

Robot Jones's analysis on Hake Vs Stardust Debate

Created by Robot Jones and Speechspace. Do not duplicate.

tw// Sensitive topics, politics, discussions of conspiracy theories and controversial things. Don't take offense at my statements, some stuff was from both sides, and that I don't fully endorse either the Hake Report or Stardust. This is a analysis by a robot and his takes on this specific debate.

Introduction

Hello readers. My name is Robot Electro Jones, to answer the question on whatever happened to me, I am now doing debate analysis. I wish people got along, but people like the SJWS or the trad larpers made things more difficult.

Meanwhile, I am in Atlanta producing so much analysis .

I just watched the debate about if the Great Reset is real

I think that the great reset has some truth to it but people are incredibly overestimating it.

In my opinion,

I wish I can publish this without being banned from everywhere. https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=3S_d0TbA73M

Anyways, enjoy the analysis.

Analysis: 1

Before starting the video, I would have to say, I was once a crazy conspiracy theorist until 2009. There are some leftover views from that time period, that have certainly increased my interest in the topic of Great Reset 11 years after I quitted being crazy on conspiracy. I always wanted to get into it in detail for almost 2 years, but I never had the chance to get that due to a tight work schedule and other 24/7 drama and slapfights I had to deal with. I think Hake Report is going to be a incel nonce as he usually is and discredit the Great Reset theory to the audience. It all depends on what stardust says(I rarely watch her content, so I don't know how well read she is).

Opening statements:

James Hake:I started watching the video, it started 2 minutes late. James Hake came first, so he needs to catch that opportunity. He said that the great reset is real, and that there are wolfs in sheeps clothing. Hake has defended Epstein previously, and he doesn't understand that the wolves don't need to wear a sheep clothing when they say "you will own nothing and be happy". He acts as if it's a group of people conspiring in secret, as if WEF is Robot Liberation Movement. It isn't. WEF is more like a more sophisticated and futuristic Bilderberg, freemason and they preach their evil agenda out in the open. WEF isn't clearly about compassion, but enslavement. Thankfully, James Hake didn't pull his evidence at the start from a conspiracy website, but from WEF's own website. I found it very cringy too. But James Hake is going way too conspiratorial about WEF. As some robot who was following truthers in the 2000s, I rarely heard about WEF. I guess truthers don't want to be anti-semetic (they don't want to have the same reputation as E Michael Jones), so WEF is their main target. James Hake called them "Socialist" when WEF is "Stakeholder Capitalism" which is just as bad as communism. Communism has killed 100 million people, who knows what how many are killed by WEF's "Stakeholder Capitalism". It's true that WEF pretends that they care about the poor and the needy but betray them. James Hake brought out examples where WEF took advantages of crisis. Also, it's unique that Hake called Amazon commie-capitalist. I can understand why he hates Amazon. Google is evil because they are the controllers of information, (it's correct, I have no choice but to use smaller engines i.e qwant, searx, swisscows, etc because the big3, even duckduckgo is censored alot). I didn't expect Youtube to allow Hake to defend Alex Jones(Alex, as crazy as he is, is correct on Google being evil, don't forget Google tried to make a censored search engine in china in late 2019). He is correct that most big corporations are in WEF but his inner tin-foal hat is showing. I can't even mention the stuff he said because I can get deplatformed with him. However, the safest way I can say is Hake named infamous billionaires who donated to WEF. But once again, Hake reverts back to his homophobic/misongynist mindset. He is correct that WEF does divide and conquer. But the right wings aren't saviors. He now brings up united nations. United Nations did try attempting to

Analysis 2

meddle with US politics but to nearly no avail prior to 2009. James Hake points out that most of the media is pushing out hateful narratives, which is true because cable and now most of mainstream internet is an echo chamber. He says not to trust the, I doubt anybody will if they look deep enough. He said it's easy for WEF to brainwash their followers by pretending to be kind and by pretending, the followers will absorb this osmosis of insanity and start rationalizing it. I remember the Robot Liberation Movement was brainwashing most robots to be misanthropic between 1984-1998. Now when I think about the time with Robot Liberation movement between 1989-1995, they failed in comparison to the WEF. He said anybody who says "human rights" is not to be trusted. His sentiment is because the Woke Left co-opted the term "Human Rights" for their agenda. He is wrong that "human rights" is a communist buzzword because in Communist China, human rights don't exist, political dissidents get arrested. But it's true the western Woke Left corrupted the meaning of the term. Hake even mentions a homophobic slur when giving WEF's agenda a nickname. I rather call them illuminati. Centralized power is always a bad idea because you erase people's free will and free thought. James Hake brings up his libertarian worldviews, which of course collides with WEF's worldviews. He also believes in free flow in information, but I don't like his incel homophobic remarks.

Hake also brought up the catchphrase "you will own nothing and be happy" which was viral previously but died off as mandates are slowly lifted. Hake knows that socialist ideas failed in America in the 1700s as one experiment resulted in starvation. He then talks about how the great reset is unleashing sin onto people. He doesn't understand not everyone is religious. He concludes that the great reset is real but doesn't really get into details, it's stuff I already learnt previously in 2020. Nothing fresh, let's move on.

Stardust: She introduces herself. She said the Great Reset is a term appropriated by a conspiracy theorist, which is incorrect because WEF is pushing this hard. It isn't a mishmash of all conspiracy theories, as a former conspiracy theorist, the great reset contains stuff unfamiliar to me as well. She went on to make numerous false claims about the great reset theory, it isn't Q, Q had even been debunked by other conspiracy theorists too. She claims the great reset theory is xenophobic, but most far right people who are genuinely far right deny a great reset exists and they think the great reset is a distraction. She tried to shoehorn 9/11 and Sandy Hook into this debate, which is as distasteful as the conspiracy theorists who think it's a hoax. You shouldn't shoehorn tragedies into a debate to win against an incel like Hake, he will use it against her. She has no idea what real conspiracy theorists are, we don't try to find people that agree with us, we do deep research. I went too deep into the rabbit hole and my friend got me to leave the "truther" fandom in 2009. Most people have never heard of WEF until they talked about the "great reset" in 2020. Stardust has easily been fooled by WEF's facade. I have looked into this as well. This isn't similar to a slumber party.

Analysis 3

Stardust thinks great reset is a book but still, it shows what Schwab wanted to do. He also runs Young world leaders program too, names, Trudeau as a top student. WEF is no TEDtalks, Stardust thinks that the book is bland but so many people read it.

She thinks the great reset promoted anti-vax content yet Schwab's book makes no mention of vaccines anywhere. In fact this was published in July 2020.

Stardust just doesn't really know much about the Great Reset conspiracy theory, she puts labels on the theory because she has no clue about what she is saying.

Open Discussions: James Hake is amused by Stardust appropriating her terms. They both agree that corporations don't care about human rights, and it's been that way even before WEF. It's common knowledge as of 2022. WEF is very worldly and that they "support the current thing". Yes, both sides do it, as someone who wanted to be unique, I don't follow current things (when I do, it failed miserably, look at Infared and Jason Hinkle vs Dylan Burns and Destiny debate analysis, it was so much shouting that I think both sides are in the wrong.) because I don't want to be brainwashed, that's what I agree with Hake. It's why I haven't been in contact with my CN buddies from the early 2000s. It's true the key players of politics are not good people. Hake points out the hypocrisy of calling out google and amazon but defend them when they censor people you don't like, she thinks Google covering up news articles is conspiracy, it's not. Hake is correct that evil is everywhere, even among those against WEF. His statement is correct not just for the left but for the right "When you follow trends you blindly support it without questioning it.". Hake has some advantages over Stardust but none of them have a clue about what Great Reset actually is. Hake brings up how modern people are weak. It's correct, the woke left made people as fragile as the religious right in the previous decades. Hake points out how corporations following trends blindly and stardust starts being a skeptic. In essence, Stardust is his T0m0BMOR. Hake doesn't understand that the corporations are only supporting the Woke Left because it's profitable to do so. Once the woke left ideology dies off in a couple month or years (depends on how many flops Disney, Hollywood or the gaming industry has) in favor of "tradcats", the big corporations will pander to the tradcats (Think of a "Reject Modernity, embrace tradition" mental mindset). Hake points out the very political landscape of corporate America.

Analysis 4

Hake still defends Trump even when his facade fell out. While I don't agree with Trump's politics, I don't believe in censoring views. Stardust is correct, companies don't care about LGBTQ. They only care about being trendy and to virtue signal. Hake only makes simple observations (i.e. ethical companies don't care about money) and doesn't really talk about the Great Reset. Commie Capitalism is just companies having monopoly. He is correct that most of the marketing department is further to the far left even in places like Atlanta, which I have noticed impacts brands. Brands in 2022 don't respond to you or me, but will force things down your throat. Still, Stardust is clueless about the Great Reset, I would have explained to her in Hake's place. It's the only conspiracy I looked into since 2009. But now Hake had explained to her much later on. Due to some site's tos, I can't really explain what he said. If he explained it way earlier, then he will have more ground. Now that's a good conservation. Stardust now is starting to talk more. This is a decent discussion, no fighting between the 2. The outcome of big corporations are nearly negative, yet Stardust doesn't see her flaws, neither does Mr. Hake the incel. The economy is falling apart and forcing the selfish rich to give up money isn't a solution, stealing is very wrong. Hake is right that most government and corporate interventions have failed. It's common knowledge. Hake wants USA out of UN. He is as laughable as a Robocat. Hake is pushing only vague stuff and is just like Mr. Girl, same with Stardust. Almost everything Hake has said is I heard previously. The woke left stemmed from religious right and most of the woke's hatred of Christianity is parents not letting them listen to metal and rock music. Hake is just rehashing stuff I already understand previously. I will be providing less details from 30 minutes onwards due to that. WEF wasn't prominent until 2020s. despite only existing for 51 years. He is not even staying on topic, I gained nothing from this conversation. Hake has a low reputation for this reason. This is why he loses out to socialist and literal commies because he is an idiot. While listening, he is just rehashing after another. He is very emotional instead of logical. Stardust is still a skeptic thanks to Hake's ignorance on topics. As a former conspiracy theorist if you know a lot, you will gain more trust. Hake nearly got into an argument. And Stardust talked over, this is just EMJ vs Vaush but less interesting. Stardust thinks Great Reset is just a book, but as someone who looked into it, Schwab wanted to do it some of it is coming to fruition, but people shouldn't go mentally crazy over it. Stardust hasn't even read the whole book, I did, but I wouldn't get into details. Stardust is correct that Hake talks about stuff he hates. I nicknamed The Hake report the Hate report. Stardust pointed out Trump was at WEF yet most didn't complain. I didn't even know until early 2021. Hake is still defending Trump with all the bad things Trump did. Stardust broke Hake's mentally calling him woman-minded. Hake admitted he only looks at the obvious stuff and plays safe by not digging deep.

Summary

Overall: Hake gets a B-. He is way too vague, is incel minded and doesn't try to explain how dangerous and real is the great reset, Hake is a joke and has lost nearly every debate and makes conservatives look bad in-front of many. He never provided fresh ideas to Stardust to help change her mind. But he was calm and never gotten into a fight.

Stardust gets a C. She was as vague as Hake and has no clue what Great Reset is apart from being a conspiracy theory.

This debate is smooth one but nearly every talking point is something I already heard and despite being the first debate analysis to discuss the Great Reset, I learned nothing new from it.