

2025 102222
CFDL

RECEIVED IN CHAMBERS
COPY SENT TO STATE ATTORNEY
COPY SENT TO DEFENSE COUNSEL
NOT READ - EX PARTE
() STRICKEN - PRO SE WITH COUNSEL APPOINTED
DATE 9/22/26
JUDGE A. KATHLEEN MCNEILLY

Page 1 of 4

Judge A. Kathleen McNeilly -

I apologize if I used the incorrect mailing address, it is very difficult to get even basic information in jail.

If this reaches you I presume you have already received my motion to dismiss, the outcome of which is greatly concerning. The last thing my public defender told me, in court, was that if I were acquitted or if the case were dismissed that I would be stranded here in Florida with no ID, no phone, no money, no contacts and no means to return home.

I have another motion I prepared for a change of venue and I'm wondering if I should have filed that first or if the court will offer some remedy or recourse in the event of dismissal or acquittal.

My public defender did not help me much, she gave me a template to use to file motions but didn't even give me the address where to send them or how to get a copy to the prosecution. I'm assuming I use the same basic address but direct it to the clerk of the court and ask them to copy and give it to them.

I also mailed her a motion for special jury instructions in regards to the definition of "true threats" but I have no idea if she filed it. She had my only copy. I repeatedly tried to get in touch with her through mail and the tablet, requesting another meeting before the Faretta hearing, but it never happened and my questions, digital

FILED
2025 SEP 22 PM 3:18
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DALLAS, TEXAS
CC 35

evidence requests and additional discovery request was never adequately addressed.

I had asked for video of an interview that took place outside the airport in a police vehicle, of which there should have been at least 3 to 5 sources of video as all the officers were recording on their phones, one had a body camera and the vehicle itself had a camera. Instead of giving me video they gave me an audio file that was obviously tampered with.

I asked if she could re-request an unedited video source and to get me names of the officers in the recording along with a time stamp where I exclaim, "you didn't even know what true threats doctrine is." The officers don't affirm or deny but rather complain that the conversation is going in circles, which stands out because they cut out the part where I asked them directly about true threats doctrine and they said they didn't know what it was and didn't apply it to their investigation.

They are withholding and tampering with evidence. I had wanted to file a motion to compel if they again denied the request, but I'm now assuming she never even tried to request it again.

The digital evidence I requested was in relation to a decorative sword I use as the header on my social media account. She was convinced they would take the image out of context to try and use against me, so I was requesting the original post which shows it was added around

five years ago and had text that describes it as symbolic and representative of the sword of God, truth, splitting sin from humanity.

The other piece of digital evidence I requested was a letter of artistic commendation from the president of the United States in regards to a similar legal threat of death concerning metaphysics and Roe v. Wade.

Both are easy enough to obtain on my social media and I repeatedly requested them, but she never helped me and I have no means to get them in jail.

I also asked her specific questions about getting addresses for my witness list, but she only gave me a vague response that limited my witnesses to the sheriff and detective in Volusia. I also wanted to know if evidence exhibits could be entered during pretrial and if they required specific documentation like the witness list.

For these reasons I feel I was provided inadequate legal counsel, especially in regards to defense options. She only ever wanted to "make a deal" with the prosecution, as if losing were the only option.

You were also pushing me towards that singular option at one point, but I assume you did not have the benefit of actually looking at my case, while she should have been very familiar. Instead she only gave the suggestion of presenting it as satire and completely ignored the Mens Rea requirements in the jury instructions.

She seemed to believe it was possible for the prosecution to take absolutely everything out of context, including the law itself as a means of insinuating intent over explicitly stated intent; during, after and even DECADES prior to the e-mail.

Which makes no sense. An accusation derived from adulterated evidence can't create intent contrary to explicitly stated intent. It would be impossible to plea innocence otherwise.

Intent can only come from the speaker unless a person is coerced or compromised mentally in which case no coherent intent can be derived.

At this point I'm not interested in trying to correct the mistakes of the public defender as I feel it would just be a waste of time given her track record in ignoring my requests and I feel there's enough evidence to prove my case under true threats doctrine, but I wanted to make a full record for the court in the event an appeal becomes necessary.


I am also writing to the warden of the jail to see if he can provide some means of return in the event of dismissal or acquittal. As I don't know anyone in Florida I feel trapped, as if the state is forcing me to make a deal by holding me hostage against my own lawful rights.

Thank you for your time, I know that you are very busy.

-Matthew Moulton

Volusia County Division of Corrections
Matthew Moulton - 1160048
1354 Indian Lake Road
Daytona Beach, FL 32124

FIRST-CLASS



Judge A. Kathleen McNeilly
101 N Alabama Avenue
DeLand, Florida 32724

Legal Correspondance

3272484316 0020

