had no place in the post in question, was in a blog full of minors, and, and was done to trigger a disgust reaction as a form of sexual harassment.

Capture 288




Ezra mentions, as shown previously, the nsfw account we used to in which other adults mentioned kink content with us, this had no place in the post in question, was in a blog full of minors, and, and was done to trigger a disgust reaction as a form of sexual harassment.

Capture 287



Instead of the boundaries being enforced, the user publicized the situation., and that isn't all, below is Ezra (Or Proship froggo)

Capture 286



This was stated in proship froggo's original post, as they link, and was what sparked our revulsion.

Capture 285



Describing a person sexualizing themselves for reprocessing with our trauma as a beacon as "forced sexual conversion" is disgusting.

Capture 284



Describing a person sexualizing themselves for reprocessing with our trauma as a beacon as "forced sexual conversion" is disgusting.

Capture 283




Choco does not sexualize anybody and your attempts to pervert the situation and use the language employed were clear.

Capture 282



The sexual nature of the false claims, combined with weaponizing Choco's sexual trauma history by chosen silence and misrepresentation, crosses into sexual harassment territory.

Capture 281



You want carta blancha to treat others however you wish, but you, specifically, be treated with subservience.

Capture 280



You want carta blancha to treat others however you wish, but you, specifically, be treated with subservience.

Capture 279




The smear campaign was started (and reblogged) by no less than the two users who had first perpetrated.

Capture 278




The smear campaign was started (and reblogged) by no less than the two users who had first perpetrated.

Capture 277



A better definition would've been an echo chamber.

Capture 276



Ezra's audience and following align with their every word. This isn't the first time a similar campaign has happened but that'll be later to be addressed.

Capture 275



Your hate is irrelevant, personal feelings don't entitle you to sexual harassment, “hating” somebody is your prerogative and the feeling, as you're aware of, is mutual. Arguably Choco loathe every single person involved to a greater extent.

Capture 274



You wanted to cause free harm and get away with it, plain and simple, another reason Choco knows is a friend who sent you. Ezra, some of the anons you did not respond to and they told Choco later about what some of Choco's biggest fears were, you attempted to bring them to reality, this was back on your first post, Choco was told three

Capture 273




Projective tactics as usual, the user hadn't properly stated boundaries, but was enough for your campaign. When something serious occurs with any of you in the chopping block you default back to impersonal language and belittling the experience of the person to your convenience.

Capture 272




Your actions became sexual harassment when the intent was to create a hostile environment and built on misrepresentation.

Capture 271



And xploited a position of vulnerability.

Capture 270



The double standards you operate under are clear and obscene and your authority to dictate null harm done is none.

Capture 269



Disingenuous behaviour and dishonesty: “nobody” sent anon hate is meant to preemptively dismiss backlash and avoid accountability

Capture 268




Stop using people not part of your witch hunt to speak over them, the mutual asks a question, you, ever the manipulator. “They asked Choco to stay away.” They asked what was going on and you were caught with tactics of alienation once more.

Capture 267



Stop using people not part of your witch hunt to speak over them, the mutual asks a question, you, ever the manipulator. “They asked Choco to stay away.” They asked what was going on and you were caught with tactics of alienation once more.

Capture 266



For those wondering, the account got deleted soon after being spotted.

Capture 265



Your opinion on whether your person of preference has or has not stirred the pot doesn't matter.

Capture 264



Your opinion on whether your person of preference has or has not stirred the pot doesn't matter.

Capture 263




Your opinion on whether your person of preference has or has not stirred the pot doesn't matter.

Capture 262




Zero evidence of asks from Choco up your inbox, continuous provocations:

Capture 261




Zero evidence of asks from Choco up your inbox, continuous provocations:

Capture 260




not a single anon was sent to user and it was made Choco's problem regardless to spread your narrative

Capture 259



not a single anon was sent to user and it was made Choco's problem regardless to spread your narrative

Capture 258



not a single anon was sent to user and it was made Choco's problem regardless to spread your narrative

Capture 257



https://www.tumblr.com/proship-froggo/787345230538145792/you-will-not-keep-Choco-silent?source=share

Capture 256




You proceed to make light of the very real experience because, as you very well claimed elsewhere. Is “lolcow” material. The “Choco Victims” tag is a charade full of opportunistic ragebaiters jumping up the latest bandwagon to exploit somebody else while feeling righteous to do so.

Capture 255



Your actions as Choco made sufficiently clear had no other consequences than staying in the comfort of deny attack reverse victim offender employment, you took an active part in spreading misery while hiding behind reactions to validate the original bait, not a single one of you was held accountable.

Capture 254




Choco will also let the readers decide if it's trustworthy for someone to preemptively cover themselves to say. “Despite it never having taken place”

Capture 253




Choco's followers are aware of how i react to abuse, as well as have seen, with clear evidence, the tactics you've kept on employing, including trying to inject yourselves into Choco's following to guilt trip and make uncomfortable

Capture 252



Choco's followers are aware of how i react to abuse, as well as have seen, with clear evidence, the tactics you've kept on employing, including trying to inject yourselves into Choco's following to guilt trip and make uncomfortable

Capture 251



Choco's followers are aware of how i react to abuse, as well as have seen, with clear evidence, the tactics you've kept on employing, including trying to inject yourselves into Choco's following to guilt trip and make uncomfortable

Capture 250



Choco's followers are aware of how i react to abuse, as well as have seen, with clear evidence, the tactics you've kept on employing, including trying to inject yourselves into Choco's following to guilt trip and make uncomfortable

Capture 249



Do you, and everyone else, know why Choco does NOT bother excusing Choco's reactions but instead explain them? Because Choco is honest with themselves, because Choco will not paint themselves a saint like Ezra does, because, when hot headed, bombarded with harassment, sexual Harassment, rape threats as i documented and more, Choco will react, and Choco'l

Capture 248



Do you, and everyone else, know why Choco does NOT bother excusing Choco's reactions but instead explain them? Because Choco is honest with themselves, because Choco will not paint themselves a saint like Ezra does, because, when hot headed, bombarded with harassment, sexual Harassment, rape threats as i documented and more, Choco will react, and Choco'l

Capture 247



Not only are you not victims, you're obscene, your goal, as evidenced by the last two weeks remains the same: cause as much distress as possible to everybody then call yourselves victims while doing it.

Capture 246



They do so also while weaving and maneuvering around the environment they themselves set up, preying on the emotions of those they shove into the crossfire, minimize and belittle our reactions, and, naturally so, use silence to dodge accountability.

Capture 245




But it's more hypocrisy and double standards.

Capture 244



The user agreed to see it.

Capture 243




Others being entitled to everything and any excuse while Choco should explain themselves, is the narrative, Choco should give everyone countless chances and then need extraordinary evidence for their guilt, yet, any feeling or unvoiced discomfort warrants getting sexual harassment.

Capture 242



2: If it were to log Choco's accounts there'd have been no satisfied, public commentary regarding that account in question and shown to minors. Acting smug about having Choco's autistic little dollie account's screenshots and doing so publicly is a form of harassment.

Capture 241



3: Incorrect. Ezra wanted more attention and to parade it publicly, stating they needed to be humbled was not acceptable.

Capture 240



There have been cases of people driven to suicide for fictional characters, you do not have the authority to assign the emotional meaning to a character to another person based on the ordinary or your preference, that, is what isn't okay. And brings Choco to another point of your habit of attempting to speak over others t

Capture 239



go "pedo hunting". This being bad and harmful shouldn't be a debate.
(4): Choco has failed to provide proof, as this has never happened.
Staging suicidal "crashouts" over an anime character to pressure people isn't okay.
(5): Choco is projecting. We tell them repeatedly to show some semblance of accountability for their actions. This has nothing to do with a crutch. If anything, Ezra has given a life-time supply of crutches to Choco, while Choco continues to push a false narrative, without even wasting a thought to apologize and take accountability.
Ezra and everyone involved have done nothing but hold themselves accountable. But because the posts don't support Choco's narrative, they were dismissed as "damage control". It's unclear what Ezra is supposed to hold themselves accountable for. One could argue it's about being worried a minor was going to hurt and traumatize themselves for fun. (It was the objectively correct choice, to


Choco will use the term again because it fits. Their document is textbook DARVO.

Capture 238




False, and incredibly easy to debunk:

Capture 237




The following receipts are from the minor in question in which to protect privacy current username has been removed:

Capture 236




The following receipts are from the minor in question in which to protect privacy current username has been removed:

Capture 235




The following receipts are from the minor in question in which to protect privacy current username has been removed:

Capture 234



The following receipts are from the minor in question in which to protect privacy current username has been removed:

Capture 233



The takeaway is clear. Ezra harasses minors and lets people sexually abuse them in their circle, they're not the only victim of this:

Capture 232



The takeaway is clear. Ezra harasses minors and lets people sexually abuse them in their circle, they're not the only victim of this:

Capture 231



Now that we've established that, let's continue to the next points.

Capture 230



Oh? Patronizing's posts entirely sfw aren't they?

Capture 229



Oh? Patronizing's posts entirely sfw aren't they?

Capture 228



No. Choco will let it speak for itself.

Capture 227



So was this projection, or another attempt at deflecting and saving face from a perp?

Capture 226




believing Choco must remember Choco's every interaction means you should remember yours.

Capture 225



This was due to still having been under the effects of Ezra's extremely triggering post which i already made mention of and the ongoing campaign registering as louder and louder noise in Choco's head, erratic behaviour, Choco is not asking for opinions and am stating the mental health crisis Choco was under, Ezra was acutely aware o

Capture 224



Already addressed above.

Capture 223



Already addressed above.

Capture 222



The assertiveness and confidence in being wrong is just as prevalent as in the rest of the document.

Capture 221




The lengths you need to grasp anywhere to cultivate the victimhood of perpetrators is pathological.

Capture 220



These were minors. Sounding pleased about their misery is simple bully behaviour, and Ezra is not exempt from their own shitty behaviour being called out.

Capture 219



And because neither of you in the document would've cared regardless Choco will stop you right there and say you don't get to weaponize care from friends from your position as open hostility, that's also manipulative speech meant to get more people into your situation.

Capture 218




Manic behaviour, no accusation is false.

Capture 217



Choco was correct on all accounts and in fact understated it.

Capture 216



That's the takeaway, Choco doesn't answer judgement from ill faith facilitators of misery and those who prey on people's emotions and vulnerabilities, openly laughing at kids.

Capture 215



Choco can count with Choco's fingers the number of times Choco has been ableist, meanwhile your inside group uses “spazzy retard” and proship froggo uses deeply mentally ill as a slur.

Capture 214



Choco skipped over the rest because it's Ezra flaunting Choco's reactions like a prize.

Capture 213



The point stands. That account being mentioned in there was just done for trigger-purposes.

Capture 212




The point stands. That account being mentioned in there was just done for trigger-purposes.

Capture 211



Ezra expected hugs and cookies after sexual harassment campaigning, obviously.

Capture 210



The fact they tried painting it as the original god complex is as usual, more projection.

Capture 209




The fact they tried painting it as the original god complex is as usual, more projection.

Capture 208




"Debunked in private" means, nothing. "Debunked in private" is an admission of guilt.

Capture 207



"Debunked in private" means, nothing. "Debunked in private" is an admission of guilt.

Capture 206



dms from choco to froggo

"Debunked in private" means, nothing. "Debunked in private" is an admission of guilt.

Capture 205



Unsure if scurry or choco put these tags, but seeing as scurry said they were going to start using choco's tags, I would not be entirely surprised that these were originally choco's words. Also part two of (yx1) anon ask (<- so it can be searchable.)

We've established quite clearly Ezra has done this on purpose from the start to bait reacting, it succeeded on that accord, Choco wouldn't paint someone being upset at Choco a gotcha but Choco leave that out to everyone's agenda.


Capture 204



Choco admitting in their bio they are going "on a crusade." (Very choice words, considering there are at least two indigenous users involved (one more directly affected by the Spanish crusades than the other.))


Stalking a mutual to find their tags on a post about the direct party they originally harassed being hurt and introducing it as a gotcha.

Capture 203




Both who use slurs against every race imaginable, one who said Spanish people aren't people, both who sexually harassed Choco, neither of who is Choco's audience *and* stalking Choco's blog to find wording to strip off to use as platitude speech is disgusting.

Capture 202




Both who use slurs against every race imaginable, one who said Spanish people aren't people, both who sexually harassed Choco, neither of who is Choco's audience *and* stalking Choco's blog to find wording to strip off to use as platitude speech is disgusting.

Capture 201



Again, we run into the same mistake. Ezra's followers and Ezra themself have the perverted antic of appealing to scandal of reactions while diluting and minimizing their actions, by painting the person reacting as “they're doing it for no reason!” it serves the narrative they're getting attacked for no reason.

Capture 200




Metaphorically spitting in the eye equating it to assault for convenience is gross.

Capture 199



Choco remain correct, you exploit trauma, this isn't a claim but a provable, verifiable fact.

Capture 198



Plenty of neurodivergent people rightfully don't want to talk to a lot of Neurotypicals as we view them as oppressors, judging by how a portion of the main perpetrators have been NT Choco's view has only been strengthened.

Capture 197




Now onto the commentary, Choco will skip the coerced apology from last week that far from being earned was from feeling exploited to the core.

Capture 196



“You open up whoever you want for harassment of your frankly braindead audience, and all that for your 5 minutes of fame and the attention you subsequently recei-

Capture 195



This is the only time Choco is inserting a reaction image, first of all, you do not touch Choco's friends and this isn't theater class it's a reckoning, in which your actions are addressed, secondly, you do not insult Choco's following and call them “braindead.” because they don't let your abuse extend their way. Punching down like y

Capture 194



But we're not done, everyone, after the theater of the perpetrator comes the “fun” part, the part and entire false victimhood and how Choco's document they tried to prove false was only strengthened.

Capture 193




Get to the point. Nobody needs to see you pseudo intelectualising about definitions you know the meaning of.

Capture 192




Below you manipulate language to water down impact, actions taken in a short period of time can have a constant effect.

Capture 191




Below you manipulate language to water down impact, actions taken in a short period of time can have a constant effect.

Capture 190



Below you manipulate language to water down impact, actions taken in a short period of time can have a constant effect.

Capture 189



Below you manipulate language to water down impact, actions taken in a short period of time can have a constant effect.

Capture 188




Oh?

Capture 187



Oh?

Capture 186



Oh?

Capture 185



And it couldn't fit any better to the writers your document.

Capture 184



Your own document is based on half Choco's reactions, half the things posted on Choco's own blog, a space not meant for you or anybody who has caused the strife you caused.

Capture 183



This is social media, and Tumblr, if Choco reported everyone to the police whose posts Choco didn't like we'd have problems. This wasn't about offensive commentary, this was about sexual harassment promoted and used for witch hunts, again, the problem remains, the situation and goalposts will not move even if you want it to. E

Capture 182



"Limp wristed" used here as a falling is tedious.

Capture 181



"Limp wristed" used here as a falling is tedious.

Capture 180



Minx is the only thing in the perpetrators entire document Choco declare Choco's fault, Choco thought it was a nonbinary term, thinking of mx

Capture 179



The 100's of people who campaigned on the back of your sexual harassment. Proship culture named Choco first, Astro is such a limp wristed opportunistic thing to throw around given they tried leaking personal dms that lead nowhere but more misrepresentation.

Capture 178




Here it is. Me having told Astro Choco considered sending kink asks to the two who had sexually harassed Choco, conveniently enough, with the rest cropped out. Attempting thought crime now over themselves being violated is as repulsive as expected.

Capture 177




Here it explains it didn't happen. their narrative of rape asks is voided, and more sexual harassment on your end while misrepresenting the other person, or themselves. Patronizing was also found originally mentioning on Ezra's initial post with extreme damage control tactics about the asks they got sent by anons and latch

Capture 176



With Patronizing. Who i already proved does not censor nsfw and thinks Spanish people are parasites, replied to the minor in question.

Capture 175




In Choco's post about religion, a stranger saying crying about Religion won't get Choco “unmolested” constitutes sexual harassment, and it's fitting that you'd try using arbitrary speech to conceal that to, again, serve your narrative, but it doesn't make it less of a fact.

Capture 174



Painting themself a victim was an obscene narrative, suggesting that sexual harassment done to Choco is fair and should be waved away for it being Choco. virtue signaling speech such as “they don't speak for all users” on the back of their sexual harassment is gross, this isn't about victimhood but applying the same standard

Capture 173



Because users cemeterydog and patronizing tried to mention how the molestation commentary was about Swana christians, this disproved it.

Capture 172



Choco will show the screenshot again, the timelines were already linked so we know it's not misconstrued:

Capture 171



Openly enabling and facilitating the behavior Choco mentioned makes you complicit, painting them a victim makes you equally guilty about such as well, you're not Choco's keeper either.

Capture 170



This was another form of sexual harassment from both Froggo and Cemetarydog, Choco did not consent to Choco's post being reblogged.

Capture 169




This is sexual harassment irrespective of your opinion, and you did not take accountability.

Capture 168




Same reason Choco gets called a retard or a parasite by the two in question.

Capture 167



The user in question tried to word-puke into Choco's blog by reblogging a post then try and block to not be responded to

Capture 166




Ezra's post had been threatening, and using coercive language to a user who wasn't available, based on a hunch and feelings.

Capture 165




II; CYBERBULLYING CLAIMS:

Capture 164



II; CYBERBULLYING CLAIMS:

Capture 163



WHAT EXACTLY IS BULLYING?

Capture 162



It also stresses the hurt is intentional and repeated

Capture 161



It also stresses the hurt is intentional and repeated

Capture 160



It also stresses the hurt is intentional and repeated

Capture 159



User cemetarydog mentioned the word predator

Capture 158



(It is noted, that this is why user Choco has such a strong dislike for cemetarydog)

Capture 157




(It is noted, that this is why user Choco has such a strong dislike for cemetarydog)

Capture 156




In response was this:

Capture 155



In response was this:

Capture 154




In response was this:

Capture 153



In response was this:

Capture 152




In response was this:

Capture 151



Cemetarydog had tried to rope Choco into anons they'd never sent, Choco had only ever sent one anon to Patronizing about their behaviour, and reblogged it as well, ranting about Patronizing's lack of morals and empathy, this was how Patronizing reacted.

Capture 150



Well, that dismantles that. Aside from catching them in a lie with the original comment they made being about “Swana christians” and not a jab at Choco getting molested.

Capture 149



Well, that dismantles that. Aside from catching them in a lie with the original comment they made being about “Swana christians” and not a jab at Choco getting molested.

Capture 148



As a tidbit:

Capture 147




III: Choco's Behaviour and formally addressing Ezra's document, we will only focus on the important aspects as everything else had already been addressed from a personal basis

Capture 146




It is a fact that users Patronizing and Cemetarydog are not victims in the situation. The timespans confirm both Patronizing and Cemetarydog had initiated the interaction with Choco before Ezra's post.

Capture 145



It is noted that we've also checked the folder and cannot find the alleged ofences (we found them at the end but they aren't supported by virtue of our claims having been correct)

Capture 144



This was not done.

Capture 143



As Ezra stated. Choco speaks about debunking the disingenuous allegations.

Capture 142



Ezra's libelous claims reached the audience, who made comment on it. Allegedly. Choco had wanted to explain and put order in the smear campaign that had already started.

Capture 141




It appears to be, after Ezra's commentary and post reaching their audience. Users engage in behaviour akin to Harassment, speaking over the person at hand without letting them have a say in order to disparage them further.

Capture 140




It appears to be, after Ezra's commentary and post reaching their audience. Users engage in behaviour akin to Harassment, speaking over the person at hand without letting them have a say in order to disparage them further.

Capture 139



Now. Ezra makes a point that Choco had been bullying the minor user by watermarking them and reacting. We will preface on this being curious, given Ezra's repeated history of targeting younger minors to belittle them. But. Let's take an objective look and see if Choco is bullying them or not.

Capture 138



Now. Ezra makes a point that Choco had been bullying the minor user by watermarking them and reacting. We will preface on this being curious, given Ezra's repeated history of targeting younger minors to belittle them. But. Let's take an objective look and see if Choco is bullying them or not.

Capture 137



Let's see for ourselves:

Capture 136




Let's see for ourselves:

Capture 135



The nature of blurring out text to make it seem inappropriate was misleading, and yet again another tactic that had been employed.

Capture 134



It's basic theory of mind to understand this was a joint effort, when sending such hatemail and found out, instead of accountability there were excuses.

Capture 133



We don't find whataboutism a talking point worth mentioning, however, it seems to be behaviour the users involved employ a lot.

Capture 132



This is factually incorrect and we've already established their first post and how it did aside from more things, fall under Sexual Harassment, for our next point:

Capture 131



This isn't opinion based, the writers of the opposing document could do with the thought provoking exercise of thinking how it would feel if that was done to them, although we are pretty sure we know the answer.

Capture 130




We have linked, and screenshots, of the minor in question accusing Ezra, their name was left out and the evidence of the hypersexual vent being shared, whatever be the reason is listed.

Capture 129



We cannot say the same for Ezra publicly sharing a nsfw blog to their minor audience, to this day, it is unknown whether they'd seen the content within or Ezra keeps it for parade, in either case that's why Sextortion fit.

Capture 128




We speak for Choco when we say the feeling is not only mutual but stronger, consent was not given from the start on their part and the usage from the original post and selective feelings from the guilty party not before addressed are by themselves counterproductive in finding any redeeming or mitigating factors about t

Capture 127



Choco was mentioned in situations they had no part of several times.

Capture 126



Choco was mentioned in situations they had no part of several times.

Capture 125



Choco was mentioned in situations they had no part of several times.

Capture 124



Choco was mentioned in situations they had no part of several times.

Capture 123




Choco was mentioned in situations they had no part of several times.

Capture 122



We want to make clear attempting to touch Choco irl would yield to violence: they're a touch repulsed person and coy disclaimers like “nobody here would want to either” referring them as a plague or virus are unnecessary, rest assured they'd be more disgusted than you.

Capture 121




To not lose streak, and as force of habit just as presented, there's another blatant attempt to preface which voices matter and which do not. “Your feelings and experiences in this situation are untrue, you are just petty.” speech sure paint an even more clear picture of the behavior exhibited throughout, this, couple

Capture 120




It's worth noting to the readers that Ezra has a discord server and their harassment campaigning had been coordinated, every single knee jerk reaction about the responses was fabricated.

Capture 119




Most people would find your actions reprehensible and we are inclined to agree, we're afraid that invoking the false claims anecdote by lambasting your document with exaggerated, blame-shifting reactions from Choco as the catalyst make you more openly at fault to anyone with critical thinking skills that sees beyond so

Capture 118



We've hunted predators for longer than most of them have had Tumblr. We don't need being condescended to by those with less knowledge.

Capture 117



We are hard pressed to think of any scenary in which empathy should be found towards a group of people who, among other things, nearly drove someone to suicide, as well as made light of it with the conversation starter being a false accusation of forced sexual conversion. Enough said how any empathy given was taken adv

Capture 116



As for the writers being addressed, you cannot strip somebody of their status as a victim to your convenience and say it had nothing to do with it. Two points: one it's disingenuous, it's the bit that let you perpetrate first, two, everyone's behaviour and response to it correlates to their experiences, your community

Capture 115




Choco is an eternal victim because that's what your every action keeps making them, you hide behind socially acceptable/unacceptable behaviour while exhibiting every tactic known in psychology books that defines abusers, when presented with that your only answer tends to be weakened half-pointing at Chocos reactions to

Capture 114




The party being addressed presented zero evidence from the start, other than evidence of their own sexual harassment and constant coverage. Anything documented had been how the victim, Choco reacted. This continues to be provable.

Capture 113



From that point and after we helped Choco understand we made clear there'd be no more second chances for the perpetrators, they've offended, throughout the course of two weeks, from every angle available to someone who hadn't been told what the premise was, and, instead, had faced sexual harassment in every way imagina

Capture 112



Before pointing fingers about empathy and using it as your crutches against a hyper empathetic individual that you successfully exploited, watch inside your glasshouses for the opposite. But we're sure this will be excused under “it's their symptoms.” while reversed it's “it's no excuse.”

Capture 111



We are waiting still for the accountability your group has taken you speak of, given it doesn't exist, we'll find it difficult to.

Capture 110



Not to mention how gross Choco felt after that, point blank manipulation from the user getting them to show something, being excited, and when Choco was gone then trash talk about them, just like everyone involved in the guilty party, all have a common denominator and that's misleading Choco to try attacking them later

Capture 109



The behavior exhibited by Ezra was what made them be “painted” as that, that, was the mention.

Capture 108



Damage control over something we hadn't condemned, borderline insulting in the practice of the emphasis then taken in trying to control reactions.

Capture 107




Again, selective victimhood sold to the lowest moral bidder.

Capture 106



Speak of condescension when you address someone as a person and not a toy. Not a moment earlier, the initial scheme was gross enough but trying to make emphasis on not being treated nicely back is just free entitlement to be abusers, in which we should bob our heads up and down and take it.

Capture 105



The document proves the opposite, it proves the campaign certain and, more than that, proves you want free reign to do it, as it's the victims' fault for not distancing themself, the parallel between the language used and the behavior abusers employ makes Choco think of writing another document dedicated to your tactics,

Capture 104




Saying something repeatedly proven true by your behaviour exhibited and actions that followed is fabricated don't make it so.

Capture 103



As proven by the document, the role of “perpetrators” is yours.

Capture 102




We will point out we got a small giggle out of the song we made having you call it a diss track when it was more of a Disney song nursery rhyme, misrepresent us more creatively next time.

Capture 101




CONCLUSION:

Capture 100




This one was disgusting, whatever made you think saying that was in any way okay makes you a warped person, there's minors in your blog, too. “Orgasm dispensary” to an audience of minors and objectification of your victim in one text.

Capture 099




The following is more informal, and it stresses the toll the traumatic situation we were put under has had on our mental health to go through this for two whole weeks as we respond, please take notice that the emotions in place don't take away or derail from the objectiveness of the document.

Capture 098




Bonus:

Capture 097



We share this feeling, guilt tripping somebody after their reaction to a smear campaign got extrapolated by the faulty party and the harm caused to Choco is inadmissible, to this day, we find the eternalized constellation an opportunist whose opinion holds no value, if Choco's alleged behaviour gets blasted, have the s

Capture 096




Cemetarydog claims to be "radinclus" and it being different.

Capture 095



Thank you for reading to everybody else involved, we'll update if news arise.

Capture 094



https://www.tumblr.com/evil-2-the-core/787773738848534528/crazy-how-these-people-will-scream-about-being?source=share

Capture 093



Choco thinks that preludes everything from today, we'll see what gets updated next if needed.

Capture 092



Radqueer spotted (ghost-of-alfie)

Capture 091



Radqueer spotted (ghost-of-alfie)

Capture 090



We will now be addressing the easily disproven update regarding the accused party in question.

Capture 089



The evidence against both user Patronizing and Cemetarydog as proven in this very document is extensive and with no mitigating factors. no third party was involved beyond Choco addressing their mutuals by having the users blocked and reported, the opposite remains true With Proship Froggo having criminally mobilized th

Capture 088



This is “Editor N” representing the offending document. Their claims of being an uninvolved third party are easy to disprove, after this we'll move along with more contradictions and more counter evidence

Capture 087



This is “Editor N” representing the offending document. Their claims of being an uninvolved third party are easy to disprove, after this we'll move along with more contradictions and more counter evidence

Capture 086



N proceeds to make excuses and crop pictures to set up their false narrative, which we correct here;

Capture 085



N proceeds to make excuses and crop pictures to set up their false narrative, which we correct here;

Capture 084

careful.
Since then, Choco has started a smear campaign, making false accusations and spreading outrigh lies about Ezra and the others involved. Choco has failed to tell people the whole truth since the beginning, and has refused to take accountability for this.

Needless to say the user in question inquired. Choco explained how should Ezra lie they'd be corrected.

Capture 083



N was hoping we wouldn't remember and not to have to tell the whole truth Ezra had told them.

Capture 082



Also, in their document they claimed Choco being excited was also a factor.

Capture 081




Excited is happy, not horny. We were HAPPY showing that bday gift we got, yet another reason this ordeal was even more disgusting from the end of the perpetrators,

Capture 080



N makes the claim of evidence being disproven by pointing at the ending part of their document:

Capture 079




N makes the claim of evidence being disproven by pointing at the ending part of their document:

Capture 078



Because the allegations against the users are proven true, N's attempt to deflect accountability is voided, We've also listed Ezra's offenses and where both situations differ is evident, while their claims were a smear and propagated through sexual harassment the claims we made have been verified and continue to incre

Capture 077



Because the allegations against the users are proven true, N's attempt to deflect accountability is voided, We've also listed Ezra's offenses and where both situations differ is evident, while their claims were a smear and propagated through sexual harassment the claims we made have been verified and continue to incre

Capture 076



The criteria for both harassment and privacy violations have been met in abundance, one can only conclude their editor and friend's only two resorts are denialism and whataboutism.

Capture 075



…Such as below, in which projection regarding historically not being right is found again:

Capture 074




…Such as below, in which projection regarding historically not being right is found again:

Capture 073



Now, the cropping of the picture on their end is borderline insulting, therefore:

Capture 072




Now, the cropping of the picture on their end is borderline insulting, therefore:

Capture 071




Glad we had that established. As well as the editors' ironic, projective statement from earlier.

Capture 070



Given the culprits are ever tired of being proven wrong, if a cookie was obtained every time the editor used a strawman fallacy we'd have reached infinity in cookie clicker:

Capture 069



Choco answered regarding a question of why they act that way only qualifies as a threat evidencing the perpetrators felt threatened by the idea of being exposed. thus the constant harassment against Choco - as the editor mentions. They'd had to put up with, the intellectual dishonesty present in every attempt at the pe

Capture 068



Since again we only address the new updates we are not rehearsing everything:

Capture 067



(Their editor is “N” and Choco’s host’s is “M” so the lack of relevance of that point merits the absurdism reacted back)

Capture 066



We will be self important when devalued by people not worth the smudge in our shoe aka those abusing someone in hoards while playing victim in the process.

Capture 065



(This doesn't take away from Ezra having more accounts, just because we know their main one doesn't mean it's their only other one)

Capture 064




(Not to mention we already proven it's not a random person and their editors' tactics are as transparent as ever)

Capture 063



https://www.tumblr.com/infiniity-survivor-choco/787566933974433792/i-for-one-am-also-a-person-they-targeted-and-its?source=share

Capture 062



Chat, are you ready? What do we call this? say it with Choco;

Capture 061

Choco has screenshotted a comment of mine, stating disagreement, while completely disregarding anything said. Unsurprising, honestly.

I personally find their lack of self-awareness, and need to be the victim in any given situation, exhausting, and, more importantly, disgusting. I also refuse to be overly nice to somebody who has harassed many users, some to the point of account-deactivation.

(We won't go over how disgusting it is to have a hypersexual vent regarded through perpetrators using wording as a science experiment, through block evading which you're all guilty of yourselves.)

Capture 060




Your self awareness is of a size so small it could spin around a shell for a million years without touching the edges, you mention god complex while having shown yours from every talking point made from the start:

Capture 059



No elaborating necessary, this section is after all, the section pointing out contradictions and hipocrisy, the evidence we presented speaks louder than any remark about this.

Capture 058




"Claims need to have evidence" you shared explicit material about the person you sextorted and their thoughts regarding the duo who had sexually harassed them before your campaign. kink being the intrusive thought od the adult perpetrators doing things to us, which is what kink meant in the DM's, we don't send rape thr

Capture 057



You will no longer prey on mutuals, coerce the environment, spread your vitriol or obfuscate your actions. It's been two weeks of an inferno you refused to take accountability for, but it's done. You can't hurt Choco, you can't take happiness from someone who is essentially happy making others so, too, and you will never

Capture 056



Even though this was before the campaign, it's worth pointing to summarize, you did this, you enjoyed it, and now you're all being held responsible

Capture 055



Even though this was before the campaign, it's worth pointing to summarize, you did this, you enjoyed it, and now you're all being held responsible

Capture 054




https://www.tumblr.com/proship-froggo/781065167988490240/the-tag-fuck-daddys-little-toy-is-incredibly?source=share

Capture 053




https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 052



https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 051




https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 050




https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 049




https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 048




https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 047



https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 046



https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 045



https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 044



https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 043



https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 042



https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 041



https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 040



https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-proship-choco/793374161130127360/okay-proshipping-is-bad-when-its-children-doing?source=share

Capture 039




This is something other victims have brought up.

Capture 038




This is something other victims have brought up.

Capture 037



This is something other victims have brought up.

Capture 036




This is something other victims have brought up.

Capture 035



This is something other victims have brought up.

Capture 034




This is something other victims have brought up.

Capture 033




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 032



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 031




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 030



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 029



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 028



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 027



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 026



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 025




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 024



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 023




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 022




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 021



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 020




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 019



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 018




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 017



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 016




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 015




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 014



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 013



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 012



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 011




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 010




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 009




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 008




There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 007



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 006



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 005



There have been numerous other people who brought up this situation and spoke, rather vocally might we add, against Proship Froggo.

Capture 004



Not only that, the fact there were servers exclusively made to harass and keep tabs on us is the antithesis to the Proship community.

Capture 003



Not only that, the fact there were servers exclusively made to harass and keep tabs on us is the antithesis to the Proship community.

Capture 002



Not only that, the fact there were servers exclusively made to harass and keep tabs on us is the antithesis to the Proship community.

Capture 001




Not only that, the fact there were servers exclusively made to harass and keep tabs on us is the antithesis to the Proship community.

Annex C3. The Gravekandy document. Every capture.


554 captures, in the order in which they appear in Choco's Gravekandy document, each with the sentence that accompanies it there. Nothing omitted.

Capture 554




What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 553



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 552




What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 551




What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 550




What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 549




What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 548




What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 547



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 546



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 545



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 544



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 543



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 542



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 541




What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 540



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 539




What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 538




What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 537




What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 536



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 535



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 534



What Gravekandy did to a 13 year old:

Capture 533




https://docs.google.com/document/d/1wuPKEVfHeLvXPcwU8k5wBT0WjUNSuUB2/edit?usp=drivesdk&ouid=104612574794356562613&rtpof=true&sd=true

Capture 532



https://docs.google.com/document/d/1wuPKEVfHeLvXPcwU8k5wBT0WjUNSuUB2/edit?usp=drivesdk&ouid=104612574794356562613&rtpof=true&sd=true

Capture 531



https://docs.google.com/document/d/1wuPKEVfHeLvXPcwU8k5wBT0WjUNSuUB2/edit?usp=drivesdk&ouid=104612574794356562613&rtpof=true&sd=true

Capture 530



Tab 1

Introduction

Hello everyone. My name is GraveKandy. You can just refer to me as **Grave/Morgan** for the sake of simplicity. I've recently been involved in a fair amount of drama, so I am making this doc to clear the air and absolve any questions/concerns you all may have regarding this situation.

Choco has gone by many aliases/usernames in the past. The ones I bore witness to were **infinite-proship-choco** an **infinite-survivor-choco**. Their current one is **infinite-mimo-survivorship**. (with the last i being a lowercase L) but tha may change within the next few days. Choco has a history of deleting their blogs and revamping them. They've done this two times during my time with them.

This situation has gotten way out of hand, and has led to the false accusations of **sexual predation, racism, and ableism of myself and many others**, such as tumblr users h28731(Nier) proship-froggo (Ezra) rainyrhapsodos (Rainy, now prefers Genesis and deactivated) and proship-minty (Mint)

This is no longer a response to ongoing drama. This is my official statement in response to these false allegations, a exists in an attempt to clear my name should this escalate into getting anything legal involved.

This document will be posted at the top of my blog for anybody who wishes to get both sides of the argument. I will t addressing every single allegation Choco has made against me and my associates. If you believed I have missed on please send me an ask, or shoot me a DM on my tumblr (gravekandy-undead) or my discord (gravekandy).

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1wuPKEVfHeLvXPcwU8k5wBT0WjUNSuUB2/edit?usp=drivesdk&ouid=104612574794356562613&rtpof=true&sd=true

Capture 529



Millions of children in religious groups in England and Wales vulnerable to abuse

IICSA report finds victim blaming, abuse of power and mistrust of authority to be commonplace

Harriet Sherwood

Share

Prefer the Guardian on Google

Children involved in religious organisations, including Sunday schools and madrasas, are vulnerable to sexual abuse in cultures where victim blaming, abuse of power and mistrust of external authorities are common, a report says.

The Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse (IICSA) said there was "no doubt that the sexual abuse of children takes place in a broad range of religious settings". It found evidence of "egregious failings" and highlighted the hypocrisy of religions that purport to teach right from wrong, yet fail to protect children.

https://www.theguardian.com/world/2024/oct/29/catholic-church-still-failing-to-deal-with-sexual-abuse-cases-says-vatican-report

Capture 528



Trending US-Israel war on Iran Tracking Iran

News | Sexual Assault

The global scale of child sexual abuse in the Catholic Church

A series of abuse scandals, often involving children, have rocked the Catholic Church in recent decades.

Pope Francis has overhauled the Roman Catholic Church's laws to explicitly criminalise sexual abuse in response to a slew of recent scandals [File: Clodagh Kilcoyne/Reuters]

By AFP

5 Oct 2021

https://www.theguardian.com/society/2021/sep/02/millions-children-religious-groups-vulnerable-abuse-england-and-wales

Capture 527



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 526



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 525



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 524



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 523



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 522



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 521



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 520



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 519




https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 518



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 517



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 516



https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/10/5/awful-truth-child-sex-abuse-in-the-catholic-church

Capture 515

I don't want to spend all day dismantling Choco's arguments, and I'm sure that it will be claimed that I didn't continue this because of lack of evidence or something like that. The truth is that I don't really think this is worth my time past a certain point because Choco is not a serious person, they don't understand cults and they will continue to denigrate the experiences of others while trying to avoid consequences.

Faith and Religion are separate things, you can believe in God all you want, the moment you support an institution with over a thousand five hundred years of blooshed, eight major crusades, and a system that to this day leads to worldwide child sexual abuse, you do not deserve our respect

Capture 514

My Response to the Sexual Predation Allegations

Blah blah blah will update soon because it is 8 in the goddamn morning and I have work soon.

this was part of Gravekandy's mess of a document.

Capture 513



Making fun of your victims and expecting to be taken seriously in return is a choice, another choice is stalking them and admitting to it in the very own anecdotal document.

Capture 512




We'll start with how Faputa projects the nature of Grave's document.

Capture 511




We'll start with how Faputa projects the nature of Grave's document.

Capture 510



Even your document is ableist:

Capture 509



in their document, and this was something they were fully aware of, you used receipts from a proven child predator whose sibling is a sex offender and wanted for rape:

Capture 508




Which makes the following statement quite rich.

Capture 507



If you read up the document before this, the Summer one, you'll see there's a receipt of Gravekandy saying. “Friendly reminder Dani got what they deserved” and many people were harassing said minor since February of 2025, to claim they care about them when they did nothing but antagonize them is revolting, to claim the

Capture 506



If you read up the document before this, the Summer one, you'll see there's a receipt of Gravekandy saying. “Friendly reminder Dani got what they deserved” and many people were harassing said minor since February of 2025, to claim they care about them when they did nothing but antagonize them is revolting, to claim the

Capture 505



Gravekandy's nsfw blog was openly available to their minor audience, without a content label, however, gravekandy did leak private sexual DM's from people and circulated it to said following, part of the cult-like practices Gravekandy's following enjoys is going to reddit and other platforms of gossip and purposely spr

Capture 504



Gravekandy's nsfw blog was openly available to their minor audience, without a content label, however, gravekandy did leak private sexual DM's from people and circulated it to said following, part of the cult-like practices Gravekandy's following enjoys is going to reddit and other platforms of gossip and purposely spr

Capture 503




Caleb, as a 15 year old, has also doxed a six year old kindergarten er.

Capture 502




Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 501




Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 500




Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 499




Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 498



Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 497



Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 496




Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 495




Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 494




Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 493




Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 492




Gravekandy had hosted their own Harassment campaign back in November, what the reddit user calls victim was instead one of Choco's abusers, as Gravekandy hosted a safe space to anyone who had sexually harassed us before, including people with a criminal record that includes sex offenses.

Capture 491




All the care Gravekandy tokenized for the perpetrators they denied it to the victims, which were many, you don't get to play with people's lives and tell the affected they matter less than the offenders, if Gravekandy had wanted a Better way to deal with the situation at hand, they would have done it themselves, they d

Capture 490




Gravekandy went on to defend Rainy not being racist afterwards, however.

Capture 489



Gravekandy went on to defend Rainy not being racist afterwards, however.

Capture 488




Gravekandy went on to defend Rainy not being racist afterwards, however.

Capture 487




Gravekandy went on to defend Rainy not being racist afterwards, however.

Capture 486



Gravekandy went on to defend Rainy not being racist afterwards, however.

Capture 485




Gravekandy went on to defend Rainy not being racist afterwards, however.

Capture 484




Gravekandy went on to defend Rainy not being racist afterwards, however.

Capture 483




Other victims of ableism from their group also spoke about it:

Capture 482



Other victims of ableism from their group also spoke about it:

Capture 481



Other victims of ableism from their group also spoke about it:

Capture 480




All the people involved knew that we were the only user with a Mirmo avatar in the community and all the vulnerabilities listed above, all knew we were also raped as an infant by the church, this wasn't just subtext, which Gravekandy believes only exists to their convenience, it was targeted rape-coded imagery, the com

Capture 479




All the people involved knew that we were the only user with a Mirmo avatar in the community and all the vulnerabilities listed above, all knew we were also raped as an infant by the church, this wasn't just subtext, which Gravekandy believes only exists to their convenience, it was targeted rape-coded imagery, the com

Capture 478



All the people involved knew that we were the only user with a Mirmo avatar in the community and all the vulnerabilities listed above, all knew we were also raped as an infant by the church, this wasn't just subtext, which Gravekandy believes only exists to their convenience, it was targeted rape-coded imagery, the com

Capture 477




All the people involved knew that we were the only user with a Mirmo avatar in the community and all the vulnerabilities listed above, all knew we were also raped as an infant by the church, this wasn't just subtext, which Gravekandy believes only exists to their convenience, it was targeted rape-coded imagery, the com

Capture 476




All the people involved knew that we were the only user with a Mirmo avatar in the community and all the vulnerabilities listed above, all knew we were also raped as an infant by the church, this wasn't just subtext, which Gravekandy believes only exists to their convenience, it was targeted rape-coded imagery, the com

Capture 475




https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-mirmoship-choco/809801878271606784/httpswwwtumblrcomgravekandyy808752096193200 1?source=share

Capture 474



https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-mirmoship-choco/809801878271606784/https-wwwtumblrcomgravekandyy808752096193200 1?source=share

Capture 473




https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-mirmoship-choco/809801878271606784/https-wwwtumblrcomgravekandyy808752096193200 1?source=share

Capture 472




https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-mirmoship-choco/809801878271606784/https-wwwtumblrcomgravekandyy808752096193200 1?source=share

Capture 471




https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-mirmoship-choco/809801878271606784/https-wwwtumblrcomgravekandyy808752096193200 1?source=share

Capture 470




https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 469




https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 468




https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 467



https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 466




https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 465



https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 464




https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 463




https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 462



https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 461




https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 460




https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.tumblr.com/infinite-survivor-mirmo/806079334583599104/post-by-infinite-survivor-mirmo-1-image?source%3Dshare&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410525685&usg=AOvVaw024TRHM8K2utMLDnc8G1uU

Capture 459



no minor was included into the reprocessing of the circle's sexual predation, the people involved however have sexually interacted with minors on their blog, all of this is proven.

Capture 458



no minor was included into the reprocessing of the circle's sexual predation, the people involved however have sexually interacted with minors on their blog, all of this is proven.

Capture 457




no minor was included into the reprocessing of the circle's sexual predation, the people involved however have sexually interacted with minors on their blog, all of this is proven.

Capture 456




no minor was included into the reprocessing of the circle's sexual predation, the people involved however have sexually interacted with minors on their blog, all of this is proven.

Capture 455



no minor was included into the reprocessing of the circle's sexual predation, the people involved however have sexually interacted with minors on their blog, all of this is proven.

Capture 454




no minor was included into the reprocessing of the circle's sexual predation, the people involved however have sexually interacted with minors on their blog, all of this is proven.

Capture 453



no minor was included into the reprocessing of the circle's sexual predation, the people involved however have sexually interacted with minors on their blog, all of this is proven.

Capture 452



no minor was included into the reprocessing of the circle's sexual predation, the people involved however have sexually interacted with minors on their blog, all of this is proven.

Capture 451



no minor was included into the reprocessing of the circle's sexual predation, the people involved however have sexually interacted with minors on their blog, all of this is proven.

Capture 450



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 449



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 448



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 447



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 446



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 445



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 444



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 443




Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 442



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 441



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 440



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 439



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 438



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 437




Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 436



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 435




Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 434



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 433




Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 432



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 431



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 430



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 429



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 428



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 427




Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 426



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 425



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 424




Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 423



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 422



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 421



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 420



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 419



Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 418




Gravekandy lied about how their situation with us actually started, we will be showing more evidence of their sexual Harassment and subterfuge tactics, including us being told our boundaries do not matter.

Capture 417




Nier Apologizing for the Summer Situation and their doc:

Capture 416




Nier Apologizing for the Summer Situation and their doc:

Capture 415



Nier Apologizing for the Summer Situation and their doc:

Capture 414



Nier Apologizing for the Summer Situation and their doc:

Capture 413



Nier Apologizing for the Summer Situation and their doc:

Capture 412




https://www.google.com/url?q=https://docs.google.com/document/d/1EGtY-mcyxR0DEyR2moyzv1U7qBpIuNFtOmQJ6ZnUurs/edit?usp%3Ddrivesdk&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410494348&usg=AOvVaw3avuY8ROFCrQvmWoq0dPCs

Capture 411



https://www.google.com/url?q=https://docs.google.com/document/d/1EGtY-mcyxR0DEyR2moyzv1U7qBpIuNFtOmQJ6ZnUurs/edit?usp%3Ddrivesdk&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410494348&usg=AOvVaw3avuY8ROFCrQvmWoq0dPCs

Capture 410



https://www.google.com/url?q=https://docs.google.com/document/d/1EGtY-mcyxR0DEyR2moyzv1U7qBpIuNFtOmQJ6ZnUurs/edit?usp%3Ddrivesdk&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410494348&usg=AOvVaw3avuY8ROFCrQvmWoq0dPCs

Capture 409




https://www.google.com/url?q=https://docs.google.com/document/d/1EGtY-mcyxR0DEyR2moyzv1U7qBpIuNFtOmQJ6ZnUurs/edit?usp%3Ddrivesdk&sa=D&source=editors&ust=1773432410494348&usg=AOvVaw3avuY8ROFCrQvmWoq0dPCs

Capture 408



These were paramount to post due to Grave launching false sexual harassment accusations on their doc:

Capture 407



These were paramount to post due to Grave launching false sexual harassment accusations on their doc:

Capture 406



We are a highly hypersexual survivor and grew up online, while we don't make sexual comments at people, due to being sex repulsed, we did grow up through conditioning and programming, and we are used to being a “toy” for others, a social stigma that Gravekandy and co weaponized and gleefully preyed upon, despite it bei

Capture 405



We are a highly hypersexual survivor and grew up online, while we don't make sexual comments at people, due to being sex repulsed, we did grow up through conditioning and programming, and we are used to being a “toy” for others, a social stigma that Gravekandy and co weaponized and gleefully preyed upon, despite it bei

Capture 404




Important Reminder:

Capture 403



Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 402




Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 401




Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 400



Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 399




Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 398




Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 397




Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 396



Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 395



Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 394



Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 393



Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 392




Additionally, another party who had originally claimed being sexually harassed back in Summer who did orchestrate most of the summer situation and apologized at a later time.

Capture 391




And Proxy-Galaxy was not 13 like they claimed, they are over thirty and a sexual predator like his sibling:

Capture 390




Most recent updates:

Capture 389




Most recent updates:

Capture 388



Most recent updates:

Capture 387




Most recent updates:

Capture 386




https://www.tumblr.com/nico-di-angel-no/793424841535586304/lastly-here-are-parting-words-from-Choco?source=share

Capture 385



If Froggo was innocent, they wouldn't need to reblog the reminder that they're ready to defend themselves against possible charges, they know what they did, we do as well.

Capture 384



If Froggo was innocent, they wouldn't need to reblog the reminder that they're ready to defend themselves against possible charges, they know what they did, we do as well.

Capture 383




If Froggo was innocent, they wouldn't need to reblog the reminder that they're ready to defend themselves against possible charges, they know what they did, we do as well.

Capture 382



If Froggo was innocent, they wouldn't need to reblog the reminder that they're ready to defend themselves against possible charges, they know what they did, we do as well.

Capture 381



If Froggo was innocent, they wouldn't need to reblog the reminder that they're ready to defend themselves against possible charges, they know what they did, we do as well.

Capture 380



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 379



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.


.

Capture 377



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 376



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 375



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 374



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 373



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 372




This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 371



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 370




This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 369



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 368



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 367




This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 366



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 365



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 364



This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 363




This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 362




This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 361




This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 360




This is why posers are such an insult to people who experience these conditions, Grave and Nier make a mockery, a caricature of something they don't experience, all that matters to them is being comfortable every second of their lives, all while stalking their victims constantly.

Capture 359




https://youtu.be/zx0S2nzPQPo?is=6hS_OWjp4jF4Yz71

Capture 358



https://youtu.be/zx0S2nzPQPo?is=6hS_OWjp4jF4Yz71

Capture 357




https://youtu.be/zx0S2nzPQPo?is=6hS_OWjp4jF4Yz71

Capture 356



https://youtu.be/zx0S2nzPQPo?is=6hS_OWjp4jF4Yz71

Capture 355



If you can't handle the heat of the consequences of your actions get out of the kitchen, no victim owes you anything, it is you, from the couch, who made the choices you did with your fingers, people holding you accountable aren't “seeking attention” they're seeking closure, attention entails you have any popularity (y

Capture 354



If you can't handle the heat of the consequences of your actions get out of the kitchen, no victim owes you anything, it is you, from the couch, who made the choices you did with your fingers, people holding you accountable aren't "seeking attention" they're seeking closure, attention entails you have any popularity (y

Capture 353




If you can't handle the heat of the consequences of your actions get out of the kitchen, no victim owes you anything, it is you, from the couch, who made the choices you did with your fingers, people holding you accountable aren't "seeking attention" they're seeking closure, attention entails you have any popularity (y

Capture 352



If you can't handle the heat of the consequences of your actions get out of the kitchen, no victim owes you anything, it is you, from the couch, who made the choices you did with your fingers, people holding you accountable aren't "seeking attention" they're seeking closure, attention entails you have any popularity (y

Capture 351




If you can't handle the heat of the consequences of your actions get out of the kitchen, no victim owes you anything, it is you, from the couch, who made the choices you did with your fingers, people holding you accountable aren't "seeking attention" they're seeking closure, attention entails you have any popularity (y

Capture 350




If you can't handle the heat of the consequences of your actions get out of the kitchen, no victim owes you anything, it is you, from the couch, who made the choices you did with your fingers, people holding you accountable aren't "seeking attention" they're seeking closure, attention entails you have any popularity (y

Capture 349




If you can't handle the heat of the consequences of your actions get out of the kitchen, no victim owes you anything, it is you, from the couch, who made the choices you did with your fingers, people holding you accountable aren't "seeking attention" they're seeking closure, attention entails you have any popularity (y

Capture 348




And the fact that the same person also tried to weaponize ICE discourse and then fakeclaim a Jewish person's Holocaust-related identity when called out makes the pattern unmistakable. They disqualify people. They silence people. They use identity and trauma as tools when it benefits them, then deny those same realities

Capture 347




And the fact that the same person also tried to weaponize ICE discourse and then fakeclaim a Jewish person's Holocaust-related identity when called out makes the pattern unmistakable. They disqualify people. They silence people. They use identity and trauma as tools when it benefits them, then deny those same realities

Capture 346




Amidst the receipts there is an impact statement.

Capture 345



A fellow victim spoke up in dm's and warned us of another stalker by the name of "armyflag"

Capture 344




A fellow victim spoke up in dm's and warned us of another stalker by the name of "armyflag"

Capture 343



A fellow victim spoke up in dm's and warned us of another stalker by the name of "armyflag"

Capture 342




Said victim is a minor and also epileptic.

Capture 341



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 340



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 339



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 338



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 337



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 336



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 335



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 334




Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 333



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 332



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 331



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 330



Back to the thread of receipts.

Capture 329



This is why it's accurate to describe it as cult dynamics. Not because it's dramatic, but because it fits the actual control pattern: recruit through fear, enforce doctrine, punish dissent, and keep a scapegoat permanently on trial.

Capture 328




This is why it's accurate to describe it as cult dynamics. Not because it's dramatic, but because it fits the actual control pattern: recruit through fear, enforce doctrine, punish dissent, and keep a scapegoat permanently on trial.

Capture 327




This is why it's accurate to describe it as cult dynamics. Not because it's dramatic, but because it fits the actual control pattern: recruit through fear, enforce doctrine, punish dissent, and keep a scapegoat permanently on trial.

Capture 326




Proship Froggo, again, please make sure you all read the doc we presented first before this one to get a better understanding of just long this has happened

Capture 325




Proship Froggo, again, please make sure you all read the doc we presented first before this one to get a better understanding of just long this has happened

Capture 324




Proship Froggo, again, please make sure you all read the doc we presented first before this one to get a better understanding of just long this has happened

Capture 323



Proship Froggo, again, please make sure you all read the doc we presented first before this one to get a better understanding of just long this has happened

Capture 322



Proship Froggo, again, please make sure you all read the doc we presented first before this one to get a better understanding of just long this has happened

Capture 321



This exchange matters because it shows the bait-and-deny pattern in miniature, with the access change (unblock) on their side and the “attention” framing used as a reflexive smear the moment Choco speak.

Capture 320




Or rather, it's one of the countless attempts to claim a victim is the one gaining access to “vulnerable people” while Shuujuu and everyone else involved are the only ones doing that, and have also done this for over eight months, as a unit, the very antithesis of a Proshipper

Capture 319



Or rather, it's one of the countless attempts to claim a victim is the one gaining access to “vulnerable people” while Shuujuu and everyone else involved are the only ones doing that, and have also done this for over eight months, as a unit, the very antithesis of a Proshipper

Capture 318




Or rather, it's one of the countless attempts to claim a victim is the one gaining access to “vulnerable people” while Shuujuu and everyone else involved are the only ones doing that, and have also done this for over eight months, as a unit, the very antithesis of a Proshipper

Capture 317




Or rather, it's one of the countless attempts to claim a victim is the one gaining access to “vulnerable people” while Shuujuu and everyone else involved are the only ones doing that, and have also done this for over eight months, as a unit, the very antithesis of a Proshipper

Capture 316




Choco was once a “leader” in the Proship community, leader as in hailed as God without Choco's consent and having everyone's problems dumped onto Choco because people knew Choco could handle them, there are a lot of bad faith actors, yes, unfortunately they are the very same people who use it as a deflection, a large chunk of the comm

Capture 315




If it was only one person it wouldn't be as bad as it is, because it's the one spearheading the campaign and one or the primary culprits issuing sexual defamation it is very much relevant.

Capture 314




If it was only one person it wouldn't be as bad as it is, because it's the one spearheading the campaign and one or the primary culprits issuing sexual defamation it is very much relevant.

Capture 313




If it was only one person it wouldn't be as bad as it is, because it's the one spearheading the campaign and one or the primary culprits issuing sexual defamation it is very much relevant.

Capture 312




If it was only one person it wouldn't be as bad as it is, because it's the one spearheading the campaign and one or the primary culprits issuing sexual defamation it is very much relevant.

Capture 311



If it was only one person it wouldn't be as bad as it is, because it's the one spearheading the campaign and one or the primary culprits issuing sexual defamation it is very much relevant.

Capture 310




If it was only one person it wouldn't be as bad as it is, because it's the one spearheading the campaign and one or the primary culprits issuing sexual defamation it is very much relevant.

Capture 309




If it was only one person it wouldn't be as bad as it is, because it's the one spearheading the campaign and one or the primary culprits issuing sexual defamation it is very much relevant.

Capture 308




If it was only one person it wouldn't be as bad as it is, because it's the one spearheading the campaign and one or the primary culprits issuing sexual defamation it is very much relevant.

Capture 307




If it was only one person it wouldn't be as bad as it is, because it's the one spearheading the campaign and one or the primary culprits issuing sexual defamation it is very much relevant.

Capture 306




All evidence of his predatory nature is on his own blog, the docs, (his and Choco's) and his digital footprint.

Capture 305




And one of the people groomed into it was a minor.

Capture 304



And one of the people groomed into it was a minor.

Capture 303




And one of the people groomed into it was a minor.

Capture 302



.not the first time they route their smears towards kids:

Capture 301




Whether they were or weren't is irrelevant, they are predatory regardless.

Capture 300




This one in particular:

Capture 299




, ----------

Capture 298




, ---

Capture 297



, ---

Capture 296




, ---

Capture 295




, ---

Capture 294



, ----------

Capture 293




, ----------

Capture 292



, ----------

Capture 291



, ----------

Capture 290




, ----------

Capture 289




, ----------

Capture 288



this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 287




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 286



this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 285




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 284



this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 283




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 282




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 281




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 280




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 279




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 278




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 277




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 276



this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 275




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 274




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 273




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 272




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 271




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 270




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 269




this section is from the strobe chara and evidence of yet more coordinated cyber-stalking, as well as the ableist they showcase, we are epileptic, the people we targeted were proven predators and their supporters, no one else, no one epileptic, using seizures as a cop-out, a very real thing we experienced for moral the

Capture 268




(needless to say, the xenosatanist allegation was debunked as well as the rest, zero accountability was taken from any of the people who made the false allegations however)

Capture 267




(The proship channels were used to circulate false narratives and stalk Choco without Choco's awareness to find blind spots to attack, much like discord servers)

Capture 266



https://www.tumblr.com/cuntycore/807360293588140033/why-havent-you-joined-in-on-the-choco-situation?source=share

Capture 265



https://www.tumblr.com/cuntycore/807360293588140033/why-havent-you-joined-in-on-the-choco-situation?source=share

Capture 264



Speaking of trolls who don't deserve to be taken seriously

Capture 263




Speaking of trolls who don't deserve to be taken seriously

Capture 262



The Nathan situation isn't an excuse, we called them out for months before anyone else did, this user and their friends openly talked to both Nathan and Piercethesyko, another child predator.

Capture 261



Only Grave and their cult is self centered, obsessed, and obnoxious enough for this, an admission to having contact with a follower and coercing them into not supporting a victim to keep status, which is a classic cult maneuver.

Capture 260



Back to Shuujuu.

Capture 259



Back to Shuujuu.

Capture 258




Back to Shuujuu.

Capture 257



Back to Shuujuu.

Capture 256



Back to Shuujuu.

Capture 255



This is textbook gaslighting, anon pointed out this post:

Capture 254



This is textbook gaslighting, anon pointed out this post:

Capture 253




This is textbook gaslighting, anon pointed out this post:

Capture 252



This does nothing to bring solace to their victims however.

Capture 251



To make it worse:

Capture 250




Remember what Choco said about a grooming ring and Shuujuu and their clique being one, which was demonstrated several times before?

Capture 249



Remember what Choco said about a grooming ring and Shuujuu and their clique being one, which was demonstrated several times before?

Capture 248




Remember what Choco said about a grooming ring and Shuujuu and their clique being one, which was demonstrated several times before?

Capture 247




Remember what Choco said about a grooming ring and Shuujuu and their clique being one, which was demonstrated several times before?

Capture 246




Remember the anon sent to clown? not a coincidence, they already were stalking the 13 year old.

Capture 245



Remember the anon sent to clown? not a coincidence, they already were stalking the 13 year old.

Capture 244



(Anon being a creep again)

Capture 243




(Anon being a creep again)

Capture 242



(Anon being a creep again)

Capture 241



(Anon being a creep again)

Capture 240



(Anon being a creep again)

Capture 239



(Anon being a creep again)

Capture 238



(Anon being a creep again)

Capture 237




(Anon being a creep again)

Capture 236



A record. A timeline. A receipt index. A refusal to be silenced.

Capture 235



A record. A timeline. A receipt index. A refusal to be silenced.

Capture 234



A record. A timeline. A receipt index. A refusal to be silenced.

Capture 233




A record. A timeline. A receipt index. A refusal to be silenced.

Capture 232




A record. A timeline. A receipt index. A refusal to be silenced.

Capture 231



A record. A timeline. A receipt index. A refusal to be silenced.

Capture 230




It gets a worse however.

Capture 229



Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 228




Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 227




Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 226



Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 225



Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 224



Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 223



Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 222




Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 221




Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 220




Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 219



Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 218



Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 217




Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 216



Actually disgusting and beyond sick behavior

Capture 215



Gravekandy's Cult and their manipulation tactics.

Capture 214



Gravekandy's Cult and their manipulation tactics.

Capture 213



Gravekandy's Cult and their manipulation tactics.

Capture 212




This solidifies something, Gravekandy believes it's okay to violate personal boundaries if the target is controversial enough, and acts accordingly, inviting their followers to do the same

Capture 211



This solidifies something, Gravekandy believes it's okay to violate personal boundaries if the target is controversial enough, and acts accordingly, inviting their followers to do the same

Capture 210



This solidifies something, Gravekandy believes it's okay to violate personal boundaries if the target is controversial enough, and acts accordingly, inviting their followers to do the same

Capture 209



This solidifies something, Gravekandy believes it's okay to violate personal boundaries if the target is controversial enough, and acts accordingly, inviting their followers to do the same

Capture 208



This solidifies something, Gravekandy believes it's okay to violate personal boundaries if the target is controversial enough, and acts accordingly, inviting their followers to do the same

Capture 207



This solidifies something, Gravekandy believes it's okay to violate personal boundaries if the target is controversial enough, and acts accordingly, inviting their followers to do the same

Capture 206




This solidifies something, Gravekandy believes it's okay to violate personal boundaries if the target is controversial enough, and acts accordingly, inviting their followers to do the same

Capture 205



This solidifies something, Gravekandy believes it's okay to violate personal boundaries if the target is controversial enough, and acts accordingly, inviting their followers to do the same

Capture 204



This solidifies something, Gravekandy believes it's okay to violate personal boundaries if the target is controversial enough, and acts accordingly, inviting their followers to do the same

Capture 203



The Rainy situation was addressed spaciously, Subtext is only appropiate when it benefits them.

Capture 202




The summer situation is documented in spades and it was recounted several times, including being framed for someone else digging at a known vulnerability, pressing that vulnerability, and different parties taking advantage of it.

Capture 201



The summer situation is documented in spades and it was recounted several times, including being framed for someone else digging at a known vulnerability, pressing that vulnerability, and different parties taking advantage of it.

Capture 200




The summer situation is documented in spades and it was recounted several times, including being framed for someone else digging at a known vulnerability, pressing that vulnerability, and different parties taking advantage of it.

Capture 199



The summer situation is documented in spades and it was recounted several times, including being framed for someone else digging at a known vulnerability, pressing that vulnerability, and different parties taking advantage of it.

Capture 198



The summer situation is documented in spades and it was recounted several times, including being framed for someone else digging at a known vulnerability, pressing that vulnerability, and different parties taking advantage of it.

Capture 197



Keeping tabs and cyberstalking a victim's every move isn't scrutinizy, it's keeping tabs and cyberstalking

Capture 196



Keeping tabs and cyberstalking a victim's every move isn't scrutinizy, it's keeping tabs and cyberstalking

Capture 195



Keeping tabs and cyberstalking a victim's every move isn't scrutinizy, it's keeping tabs and cyberstalking

Capture 194



Keeping tabs and cyberstalking a victim's every move isn't scrutinizy, it's keeping tabs and cyberstalking

Capture 193



Keeping tabs and cyberstalking a victim's every move isn't scrutinizy, it's keeping tabs and cyberstalking

Capture 192



Criticism is defined as follows.

Capture 191



It also serves no purpose, and can be easily spotted for what it is:

Capture 190



It also serves no purpose, and can be easily spotted for what it is:

Capture 189



It also serves no purpose, and can be easily spotted for what it is:

Capture 188




It also serves no purpose, and can be easily spotted for what it is:

Capture 187



It also serves no purpose, and can be easily spotted for what it is:

Capture 186



We had to call out upholding our boundaries numerous times, each one of them they asked for more, and their associates tried to drag innocent parties into it.

Capture 185



This is exhausting when you have to defend yourself constantly, and serves as deflection and dodging from the other party, by constantly moving the goalposts and members inserting new variables or recycling old ones, the goal is keeping the target fatigued while they maintain control.

Capture 184



This is exhausting when you have to defend yourself constantly, and serves as deflection and dodging from the other party, by constantly moving the goalposts and members inserting new variables or recycling old ones, the goal is keeping the target fatigued while they maintain control.

Capture 183



Please see the link below to understand American Privilege:

Capture 182



Despite evidence of being proven incorrect, the group maintain beliefs that are easily disputed by evidence, and when this gets called out, they resort to easy solutions like calling things “buzzwords* in order to avoid interacting with a harsher reality.

Capture 181



Despite evidence of being proven incorrect, the group maintain beliefs that are easily disputed by evidence, and when this gets called out, they resort to easy solutions like calling things “buzzwords* in order to avoid interacting with a harsher reality.

Capture 180



Despite evidence of being proven incorrect, the group maintain beliefs that are easily disputed by evidence, and when this gets called out, they resort to easy solutions like calling things “buzzwords* in order to avoid interacting with a harsher reality.

Capture 179



Despite evidence of being proven incorrect, the group maintain beliefs that are easily disputed by evidence, and when this gets called out, they resort to easy solutions like calling things “buzzwords* in order to avoid interacting with a harsher reality.

Capture 178




Despite evidence of being proven incorrect, the group maintain beliefs that are easily disputed by evidence, and when this gets called out, they resort to easy solutions like calling things “buzzwords* in order to avoid interacting with a harsher reality.

Capture 177



Despite evidence of being proven incorrect, the group maintain beliefs that are easily disputed by evidence, and when this gets called out, they resort to easy solutions like calling things “buzzwords* in order to avoid interacting with a harsher reality.

Capture 176




Choco's own statements about Gravekandy's latest actions on Choco's pinned post were as follows:

Capture 175




Choco's own statements about Gravekandy's latest actions on Choco's pinned post were as follows:

Capture 174



Choco's own statements about Gravekandy's latest actions on Choco's pinned post were as follows:

Capture 173



Choco's own statements about Gravekandy's latest actions on Choco's pinned post were as follows:

Capture 172



Choco's own statements about Gravekandy's latest actions on Choco's pinned post were as follows:

Capture 171



Choco's own statements about Gravekandy's latest actions on Choco's pinned post were as follows:

Capture 170



Gravekandy had also tried to avoid showing the full context of their original post:

Capture 169




The punchline of just how privileged they are:

Capture 168



.Let's go over how their group has continued reinforcing their harassmet whenever the chance arose, as well as tried recruiting people who were not involved or coerce them into compliance.

Capture 167




This is self explanatory, but it isn't the only time, more on that later however

Capture 166




This is self explanatory, but it isn't the only time, more on that later however

Capture 165




If you wanted to protect people, you would have minimized exposure. You chose maximum exposure, because the humiliation was the point.

Capture 164




If you wanted to protect people, you would have minimized exposure. You chose maximum exposure, because the humiliation was the point.

Capture 163



If you wanted to protect people, you would have minimized exposure. You chose maximum exposure, because the humiliation was the point.

Capture 162



If you wanted to protect people, you would have minimized exposure. You chose maximum exposure, because the humiliation was the point.

Capture 161



Let's go into them elephant in the room now. ICE and fabricating evidence:

Capture 160




This was bait from Rainy:

Capture 159




This was bait from Rainy:

Capture 158



Onto how the situation with Rainy REALLY started

Capture 157




Onto how the situation with Rainy REALLY started

Capture 156




Onto how the situation with Rainy REALLY started

Capture 155



Using Mirmo to do it was particularly depraved.

Capture 154



Using Mirmo to do it was particularly depraved.

Capture 153



Using Mirmo to do it was particularly depraved.

Capture 152



Using Mirmo to do it was particularly depraved.

Capture 151



Using Mirmo to do it was particularly depraved.

Capture 150



Using Mirmo to do it was particularly depraved.

Capture 149



Using Mirmo to do it was particularly depraved.

Capture 148



Using Mirmo to do it was particularly depraved.

Capture 147




It's also important to notice the user, antiship-hunter was already known for sexual harassment

Capture 146



It's also important to notice the user, antiship-hunter was already known for sexual harassment

Capture 145




It's also important to notice the user, antiship-hunter was already known for sexual harassment

Capture 144



It's also important to notice the user, antiship-hunter was already known for sexual harassment

Capture 143



It's also important to notice the user, antiship-hunter was already known for sexual harassment

Capture 142




It's also important to notice the user, antiship-hunter was already known for sexual harassment

Capture 141




This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 140




This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 139




This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 138



This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 137




This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 136



This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 135




This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 134



This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 133



This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 132



This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 131



This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 130



This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 129




This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 128



This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 127



This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 126




This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 125



This is a gray and murky area at best, but it completely dismantles the falsity that Choco, themselves, had started the Caleb situation like Gravecandy lied about to dodge accountability, on the contrary, Choco was unhappy at the way they were originally treated while Choco was dealing with the summer situation, this went out the wind

Capture 124



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 123



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 122



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 121



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 120




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 119




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 118



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 117




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 116



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 115



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 114




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 113




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 112



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 111



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 110



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 109



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 108



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 107



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 106



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 105



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 104




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 103



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 102




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 101




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 100




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 099




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 098



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 097



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 096



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 095



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 094



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 093



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 092



It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 091




It also creates a hostile environment, being sexualized against Choco's will

Capture 090



Their echo chamber enjoys spreading misinformation about one target regardless of it being false, and do it to constantly make them defend themselves while dodging accountability.

Capture 089



Their echo chamber enjoys spreading misinformation about one target regardless of it being false, and do it to constantly make them defend themselves while dodging accountability.

Capture 088



Their echo chamber enjoys spreading misinformation about one target regardless of it being false, and do it to constantly make them defend themselves while dodging accountability.

Capture 087




Their echo chamber enjoys spreading misinformation about one target regardless of it being false, and do it to constantly make them defend themselves while dodging accountability.

Capture 086




Their echo chamber enjoys spreading misinformation about one target regardless of it being false, and do it to constantly make them defend themselves while dodging accountability.

Capture 085




Their echo chamber enjoys spreading misinformation about one target regardless of it being false, and do it to constantly make them defend themselves while dodging accountability.

Capture 084



Their echo chamber enjoys spreading misinformation about one target regardless of it being false, and do it to constantly make them defend themselves while dodging accountability.

Capture 083



Their echo chamber enjoys spreading misinformation about one target regardless of it being false, and do it to constantly make them defend themselves while dodging accountability.

Capture 082



Their echo chamber enjoys spreading misinformation about one target regardless of it being false, and do it to constantly make them defend themselves while dodging accountability.

Capture 081



First we will show our own posts rebuking that misinformation of his.

Capture 080



First we will show our own posts rebuking that misinformation of his.

Capture 079



First we will show our own posts rebuking that misinformation of his.

Capture 078



First we will show our own posts rebuking that misinformation of his.

Capture 077



Rainy did make rape-coded imagery.

Capture 076



They already did this back after the Mirmo situation, when the original Christian edit was posted.

Capture 075



They already did this back after the Mirmo situation, when the original Christian edit was posted.

Capture 074



They already did this back after the Mirmo situation, when the original Christian edit was posted.

Capture 073



They already did this back after the Mirmo situation, when the original Christian edit was posted.

Capture 072



They already did this back after the Mirmo situation, when the original Christian edit was posted.

Capture 071



They already did this back after the Mirmo situation, when the original Christian edit was posted.

Capture 070



They already did this back after the Mirmo situation, when the original Christian edit was posted.

Capture 069



They already did this back after the Mirmo situation, when the original Christian edit was posted.

Capture 068



They already did this back after the Mirmo situation, when the original Christian edit was posted.

Capture 067



Their moral bankruptcy is such a bottomless pit, they will openly funnel accusations related to Daisy's Destruction to people.

Capture 066




Gravekandy did this before, his constant attempts to have power over victims while pretending to speak for them.

Capture 065




If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 064



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 063



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 062



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 061



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 060



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 059



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 058



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 057



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 056




If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 055




If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 054



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 053



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 052




If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 051



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 050



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 049



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 048




If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 047




If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 046



If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 045




If you want to stop being called what you rightfully are, stop laundering, stop reframing, stop proxy-smearing, stop pretending denial is evidence, and stop acting like you are entitled to decide what sexual violation coding “counts” when it is aimed at

Capture 044



This was the misinformation spread by people in the community who were sympathetic to Gravekandy and their cohorts.

Capture 043




This was the misinformation spread by people in the community who were sympathetic to Gravekandy and their cohorts.

Capture 042




But that's not all, isn't it? The Choco situation tag wasn't about talking about someone without getting “harmed”

Capture 041




But that's not all, isn't it? The Choco situation tag wasn't about talking about someone without getting “harmed”

Capture 040



But that's not all, isn't it? The Choco situation tag wasn't about talking about someone without getting “harmed”

Capture 039



It was to platform an online base to safely destroy a victim's reality.

Capture 038




It was to platform an online base to safely destroy a victim's reality.

Capture 037




It was to platform an online base to safely destroy a victim's reality.

Capture 036




It was to platform an online base to safely destroy a victim's reality.

Capture 035




It was to platform an online base to safely destroy a victim's reality.

Capture 034




It was to platform an online base to safely destroy a victim's reality.

Capture 033




It was to platform an online base to safely destroy a victim's reality.

Capture 032



It was to platform an online base to safely destroy a victim's reality.

Capture 031



And because it is a tag, it functions like a bulletin board: it creates the appearance of a movement, an archive, and a consensus, regardless of whether the underlying claims are coherent or even consistent.

Capture 030




And because it is a tag, it functions like a bulletin board: it creates the appearance of a movement, an archive, and a consensus, regardless of whether the underlying claims are coherent or even consistent.

Capture 029



And because it is a tag, it functions like a bulletin board: it creates the appearance of a movement, an archive, and a consensus, regardless of whether the underlying claims are coherent or even consistent.

Capture 028




And because it is a tag, it functions like a bulletin board: it creates the appearance of a movement, an archive, and a consensus, regardless of whether the underlying claims are coherent or even consistent.

Capture 027




And the attempt to label Choco "the cult leader" is not analysis. It is a defensive inversion meant to hide the actual cult structure in plain sight: the tag hub, the rotating anchors, the thought-stopping scripts, the proxy rumor routing, and the funnel designed to keep Choco permanently framed as "dangerous" so nobody has

Capture 026




And the attempt to label Choco “the cult leader” is not analysis. It is a defensive inversion meant to hide the actual cult structure in plain sight: the tag hub, the rotating anchors, the thought-stopping scripts, the proxy rumor routing, and the funnel designed to keep Choco permanently framed as “dangerous” so nobody has

Capture 025



They were prone to discord servers orchestrated for cyberstalking, despite Gravekandy's word of only having a small one for general discussions, the evidence states otherwise, worse, when. “Everyone* has smaller servers to conduct their social experiments against the same target, that's psychological exorcism.

Capture 024



They were prone to discord servers orchestrated for cyberstalking, despite Gravekandy's word of only having a small one for general discussions, the evidence states otherwise, worse, when. “Everyone* has smaller servers to conduct their social experiments against the same target, that's psychological exorcism.

Capture 023




They were prone to discord servers orchestrated for cyberstalking, despite Gravekandy's word of only having a small one for general discussions, the evidence states otherwise, worse, when. “Everyone* has smaller servers to conduct their social experiments against the same target, that's psychological exorcism.

Capture 022



They were prone to discord servers orchestrated for cyberstalking, despite Gravekandy's word of only having a small one for general discussions, the evidence states otherwise, worse, when. “Everyone* has smaller servers to conduct their social experiments against the same target, that's psychological exorcism.

Capture 021



That is cult behavior in practice: use the most emotionally protected figure (a minor) to carry the message, so the group can punish the target while claiming the target is the aggressor for objecting.

Capture 020




Worse, you came back to the same behavior again.

Capture 019




Worse, you came back to the same behavior again.

Capture 018



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 017




Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 016




Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 015



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 014



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 013



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 012



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 011



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 010




Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 009



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 008



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 007



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 006



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 005




Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 004




Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 003




Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 002



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be

Capture 001



Proship Froggo claimed they had learned, they had apologized, they had changed, and in the end, like everyone else, they were just using Choco's hyper empathetic nature, Choco's kindness, and Choco's compassion, at first, back when they unblocked Choco today Choco thought it was code for them wanting to talk, there was nothing to talk to be